MEMORANDUM

TO: TACIR Commission Members

FROM: Harry A. Green
       Executive Director

DATE: September 8, 2011

SUBJECT: The Residual Effect of the Recession on Local Government Taxes

1. TACIR recently completed an update of the staff web publication *The Residual Effect of the Recession on Local Government Taxes*, a copy of which is attached. That update concluded that the effect of recent multi-year declines in local sales tax collections and the threat of required future property tax rate increases pose future funding problems for local governments.

2. Dr. Chervin will provide a further update on this topic at the Commission meeting, to include data from the latest housing market price index.
The Residual Impact of the Recession on Local Government Taxes

by Stanley Chervin, PhD and Harry A. Green, PhD

This report will be updated as new information becomes available.

Local Sales Taxes

The residual impact of the economic recession continues to plague the finances of many local governments. While the recession formally ended in June 2009, its impact on sales tax collections—the second most important local tax source—lingers in many counties. While state sales tax collections have increased by 4.5% over the last 10 months (Department of Revenue collections for the period of July 2010-April 2011), and total local sales taxes (for the same period) increased by 4.1%, 20 counties collected less than during the comparative previous 10 month period.

In addition to disappointing growth in 20 counties, the actual level of sales tax collections for the state, as well as for many counties, remains below collection levels of four and five years ago. Forty-nine counties collected less in the recent July-April period than during the comparable period four years ago. Compared to collections from four years earlier, the total decline in collections for these forty-nine counties was $59 million. Twenty counties collected less in the recent July-April period than during the same comparable period five years ago. The total decline in collections for these twenty counties, compared to collections five years earlier, was $26 million.

The largest declines were experienced in Davidson County ($22.6 million less than four years earlier) and in Shelby County ($11.5 million less than five years earlier). Despite the recent growth in collections in Davidson, Hamilton, Knox, and Shelby Counties, all four are yet to reach collection levels of four years ago. Thus not only are many rural counties suffering from the lingering impact of the recession, but so are our four largest counties. They are all
struggling to maintain basic service levels with sales tax revenue that in many cases is less than that received four and five years ago.

Local Property Taxes

The property tax remains the most important source of local tax revenue in all but one county (Sevier County). The impact of the recession and falling property values on property tax collections will depend directly on the delayed impact on assessments. Since falling residential and commercial and industrial market values have only a limited immediate impact on assessed values, much of the impact of falling property market values will appear during reappraisals. Housing prices in Tennessee peaked in late 2007 and during 2008 (see chart). Since values during a reappraisal are based on sales made during the previous year, many reappraisal notices received by Tennesseans in 2009 (that were based on sales in 2008) were probably a shock—given that by the time the reappraisal notices were received the housing market was already in decline.

The true litmus test of the impact of the housing value slump will first come when counties who last reappraised in 2008 and in 2009 (based respectively on sales in 2007 and 2008) reappraise in 2012 and 2013. Fortunately, no counties last reappraised in 2008 are on a four-year reappraisal cycle.6 Therefore, it will be 2013 before any county that reappraised during the price peak of the last housing boom is scheduled to reappraise again. The good news is that Tennessee for the most part avoided the catastrophic declines (over last 5 years) in property values seen in Arizona (-43%), California (-42%), Florida (-40%), and Nevada (-53%).7 During the next reappraisal cycle in these states, local officials will have to face either draconian cuts to local budgets, or significant increases in nominal tax rates.

The outlook for Tennessee local governments, while less challenging than in many other states, will still require historically new strategies to deal with the prospect of historically low increases in taxable assessments during the next reappraisal cycle. No longer will the reappraisal cycle provide local officials with a cakewalk in raising new local property tax
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revenue. Local property tax revenue has risen over time primarily as a result of growing property values (and therefore taxable assessments). This has in many cases allowed nominal property tax rates themselves to remain fairly stable over a long period of time.8

Conclusion

The combined effect of recent multi-year declines in local sales tax collections and the threat of required future property tax rate increases poses future funding problems for local governments. The availability of federal stimulus money and deliberate state funding decisions favoring K-12 education (at the expense of other state programs) helped stabilize K-12 spending over the last three years. However future K-12 program funding will be problematic.

Endnotes

1This report focuses only on the two primary tax sources used to finance local governments. In addition to sales tax and property tax problems discussed in this report, local governments will also be impacted by slowly recovering state shared tax revenue and the end of the federal stimulus program (ARRA).
2In Sevier County, local sales tax revenue exceeds property tax revenue.
3Compared to collections during July 2009-April 2010. Data from April issue of Tennessee Department of Revenue “Revenue Collections.”
4Carroll, Cheatham, Claiborne, Coffee, DeKalb, Fentress, Grainger, Hancock, Hardeman, Haywood, Jackson, Johnson, Lauderdale, Lincoln, McNairy, Meigs, Moore, Union, Van Buren, and Wayne.
5State sales tax collections during the July 2010-April 2011 period were $5,353.2 million, during fiscal year 2006, $5,396.3 million, and during fiscal year 2007, $5,664.1 million.
6See schedule at http://www.comptroller1.state.tn.us/PAnew/Schedule.asp.
7Source: Federal Housing Finance Agency.
8In fact, nominal property tax rates in 73 counties were less in 2010 than in 1999.