



STATE OF TENNESSEE  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT & CONSERVATION

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS # 32701-03183  
AMENDMENT # 1  
FOR TIPTONVILLE & COLD CREEK CHUTE  
ENGINEERING CONSULTATION SERVICES**

DATE: 9/6/2017

RFP # 32701-03183 IS AMENDED AS FOLLOWS:

1. This RFP Schedule of Events updates and confirms scheduled RFP dates. Any event, time, or date containing revised or new text is highlighted.

EVENT		TIME (Central Time Zone)	DATE (all dates are State business days)
1.	RFQ Issued		August 17, 2017
2.	Disability Accommodation Request Deadline	2:00 p.m.	August 22, 2017
3.	Pre-Response Conference	10:00 a.m.	August 23, 2017
4.	Notice of Intent to Respond Deadline	2:00 p.m.	August 24, 2017
5.	Written "Questions & Comments" Deadline	2:00 p.m.	August 29, 2017
6.	State response to written "Questions & Comments"		September 6, 2017
7.	RFQ Technical Response Deadline	2:00 p.m.	September 14, 2017
8.	State Notice of Intent to Award Released and RFQ Files Opened for Public Inspection		September 20, 2017
9.	End of Open File Period		September 26, 2017
10.	State sends contract to Contractor for signature		September 27, 2017
11.	Contractor Signature Deadline	2:00 p.m.	October 4, 2017

2. State responses to questions and comments in the table below amend and clarify this RFP.

Any restatement of RFP text in the Question/Comment column shall NOT be construed as a change in the actual wording of the RFP document.

QUESTION / COMMENT	STATE RESPONSE
<p>1 Under section 3.1.4 Cost Proposal for qualified respondents only, it states in sub-section 3.1.4.1 "to see RFQ § 2, Schedule of Events, "State Notice of Qualified Respondents Released."" When is it anticipated after the September 11, 2017 RFQ Technical Response submittal deadline that the qualified respondents will be notified</p>	<p>Cost proposals shall be submitted by every respondent at the time of Technical Response submittal. However, the highest qualified respondent's cost proposal will be the ONLY cost proposal reviewed. Also, please note that the Cost Proposal must be submitted in a sealed document separate from the Technical Response submission.</p>

QUESTION / COMMENT	STATE RESPONSE
by the State and their cost proposals due?	
2 For the customer references, are 3 total references acceptable if 2 of them represent both a completed account and current account?	Yes, it is acceptable. According to Section B.17 “General Qualifications and Experience Items” only 2 accounts Respondent currently services are required.
3 If a reference represents both a completed account and a current account, can this reference address both the completed account and the current account on one reference questionnaire form? Or are separate questionnaires from the same person required for a completed account and a current account?	According to Section B.17 “General Qualifications and Experience Items”, references from at least 3 different individuals are required to satisfy the requirements, e.g. an individual may provide a reference about a completed project and another reference about a currently serviced account.
4 What is our responsibility for the distance to where we obtain electricity? Will State bring it to 100 feet of our location or do we need to run power from ¼ or so mile away?	This question is something that will be recommended and/or defined by the consultant. Construction activities will not be part of this contract.
5 What are the power requirements on site? 110/240/480?	This question is something that will be recommended and/or defined by the consultant. Construction activities will not be part of this contract.
6 Is there current geotechnical information for the proposed pump station site?	No geotechnical evaluation has been performed and no site for the station has been selected. The consultant should be prepared to provide geotechnical information at one (1) selected site for the pumping station.
7 What storm event corresponding to the anticipated 200 cfs pump capacity? Are the hydrologic calculations used to determine the anticipated 200 cfs pumping capacity available?	This question gets into design questions beyond what is needed to evaluate proposals. The 200 cfs is an estimate based on rational method runoff and is intended to give a “ballpark” estimate of the size and magnitude of the pumping requirements. Providing a more thorough analysis and engineering recommendation for the actual pump capacity is part of the proposed

QUESTION / COMMENT	STATE RESPONSE
	contract.
8 Should security fencing around the pump station be considered?	This question is something that will be recommended and/or defined by the consultant. The nature of this question sounds more oriented towards a design-build proposal, which this contract is not, so please make sure that your proposal is within the outlined scope.
9 What storm event are we designing the spillway for?	This question is something that will be recommended and/or defined by the consultant. The design objective will be securing a stable and functioning outlet for Cold Creek Chute.
10 Is there current geotechnical information for the spillway foundation?	There are no existing geotechnical evaluations in the location of the temporary spillway or anywhere along the margins of Cold Creek Chute.
11 The proposed improvement involves repair of the existing spillway to raise the water surface elevation in Cold Creek Chute approximately two feet such that it is equal to the water surface elevation prior to the 2011 flood. Doing so will increase the impoundment footprint of Cold Creek Chute over current conditions which may impact environmental features that will require mitigation. Have mitigation requirements for the Cold Creek Chute restoration been evaluated? If mitigation is required to offset impacts for increasing the impoundment footprint, will the contractor be responsible for identifying, coordinating, and designing the required mitigation as part of the scope of this project?	The water surface has been restored to the target elevation through the temporary spillway. We do not anticipate creating or removing any existing habitat along the waterline of the chute by way of fluctuating the normal pool elevation. Any mitigation resulting from project impacts would be considered additional services or not-in-contract (by others).

3. **RFP Amendment Effective Date.** The revisions set forth herein shall be effective upon release. All other terms and conditions of this RFP not expressly amended herein shall remain in full force and effect.