



**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS # 34800-012717
AMENDMENT # One (1)
FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES FOR UPDATING,
ENHANCEMENT AND MODIFICATION ON THE TIES
MESSAGE SWITCH, COMPUTERIZED CRIMINAL
HISTORY, AND SEX OFFENDER REGISTRY**

DATE: March 27, 2017

RFP # 34800-012717 IS AMENDED AS FOLLOWS:

1. This RFP Schedule of Events updates and confirms scheduled RFP dates. Any event, time, or date containing revised or new text is highlighted.

EVENT	TIME (central time zone)	DATE
1. RFP Issued		March 7, 2017
2. Disability Accommodation Request Deadline	2:00 p.m.	March 13, 2017
3. Notice of Intent to Respond Deadline	2:00 p.m.	March 15, 2017
4. Written "Questions & Comments" Deadline	2:00 p.m.	March 21, 2017
5. State Response to Written "Questions & Comments"		March 27, 2017
6. Response Deadline	2:00 p.m.	April 4, 2017
7. State Completion of Technical Response Evaluations		April 10, 2017
8. State Opening & Scoring of Cost Proposals	2:00 p.m.	April 17, 2017
9. State Notice of Intent to Award Released <u>and</u> RFP Files Opened for Public Inspection	2:00 p.m.	April 19, 2017
10. End of Open File Period		April 26, 2017
11. State sends contract to Contractor for signature		April 27, 2017
12. Contractor Signature Deadline	2:00 p.m.	April 28, 2017

2. State responses to questions and comments in the table below amend and clarify this RFP.

Any restatement of RFP text in the Question/Comment column shall NOT be construed as a change in the actual wording of the RFP document.

QUESTION / COMMENT	STATE RESPONSE
<p>1 Proposer has been unable to get the appropriate references as listed in B.17. May proposer list relevant references with individual contact information in lieu of the questionnaire form contained within the RFP?</p>	<p>No. Instructions must be followed as specified within B.17 on page 22 and 23. It is the Respondent's responsibility to obtain the questionnaire as specified within the RFP. Failure to do so may deem the response as unresponsive and it may not be considered.</p>
<p>2 Is my company precluded from submitting a proposal because it did not submit a Notice of Intent by the deadline?</p>	<p>No. Your company may still submit a Response if it does so by the Response deadline as specified in the Schedule of Events.</p>
<p>3 What are the number of: specific interfaces/integrations within the current system, screens or user interface screens and reports (volume of current core code to be converted), number or current tables in the existing Oracle database, and number of existing web services to be converted within the scope of the existing system?</p>	<p>The Message Switch has: Nlets, NCIC, State Driver's License System, Boat Registration and Standard TBI Interfaces – 449 connect to the switch (agencies + interfaces = 449) The CCH has: 14 separate interfaces.</p> <p>The SOR has: 7 separate interfaces.</p> <p>The Message Switch contains 6,300 Oracle tables. The CCH contains 350 Oracle tables. The SOR contains zero as it is SQL,</p> <p>The Message Switch has one existing web service. The CCH has one existing web service and the SOR has two existing web services.</p>
<p>4 Have all necessary attachments and appendices been posted?</p>	<p>Yes. There are no additional attachments or appendices that are posted besides the RFP document and this Amendment.</p>
<p>5 Will the State provide existing source code and all associated documentation such as a flowchart, screen shots, etc. to Contractor or is it expected that the Contractor will conduct a discovery session as part of this Contract in order to ascertain the efforts required? If so, when will the State provide this documentation?</p>	<p>The Message Switch and CCH source code is owned by the State. Any documentation that the State has will be provided to the Contractor when the Contract is fully executed and work may begin. There may be "discovery" that must be performed in order to fully ascertain the efforts required to perform the requirements of the Contract.</p>
<p>6 Does the State view the message switch, CCH and SOR as a replacement project or an upgrade of the existing system?</p>	<p>The State views this as an upgrade of the existing system.</p>
<p>7 In the pro forma Contract, Section A.15.refers to the conversion from Oracle to SQL. Is the State aware of any Oracle dependent procedures that would need to be rewritten for SQL for both the Message Switch and CCH?</p>	<p>Yes, in both the Message Switch and CCH applications there are Oracle dependent procedures that will need to be rewritten for SQL</p>
<p>8 In the pro forma Contract, Section A.16. refers to an update of non-supported software. Does the State have access to the current Message Switch, CCH and interfaces source</p>	<p>Yes. The State owns the source code for the Message Switch, CCH and SOR and has access to the code with regards to the interfaces.</p>

QUESTION / COMMENT	STATE RESPONSE
code with regards to the interfaces with the existing Switch?	

3. **RFP Amendment Effective Date.** The revisions set forth herein shall be effective upon release. All other terms and conditions of this RFP not expressly amended herein shall remain in full force and effect.