PREA Facility Audit Report: Final

Name of Facility: Madison County Juvenile Detention Center

Facility Type: Juvenile

Date Interim Report Submitted: NA **Date Final Report Submitted:** 11/14/2023

Auditor Certification	
The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.	
No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review.	
I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate/resident/detainee or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.	
Auditor Full Name as Signed: Robert B. Latham	Date of Signature: 11/14/ 2023

AUDITOR INFORMATION		
Auditor name:	Latham, Robert	
Email:	robertblatham@icloud.com	
Start Date of On- Site Audit:	09/28/2023	
End Date of On-Site Audit:	09/29/2023	

FACILITY INFORMATION		
Facility name:	Madison County Juvenile Detention Center	
Facility physical address:	224 Lexington Avenue, Jackson, Tennessee - 38301	
Facility mailing address:		

Primary Contact	
Name:	Roosevelt Washington
Email Address:	rwashington@madisoncountytn.gov
Telephone Number:	7312254990

Superintendent/Director/Administrator		
Name:	TJ King	
Email Address:	tking@madisoncountytn.gov	
Telephone Number:	7314236140ext7114	

Facility PREA Compliance Manager

Facility Characteristics	
Designed facility capacity:	8
Current population of facility:	5
Average daily population for the past 12 months:	5
Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months?	No
Which population(s) does the facility hold?	Both females and males
Age range of population:	12-17
Facility security levels/resident custody levels:	secured detention
Number of staff currently employed at the facility who may have contact with residents:	30
Number of individual contractors who have contact with residents, currently	0

authorized to enter the facility:	
Number of volunteers who have contact with residents, currently authorized to enter the facility:	1

AGENCY INFORMATION		
Name of agency:	Madison County Juvenile Court Services	
Governing authority or parent agency (if applicable):		
Physical Address:	224 Lexington , Jackson, Tennessee - 38301	
Mailing Address:		
Telephone number:		

Agency Chief Executive Officer Information:		
Name:		
Email Address:		
Telephone Number:		

Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator Information			
Name:	Marvin Taylor	Email Address:	mtaylor@madisoncountytn.gov

Facility AUDIT FINDINGS

Summary of Audit Findings

The OAS automatically populates the number and list of Standards exceeded, the number of Standards met, and the number and list of Standards not met.

Auditor Note: In general, no standards should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA." A compliance determination must be made for each standard. In rare instances where an auditor determines that a standard is not applicable, the auditor should select "Meets Standard" and include a comprehensive discussion as to why the standard is not applicable to the facility being

audited.		
Number of stand	dards exceeded:	
0		
Number of standards met:		
43		
Number of standards not met:		
0		

POST-AUDIT REPORTING INFORM	ATION
GENERAL AUDIT INFORMATION	
On-site Audit Dates	
1. Start date of the onsite portion of the audit:	2023-09-28
2. End date of the onsite portion of the audit:	2023-09-29
Outreach	
10. Did you attempt to communicate with community-based organization(s) or victim advocates who provide services to this facility and/or who may have insight into relevant conditions in the facility?	YesNo
a. Identify the community-based organization(s) or victim advocates with whom you communicated:	 WO/Men's Resource and Rape Assistance Program (WRAP) Just Detention International Tennessee Department of Children's Services
AUDITED FACILITY INFORMATION	
14. Designated facility capacity:	8
15. Average daily population for the past 12 months:	5
16. Number of inmate/resident/detainee housing units:	2
17. Does the facility ever hold youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees?	No No Not Applicable for the facility type audited (i.e., Community Confinement Facility or Juvenile Facility)

Audited Facility Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit	
Inmates/Residents/Detainees Population Char of the Audit	racteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion
36. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of onsite portion of the audit:	6
38. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees with a physical disability in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
39. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
40. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (visually impaired) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
41. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
42. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
43. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0

44. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
45. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
46. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
47. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
48. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit (e.g., groups not tracked, issues with identifying certain populations):	No text provided.
Staff, Volunteers, and Contractors Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit	
49. Enter the total number of STAFF, including both full- and part-time staff, employed by the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	30
50. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:	1

51. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:	3
52. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of staff, volunteers, and contractors who were in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	No text provided.
INTERVIEWS	
Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews	
Random Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews	
53. Enter the total number of RANDOM INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:	6
54. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees: (select all that apply)	 Age Race Ethnicity (e.g., Hispanic, Non-Hispanic) Length of time in the facility Housing assignment Gender Other None
55. How did you ensure your sample of RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees was geographically diverse?	All 6 residents were interviewed.
56. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of random inmate/ resident/detainee interviews?	Yes No

г

a. Explain why it was not possible to conduct the minimum number of random inmate/resident/detainee interviews:	The total number of residents in the facility on the first day of the onsite portion of the audit was 6.
57. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation):	No text provided.
Targeted Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interview	S
58. Enter the total number of TARGETED INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:	0
As stated in the PREA Auditor Handbook, the breakdown of targeted interviews is intended to guide auditors in interviewing the appropriate cross-section of inmates/residents/detainees who are the most vulnerable to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. When completing questions regarding targeted inmate/resident/detainee interviews below, remember that an interview with one inmate/resident/detainee may satisfy multiple targeted interview requirements. These questions are asking about the number of interviews conducted using the targeted inmate/ resident/detainee protocols. For example, if an auditor interviews an inmate who has a physical disability, is being held in segregated housing due to risk of sexual victimization, and disclosed prior sexual victimization, that interview would be included in the totals for each of those questions. Therefore, in most cases, the sum of all the following responses to the targeted inmate/resident/detainee interview categories will exceed the total number of targeted inmates/ residents/detainees who were interviewed. If a particular targeted population is not applicable in the audited facility, enter "0".	
60. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees with a physical disability using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	0
a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category:	Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.
	☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.

b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	Corroboration strategies included discussions with staff and interviews with residents.
61. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	0
a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category:	■ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ■ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	Corroboration strategies included discussions with staff and interviews with residents.
62. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who are Blind or have low vision (i.e., visually impaired) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	0

■ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ■ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
Corroboration strategies included discussions with staff and interviews with residents.
0
Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
Corroboration strategies included discussions with staff and interviews with residents.
0

a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category:	■ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ■ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	Corroboration strategies included discussions with staff and interviews with residents.
65. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:	0
a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category:	Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	Corroboration strategies included discussions with staff and interviews with residents.
66. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who identify as transgender or intersex using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:	0

a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category:	Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	Corroboration strategies included discussions with staff and interviews with residents.
67. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who reported sexual abuse in this facility using the "Inmates who Reported a Sexual Abuse" protocol:	0
a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category:	Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	Corroboration strategies included discussions with staff and interviews with residents.
68. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening using the "Inmates who Disclosed Sexual Victimization during Risk Screening" protocol:	0

a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category:	 ■ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ■ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	Corroboration strategies included discussions with staff and interviews with residents.
69. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization using the "Inmates Placed in Segregated Housing (for Risk of Sexual Victimization/Who Allege to have Suffered Sexual Abuse)" protocol:	0
a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category:	Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	Corroboration strategies included discussions with staff and interviews with residents.

70. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing targeted inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews):	No text provided.
Staff, Volunteer, and Contractor Interv	views
Random Staff Interviews	
71. Enter the total number of RANDOM STAFF who were interviewed:	8
72. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM STAFF interviewees: (select all that apply)	Length of tenure in the facility
	Shift assignment
	Work assignment
	Rank (or equivalent)
	Other (e.g., gender, race, ethnicity, languages spoken)
	None
If "Other," describe:	Gender, race, ethnicity, and languages spoken were considered.
73. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of RANDOM STAFF	Yes
interviews?	● No

a. Select the reason(s) why you were unable to conduct the minimum number of RANDOM STAFF interviews: (select all that apply)	 ■ Too many staff declined to participate in interviews. ■ Not enough staff employed by the facility to meet the minimum number of random staff interviews (Note: select this option if there were not enough staff employed by the facility or not enough staff employed by the facility to interview for both random and specialized staff roles). ■ Not enough staff available in the facility during the onsite portion of the audit to meet the minimum number of random staff interviews. ■ Other
74. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random staff (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation):	All 8 staff members, from all shifts, on duty during the 2 days of the onsite portion of the audit were interviewed.
Specialized Staff, Volunteers, and Contractor	Interviews
Staff in some facilities may be responsible for more than one of the specialized staff duties. Therefore, more than one interview protocol may apply to an interview with a single staff member and that information would satisfy multiple specialized staff interview requirements.	
75. Enter the total number of staff in a SPECIALIZED STAFF role who were interviewed (excluding volunteers and contractors):	8
76. Were you able to interview the Agency Head?	YesNo
77. Were you able to interview the Warden/Facility Director/Superintendent or their designee?	Yes No

ı

Γ

78. Were you able to interview the PREA Coordinator?	Yes No
79. Were you able to interview the PREA Compliance Manager?	 Yes No NA (NA if the agency is a single facility agency or is otherwise not required to have a PREA Compliance Manager per the Standards)

80. Select which SPECIALIZED STAFF roles were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)	Agency contract administrator
	Intermediate or higher-level facility staff responsible for conducting and documenting unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment
	Line staff who supervise youthful inmates (if applicable)
	Education and program staff who work with youthful inmates (if applicable)
	☐ Medical staff
	☐ Mental health staff
	Non-medical staff involved in cross-gender strip or visual searches
	Administrative (human resources) staff
	Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) staff
	Investigative staff responsible for conducting administrative investigations
	Investigative staff responsible for conducting criminal investigations
	Staff who perform screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness
	Staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing/residents in isolation
	Staff on the sexual abuse incident review team
	Designated staff member charged with monitoring retaliation
	First responders, both security and non- security staff
	■ Intake staff

	Other
81. Did you interview VOLUNTEERS who may have contact with inmates/	Yes
residents/detainees in this facility?	● No
82. Did you interview CONTRACTORS who may have contact with inmates/	Yes
residents/detainees in this facility?	No
a. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS who were interviewed:	1
b. Select which specialized CONTRACTOR role(s) were interviewed as part of this	Security/detention
audit from the list below: (select all that apply)	Education/programming
	☐ Medical/dental
	Food service
	☐ Maintenance/construction
	Other
83. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing specialized staff.	No text provided.

SITE REVIEW AND DOCUMENTATION SAMPLING

Site Review

PREA Standard 115.401 (h) states, "The auditor shall have access to, and shall observe, all areas of the audited facilities." In order to meet the requirements in this Standard, the site review portion of the onsite audit must include a thorough examination of the entire facility. The site review is not a casual tour of the facility. It is an active, inquiring process that includes talking with staff and inmates to determine whether, and the extent to which, the audited facility's practices demonstrate compliance with the Standards. Note: As you are conducting the site review, you must document your tests of critical functions, important information gathered through observations, and any issues identified with facility practices. The information you collect through the site review is a crucial part of the evidence you will analyze as part of your compliance determinations and will be needed to complete your audit report, including the Post-Audit Reporting Information.

compliance determinations and will be needed to complete your audit report, including the Post-Audit Reporting Information.		
84. Did you have access to all areas of the facility?		
Was the site review an active, inquiring proce	ess that included the following:	
85. Observations of all facility practices in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., signage, supervision practices, crossgender viewing and searches)?	YesNo	
86. Tests of all critical functions in the facility in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., risk screening process, access to outside emotional support services, interpretation services)?		
87. Informal conversations with inmates/ residents/detainees during the site review (encouraged, not required)?	YesNo	
88. Informal conversations with staff during the site review (encouraged, not required)?	YesNo	

89. Provide any additional comments	No text provided.
regarding the site review (e.g., access to	
areas in the facility, observations, tests	
of critical functions, or informal	
conversations).	

Documentation Sampling

Where there is a collection of records to review-such as staff, contractor, and volunteer training records; background check records; supervisory rounds logs; risk screening and intake processing records; inmate education records; medical files; and investigative files-auditors must self-select for review a representative sample of each type of record.

90. In addition to the proof documentation selected by the agency or facility and provided to you, did you also conduct an auditor-selected sampling of documentation?	YesNo
91. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting additional documentation (e.g., any documentation you oversampled, barriers to selecting additional documentation, etc.).	No text provided.

SEXUAL ABUSE AND SEXUAL HARASSMENT ALLEGATIONS AND INVESTIGATIONS IN THIS FACILITY

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Allegations and Investigations Overview

Remember the number of allegations should be based on a review of all sources of allegations (e.g., hotline, third-party, grievances) and should not be based solely on the number of investigations conducted. Note: For question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, or detainee sexual abuse allegations and investigations, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

92. Total number of SEXUAL ABUSE allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

	# of sexual abuse allegations	# of criminal investigations	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
Inmate- on- inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0
Staff- on- inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0

93. Total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

	# of sexual harassment allegations	# of criminal investigations	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
Inmate-on- inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0
Staff-on- inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Sexual Abuse Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently (i.e., if a criminal investigation was referred for prosecution and resulted in a conviction, that investigation outcome should only appear in the count for "convicted.") Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual abuse investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

94. Criminal SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/ Court Case Filed	Convicted/ Adjudicated	Acquitted
Inmate-on- inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0	0
Staff-on- inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0

95. Administrative SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0

Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently. Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detained sexual harassment investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

96. Criminal SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/ Court Case Filed	Convicted/ Adjudicated	Acquitted
Inmate-on- inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0	0
Staff-on- inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0

97. Administrative SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review

Sexual Abuse Investigation Files Selected for Review 98. Enter the total number of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/ sampled: a. Explain why you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files. There were no sexual abuse investigation files.

99. Did your selection of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?	No NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files)
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation	files
100. Enter the total number of INMATE- ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:	0
101. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?	No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)
102. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?	Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation fil	es
103. Enter the total number of STAFF- ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:	0
104. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?	No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)

105. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?	No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)
Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Select	ed for Review
106. Enter the total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	0
a. Explain why you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files:	There were no sexual harassment investigation files.
107. Did your selection of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?	Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files)
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investig	gation files
108. Enter the total number of INMATE- ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	0
109. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT files	Yes
include criminal investigations?	NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)

110. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?	No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigat	cion files
111. Enter the total number of STAFF- ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	0
112. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include criminal investigations?	No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)
113. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?	Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)
114. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting and reviewing sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigation files.	No text provided.

SUPPORT STAFF INFORMATION			
DOJ-certified PREA Auditors Support Staff			
115. Did you receive assistance from any DOJ-CERTIFIED PREA AUDITORS at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the preonsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.	Yes No		
Non-certified Support Staff			
116. Did you receive assistance from any	Yes		
NON-CERTIFIED SUPPORT STAFF at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the preonsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.	● No		
AUDITING ARRANGEMENTS AND COMPENSATION			
121. Who paid you to conduct this audit?	The audited facility or its parent agency		
	My state/territory or county government employer (if you audit as part of a consortium or circular auditing arrangement, select this option) A third-party auditing entity (e.g., accreditation body, consulting firm) Other		

Standards

Auditor Overall Determination Definitions

- Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the stand for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective actions)

Auditor Discussion Instructions

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

115.311	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator	
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard	
	Auditor Discussion	
	The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance	
	determination: Documents:	
	1. MCJDC PREA Policy	
	2. DCS Policy 18.8: Zero -Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse,	
	Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA	
	3. MCJDC Organizational Chart	
	4. MCJDC DYS Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses	
	Interviews:	
	1. Interview with the PREA Coordinator	
	2. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager	
	Site Review Observations:	
	Observations during on-site review of physical plant	
	Findings (By Provision):	

115.311 (a)

PAQ: The agency has a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in facilities it operates directly or under contract. The facility has a policy outlining how it will implement the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The policy includes definitions of prohibited behaviors regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The policy includes sanctions for those found to have participated in prohibited behaviors. The policy includes a description of agency strategies and responses to reduce and prevent sexual abuse and sexual harassment of residents.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 1) The Madison County Juvenile Detention Center shall be committed to a zero-tolerance standard for all forms of sexual abuse/assault /misconduct/harassment or rape within the facility. The MCJDC shall be provide congregate care for children/youth and shall be committed to reduce the risk of sexual abuse, sexual harassment, assault, misconduct and rape the implementation of the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) as outlined in Public Law 108-79, section 3.

MCJDC PREA Policy outlines the facility's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to such conduct. Policy includes definitions of prohibited behaviors regarding sexual misconduct, sexual abuse and sexual harassment and includes sanctions for those found to have participated in prohibited behaviors. The policy addresses prevention of sexual abuse and sexual harassment through the designations of a PREA Coordinator, supervision and monitoring, criminal background checks, staff training, resident education, PREA posters and educational materials. The policy addresses detection of sexual abuse and sexual harassment through resident education, staff training, and intake screening for risk of sexual victimization and abusiveness. The policy addresses responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment through the various ways of reporting, investigations, disciplinary sanctions for residents and staff, victim advocacy, access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, sexual abuse incident reviews, data collection, and data review for corrective action.

115.311 (b)

PAQ: The agency employs or designates an upper-level, agency-wide PREA Coordinator. The PREA Coordinator has sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards at the facility. The position of the PREA Coordinator is in the agency's organizational structure.

DCS Policy 18.8 (pages 1-2) DCS/Contract Agencies designates a PREA Coordinator with sufficient time and authority to develop, implement and oversee DCS' efforts to comply with the PREA standards in a Youth Development Center facility and Contract Agencies.

The MCJDC Facility Director serves as the PREA Coordinator. The PREA Coordinator is identified on the facility's organizational chart. The PREA Coordinator confirmed he

has sufficient time and authority to develop, implement and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards.

115.311 (c)

PAQ: The facility has designated a PREA Compliance Manager. The PREA Compliance Manager has sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards. The position of the PREA Compliance Manager in the agency's organizational structure is Detention Center Manager. The PREA Compliance Manager reports to the Facility Director.

DCS Policy 18.8 (page 2) When a Contract Agency operates more than one (1) facility, each facility designates a PREA Compliance Manager with sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards. PREA Compliance Manager duties may be the responsibility of the PREA Coordinator when the Contract Agency operates only one (1) facility.

Although MCJDC is a single entity agency, the facility has designated a PREA Compliance Manager. The PREA Compliance Manager stated he has sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard requiring a zero-tolerance policy toward sexual abuse and sexual harassment and the designation of a PREA Coordinator and PREA Compliance Manager. No corrective action is required.

115.312 Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

Documents:

- 1. MCJDC PREA Policy
- 2. DCS Policy 18.8: Zero -Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA
- 3. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses

Findings (By Provision):

115.312 (a)

MCJDC does not contract with other facilities for the confinement of residents. The Tennessee Department of Children's Services (DCS) licenses the operations of MCJDC.

115.312 (b)

DCS conducts monitoring to ensure MCJDC is complying with the PREA standards.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor confirmed the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents. No corrective action is required.

115.313	Supervision and monitoring
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:
	Documents:
	 MCJDC PREA Policy DCS Policy 18.8: Zero -Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA Staffing Plan
	4. 2021-2023 Staffing Plan Assessments
	5. PREA Compliance Checklist (PREA Unannounced Rounds) 6. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses
	Documents (Corrective Action):
	1. Unannounced Rounds Corrective Action Statement - 7/13/2023 2. Unannounced Rounds for August to October - 10/16/2023
	Interviews:
	1. Interview with the Facility Director
	2. Interview with the PREA Coordinator
	3. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager
	4. Interview with Intermediate or Higher-Level Facility Staff
	Site Review Observations:
	Observations during on-site review of physical plant
	Findings (By Provision): 115.313 (a)
	PAQ: Since the 2020 PREA audit:
	1. The average daily number of residents: 5
	2. The average daily number of residents on which the staffing plan was predicated: 5
	MCJDC PREA Policy (page 10) The MCJDC will develop, implement, and document a

staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing, and, where applicable,

video monitoring, to protect residents against sexual abuse.

DCS Policy 18.8 (page 10) The Agency develops, implements, and documents a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing, and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect children/youth against sexual abuse. In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, Agency takes into consideration:

- 1. Generally accepted juvenile detention and correctional/secure residential practices;
- 2. Any judicial finding of inadequacies;
- 3. Any findings of inadequacy from federal investigative agencies;
- 4. Any finding of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies;
- 5. All components of the facilities physical plant (including "blind spots" or areas where staff or children/youth may be isolated);
- 6. The composition of the children/youth population;
- 7. The number and placement of supervisory staff;
- 8. Institution programs occurring on a particular shift;
- 9. Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards;
- 10. The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse; and
- 11. Any other relevant factors.

The Facility Director/PREA Coordinator and the PREA Compliance Manager confirmed MCJDC regularly develops a staffing plan, maintains adequate staffing levels to protect residents against sexual abuse, considers video monitoring as part of the plan, and documents the plan. When assessing staffing levels and the need for video monitoring, the staffing plan considers: generally accepted juvenile detention and correctional/secure residential practices; any judicial findings of inadequacy; any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies; any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies; all components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind spots" or areas where staff or residents may be isolated); the composition of the resident population; the number and placement of supervisory staff; institution programs occurring on a particular shift; any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards; the prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse; and any other relevant factors.

115.313 (b)

PAQ: Each time the staffing plan is not complied with, the facility documents and justifies all deviations from the staffing plan.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 10) MCJDC will comply with the staffing plan except during limited and discrete exigent circumstances and will fully document deviations from the plan during such circumstances.

The Facility Director confirmed there have been no circumstances in which the facility has been unable to meet the requirements of the staffing plan. The facility would document all instances of non-compliance with the staffing plan and includes

an explanation for non-compliance.

115.313 (c)

PAQ: The facility is obligated by law, regulation, or judicial consent decree to maintain staffing ratios of a minimum of 1:8 during resident waking hours and 1:16 during resident sleeping hours. The facility maintains staff ratios of a minimum of 1:5 during resident waking hours. The facility maintains staff ratios of a minimum of 1:5 during resident sleeping hours.

In the past 12 months:

- 1. The number of times the facility deviated from the staffing ratios of 1:8 security staff during resident waking hours: 0
- 2. The number of times the facility deviated from the staffing ratios of 1:16 security staff during resident sleeping hours: 0

The Facility Director confirmed MCJDC is obligated by DCS to maintain ratios of staff-to-youth ratios of 1:8 during the day and 1:16 at night. However, the facility exceeds this requirement with ratios of 1:5 both day and night. He ensures the facility maintains appropriate staffing ratios by referring to daily logs.

PREA Site Review: During the site review of the facility the auditor observed all areas of the facility, where residents were present, were compliant with the staffing ratios. Staff were actively engaging with the residents and providing supervision.

115.313 (d)

PAQ: At least once every year the agency or facility, in collaboration with the PREA Coordinator, reviews the staffing plan to see whether adjustments are needed to:

- 1. The staffing plan;
- 2. Prevailing staffing patterns;
- 3. The deployment of monitoring technology; or
- 4. The allocation of agency or facility resources to commit to the staffing plan to ensure compliance with the staffing plan.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 10) Whenever necessary, but no less frequently than once each year, consultation with the PREA Coordinator by DCS will assess, determine, and document whether adjustments are needed to:

- a) The staffing plan;
- b) Prevailing staffing patterns;
- c) The MCJDC deployment or updating of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies the MCJDC will consider how such technology may enhance the facility's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse; and
- d) The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan.

The PREA Coordinator confirmed he is consulted regarding any assessments of, or adjustments to, the staffing plan. He confirmed the assessment occurs annually and is documented through the Facility Staffing Plan Assessment.

The auditor reviewed the 2021, 2022, and 2023 Facility Annual Staffing Plan

Assessments for verification the assessments have occurred, and they are inclusive of the standard provision requirements.

115.313 (e)

PAQ: The facility requires that intermediate-level or higher-level staff conduct unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The facility documents unannounced rounds. The unannounced rounds cover all shifts. The facility prohibits staff from alerting other staff of the conduct of such rounds.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 10) MCJDC will have unannounced visits by the level supervisors. The supervisor will make unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment. These rounds will be conducted on all shifts at least once a month. Staff is prohibited from alerting other staff as to when these rounds will be conducted. Any staff that alerts another staff could face serious disciplinary action.

The Detention Center Manager stated the unannounced rounds are documented on the PREA Compliance Checklist. He prevents staff from alerting other staff that he is conducting the unannounced rounds by not announcing the rounds are occurring.

The auditor reviewed unannounced rounds for the 12-month audit period were not being conducted on all shifts each month. Through corrective action, the facility implemented a corrective action plan (7/13/2023) and provided unannounced rounds for August through October covering all shifts (10/16/2023).

Conclusion and Corrective Action:

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding supervision and monitoring. Corrective action is complete.

115.313 (e) The facility implemented a corrective action plan (7/13/2023) and provided unannounced rounds for August through October covering all shifts (10/16/2023).

115.315	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard	
	Auditor Discussion	
	The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:	
	Documents: 1. MCJDC PREA Policy 2. DCS Policy 18.8: Zero -Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA	

- 3. Transgender Resident Search Consent Form
- 4. PREA Training Simulation: Transgender Residents
- 5. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses

Documents (Corrective Action):

1. Staff Training Acknowledgements for Transgender and Intersex Searches – 10/10/2023

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with the PREA Coordinator
- 2. Interviews with a Random Sample of Staff
- 3. Interviews with a Random Sample of Residents
- 4. Interviews with Transgendered and Intersex Residents

Site Review Observations:

Observations during on-site review of physical plant

Findings (By Provision):

115.315 (a)

PAQ: The facility does not conduct cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches of residents.

In the past 12 months:

- 1. The number of cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches of residents: 0
- 2. The number of cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches of residents that did not involve exigent circumstances or were performed by non-medical staff: N/A

115.315 (b)

PAQ: The facility does not permit cross-gender pat-down searches of residents, absent exigent circumstances.

In the past 12 months:

- 1. The number of cross-gender pat-down searches of residents: 0
- 2. The number of cross-gender pat-down searches of residents that did not involve exigent circumstance(s): 0

Interviews with staff and residents confirmed cross-gender searches are restricted.

115.315 (c)

PAQ: Facility policy requires that all cross-gender strip searches, cross-gender visual body cavity searches, and cross-gender pat-down searches be documented and justified.

MCJDC does not conduct cross-gender pat down, strip searches or visual body cavity searches. Policy does not allow any types of cross-gender searches including pat down searches. The facility would document and justify all cross-gender strip searches, cross-gender visual body cavity searches, and cross-gender pat-down searches if they were to occur.

115.315 (d)

PAQ: The facility has implemented policies and procedures that enable residents to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without non-medical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks (this includes viewing via video camera). Policies and procedures require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering a resident housing unit or area where residents are likely to be showering, performing bodily functions, or changing clothing.

Resident interviews confirmed staff of the opposite gender would announce their presence when entering the housing units. The residents all stated they are never naked in full view of staff of the opposite gender. Showers are conducted by staff of the same gender.

Staff interviews confirmed staff of the opposite gender announce their presence by knocking on the cell doors. Staff confirmed residents are able to dress, shower, and use the toilet without being viewed by staff of the opposite gender.

PREA Site Review:

Residents are able to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing in the privacy of a single shower behind the privacy of a shower curtain. Staff of the same gender monitor showers. No cameras enable viewing of residents in a state of undress, such as showering, using the toilet, and/or changing their clothes. Rules and procedures only allow changing clothes in the shower area. The auditor was not given the opportunity to observe cross-gender announcements.

115.315 (e)

PAQ: The facility has a policy prohibiting staff from searching or physically examining a transgender or intersex resident for the sole purpose of determining the resident's genital status. No such searches occurred in the past 12 months.

Interviews with staff confirmed they are aware of the policy prohibiting them from searching or physically examining a transgender or intersex juvenile for the purpose of determining the juvenile's genital status.

No residents identified as transgender or intersex during the onsite phase of the audit.

115.315 (f)

The percent of all security staff who received training on conducting cross-gender pat-down searches and searches of transgender and intersex residents in a professional and respectful manner, consistent with security needs: 100%

Through corrective action, policy was updated with regards to transgender and intersex searches (10/10/2023). Policy previously did not allow for transgender and intersex searches to be conducted with consideration given to a resident's gender expression or preference with regards to staff gender performing searches.

Through corrective action, the facility conducted training on conducting searches of transgender and intersex residents (10/10/2023). Staff signed acknowledgement forms indicating receipt of the training.

The auditor reviewed the staff training curriculum and 2023 staff training records for verification the training is provided. The training was completed by 10 staff.

Conclusion and Corrective Action:

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the facility is compliant with this standard regarding limits to cross-gender viewing and searches. Corrective action is complete.

115.315 (f)

- Policy was updated with regards to transgender and intersex searches (10/10/2023). Policy previously did not allow for transgender and intersex searches to be conducted with consideration given to a resident's gender expression or preference with regards to staff gender performing searches.
- \bullet The facility conducted training on conducting searches of transgender and intersex residents (10/10/2023). Staff signed acknowledgement forms indicating receipt of the training.

115.316

Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

Documents:

- 1. MCJDC PREA Policy
- 2. AVAZA Language Services
- 3. Language Identification Guide
- 4. Partnership with Pathways Counseling Services
- 5. Special Education Teacher's License
- 6. Resident PREA Brochure (English and Spanish)
- 7. Resident PREA Posters (English and Spanish)
- 8. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses

Documents (Corrective Action):

1. Staff Training Records for Residents with Disabilities and Residents with Limited English Proficiency – 10/24/2023

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with the PREA Coordinator
- 2. Interview with the Agency Head (Facility Director)

- 3. Interviews with Residents with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Residents
- 4. Interviews with a Random Sample of Staff

Site Review Observations:

Observations during on-site review of physical plant

Findings (By Provision):

115.316 (a)

PAQ: The agency has established procedures to provide disabled residents equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

MCJDC PREA Policy (pages 3-4) Appropriate provisions will be made as necessary for children/youth who are limited English proficient, have disabilities (including those who are deaf or hard of hearing, those who are blind or have low vision), and those with low intellectual, psychiatric, or speech disabilities through Speak Easy If a resident has low intellectual abilities and cannot interpret the PREA standards or documents, a MCJDC staff member will interpret the documents for him/her.

Materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with juveniles with disabilities, including juveniles who have intellectual disabilities, limited reading skills, or who are blind or have low vision.

The PREA Compliance Manager confirmed the agency has established procedures to provide disabled residents equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. He provided a written explanation for how the facility provides access to services. Written materials are read to the residents. The facility has a partnership with Pathways Counseling Servies to council youth that may have intellectual disabilities. The Madison County school system provides a special education teacher to assist with residents that are lower functioning or certified with an IEP. Residents who are deaf or hard of hearing shall receive Interpretive Services through The West Tennessee School for the Deaf. Interpreters provide services for youth with any detention and court related services.

Through corrective action, the facility provided staff training for PREA-compliant practices for residents with disabilities (10/24/2023). The auditor reviewed staff training records to verify the training was completed.

There were no residents, with a cognitive or functional disability, who were blind or had low vision, or who were deaf or hard-of-hearing, identified during the onsite audit.

115.316 (b)

PAQ: The agency has established procedures to provide residents with limited English proficiency equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

MCJDC PREA Policy (pages 3-4) Appropriate provisions will be made as necessary for children/youth who are limited English proficient, have disabilities (including those who are deaf or hard of hearing, those who are blind or have low vision), and those with low intellectual, psychiatric, or speech disabilities through Speak Easy If a resident has low intellectual abilities and cannot interpret the PREA standards or documents, a MCJDC staff member will interpret the documents for him/her.

The facility uses AVAZA Language Services for interpreter services. The brochure and posters are available in Spanish. Through corrective action, the facility provided staff training for PREA-compliant practices for residents with Limited English Proficiency (10/24/2023). The auditor reviewed staff training records to verify the training was completed.

No residents were identified as limited English proficient during the onsite audit.

The auditor tested access to interpreter services through the assistance of the intake staff. During the intake demonstration he called AVAZA Language Services and confirmed services are available.

115.316 (c)

PAQ: Agency policy prohibits use of resident interpreters, resident readers, or other types of resident assistants except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the resident's safety, the performance of first-response duties under § 115.364, or the investigation of the resident's allegations. The agency or facility documents the limited circumstances in individual cases where resident interpreters, readers, or other types of resident assistants are used.

In the past 12 months, the number of instances where resident interpreters, readers, or other types of resident assistants have been used and it was not the case that an extended delay in obtaining another interpreter could compromise the resident's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.364, or the investigation of the resident's allegations: 0

MCJDC PREA Policy (pages 4) MCJDC will not rely on resident interpreters except in urgent circumstances where safety may be compromised.

Staff interviewed confirmed the agency does not use resident interpreters, resident readers, or other types of resident assistants to assist disabled residents or residents with limited English proficiency when making an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

There were no residents who were limited English proficient identified during the onsite audit.

Conclusion and Corrective Action:

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English Proficient. Corrective action is

complete.

115.316 (a) The facility provided staff training for PREA-compliant practices for residents with disabilities (10/24/2023).

115.316 (b) The facility provided staff training for PREA-compliant practices for residents with Limited English Proficiency (10/24/2023).

115.317 Hiring and promotion decisions

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

Documents:

- 1. MCJDC PREA Policy
- 2. MCJDC PREA HR Policy
- 3. DCS Policy 18.8: Zero -Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA
- 4. Criminal Background Record Checks
- 5. Five Year Criminal Background Record Checks
- 6. Tennessee Department of Children's Services Database Search Results
- 7. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses

Documents (Corrective Action):

- 1. Employee Appraisal/Questionnaire Form 8/10/2023
- 2. Employee Appraisal/Questionnaire Examples 9/28/2023
- 3. Consideration of Incidents of Sexual Harassment 8/10/2023
- 4. Reference Check Form for Prior Institutional Employers 8/21/2023
- 5. Contractor Criminal Background Record Checks 11/14/2023
- 6. Contractor Tennessee Department of Children's Services Database Search Results
- 11/14/2023

Interviews:

1. Administrative (Human Resources) Staff

Site Review Observations:

Observations during on-site review of physical plant

Findings (By Provision):

115.317 (a)

PAQ: Agency policy prohibits hiring or promoting anyone who may have contact with residents, and prohibits enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents, who:

1. Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement

facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997);

- 2. Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse; or
- 3. Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in paragraph (a)(2) of this section.

Through corrective action, the facility developed the Employee Appraisal/ Questionnaire Form (8/10/2023). The form includes the three questions about prior misconduct and will be used during the hiring and promoting process as well as annually during performance reviews. Completed Employee Appraisal/Questionnaire Forms were provided to the auditor for 12 detention center staff (9/28/2023). The staff were asked, and they answered the three questions about prior misconduct.

115.317 (b)

PAQ: Agency policy requires the consideration of any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with residents.

MCJDC PREA HR Policy (page 1) Madison County Juvenile Court Services reserves the right to consider any incidents of sexual harassment in the hiring or promoting of an employee or the enlistment of services of any contractor.

Through corrective action, the facility developed the Employment Questionnaire Form. The form includes consideration of any incidents of sexual harassment (8/10/2023). Completed Employee Appraisal/Questionnaire Forms were provided to the auditor for 12 detention center staff (9/28/2023). The staff were asked, and they answered the questions about any incidents of sexual harassment.

115.317 (c)

PAQ: Agency policy requires that before it hires any new employees who may have contact with residents, it (a) conducts criminal background record checks, (b) consults any child abuse registry maintained by the State or locality in which the employee would work; and (c) consistent with Federal, State, and local law, makes its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse.

During the past 12 months:

- 1. The number of persons hired who may have contact with residents who have had criminal background record checks: 0
- 2. The percent of persons hired who may have contact with residents who have had criminal background record checks: N/A

MCJDC PREA HR Policy (page 1) Madison County Juvenile Court Services performs the following background checks at the initial hiring of an employee and at least every five years for the duration of their employment. Contractors will be subject to the same requirement.

a. Sexual Offender Registry

- b. Child and Elderly Abuse Registry
- c. Felony Registry
- d. Meth Registry
- e. Fingerprint criminal records check
- f. NCIC and criminal records check through local law enforcement entities, which may include but is not limited to the Madison County Sheriff's Department and the Jackson Police Department

The Detention Center Manager stated confirmed the facility performs criminal record background checks and considers pertinent civil or administrative adjudications for all newly hired employees who may have contact with residents and all employees, who may have contact with residents, who are being considered for promotions. The facility also consults the Tennessee Department of Children's Services Database.

The auditor reviewed Employee Background Checks and Tennessee Department of Children's Services Database Search Results for verification. Through corrective action, the facility implemented the Reference Check Form for Prior Institutional Employers (8/21/2023) to document contacting prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse.

115.317 (d)

PAQ: Agency policy requires that a criminal background records check be completed, and applicable child abuse registries consulted before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents.

During the past 12 months:

- 1. The number of contracts for services where criminal background record checks were conducted on all staff covered in the contract who might have contact with residents: 0 (Through interviews and observations, this number was determined to be 2.)
- 2. The percent of contracts for services where criminal background record checks were conducted on all staff covered in the contract who might have contact with residents: 0%

MCJDC PREA HR Policy (page 1) Madison County Juvenile Court Services performs the following background checks at the initial hiring of an employee and at least every five years for the duration of their employment. Contractors will be subject to the same requirement.

- 1. Sexual Offender Registry
- 2. Child and Elderly Abuse Registry
- 3. Felony Registry
- 4. Meth Registry
- 5. Fingerprint criminal records check
- 6. NCIC and criminal records check through local law enforcement entities, which may include but is not limited to the Madison County Sheriff's Department and the Jackson Police Department

The Detention Center Manager stated the facility will perform criminal record background checks and consider pertinent civil or administrative adjudications before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents. The facility would also consult the Tennessee Department of Children's Services Database.

Through corrective action, the facility provided criminal background records checks and Tennessee Department of Children's Services database checks for the contracted staff (11/14/2023). The auditor reviewed the records to verify the checks were completed.

115.317 (e)

PAQ: Agency policy requires that either criminal background records checks be conducted at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with residents or that a system is in place for otherwise capturing such information for current employees.

MCJDC PREA HR Policy (page 1) Madison County Juvenile Court Services performs the following background checks at the initial hiring of an employee and at least every five years for the duration of their employment. Contractors will be subject to the same requirement.

- 1. Sexual Offender Registry
- 2. Child and Elderly Abuse Registry
- 3. Felony Registry
- 4. Meth Registry
- 5. Fingerprint criminal records check
- 6. NCIC and criminal records check through local law enforcement entities, which may include but is not limited to the Madison County Sheriff's Department and the Jackson Police Department

The auditor reviewed criminal background record checks of current employees for verification of compliance with the standard provision. Criminal background records checks were all completed within 5 years.

115.317 (f)

Through corrective action, the facility developed the Employee Appraisal/ Questionnaire Form (8/10/2023). The form includes the three questions about prior misconduct and will be used during the hiring and promoting process as well as annually during performance reviews. Completed Employee Appraisal/Questionnaire Forms were provided to the auditor for 12 detention center staff (9/28/2023). The staff were asked, and they answered the three questions about prior misconduct.

115.317 (g)

PAQ: Agency policy states that material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, shall be grounds for termination.

115.317 (h)

The Detention Center Manager stated MCJDC would provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former

employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work.

Conclusion and Corrective Action:

Based upon review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding hiring and promotion decisions. Corrective action is complete.

115.317 (**a&f**) The facility developed the Employee Appraisal/Questionnaire Form (8/10/2023). The form includes the three questions about prior misconduct and will be used during the hiring and promoting process as well as annually during performance reviews. Completed Employee Appraisal/Questionnaire Forms were provided to the auditor for 12 detention center staff (9/28/2023). The staff were asked, and they answered the three questions about prior misconduct.

115.317 (b) The facility developed the Employee Appraisal/Questionnaire Form (8/ 10/2023) to include consideration of any incidents of sexual harassment. Completed Employee Appraisal/Questionnaire Forms were provided to the auditor for 12 detention center staff (9/28/2023).

115.317 (c) The facility implemented the Reference Check Form for Prior Institutional Employers (8/21/2023) to document contacting prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse.

115.317 (d) The facility provided criminal background records checks and Tennessee Department of Children's Services database checks for the 2 contracted staff (11/14/2023).

115.318 Upgrades to facilities and technologies

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

Documents:

- 1. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses
- 2. Facility Schematics

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with the Agency Head (Facility Director)
- 2. Interview with the Facility Director

Site Review Observations:

Observations during on-site review of physical plant

Findings (By Provision):

115.318 (a)

PAQ: The agency or facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion or modification to existing facilities since the last PREA audit.

The Facility Director confirmed the facility would consider the ability to protect residents from sexual abuse when designing or acquiring any new facility and in planning any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities. Also, the agency would consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse.

115.318 (b)

PAQ: The agency or facility has installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since the last PREA audit.

The Facility Director confirmed when installing or updating a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, the agency shall consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse.

PREA Site Review:

The auditor observed the video monitoring system.

Conclusion:

Based upon review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding upgrades to facilities and technology. No corrective action is required.

115.321 **Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations** Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard **Auditor Discussion** The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination: **Documents:** 1. MCJDC PREA Policy 2. DCS Policy 14.25: Special Child Protective Services Investigations 3. DCS Policy 18.8: Zero -Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA 4. Memorandum of Understanding with WO/Men's Resource and Rape Assistance Program (WRAP) 5. Memorandum Re: Investigation Agencies 6. Jackson-Madison County General Hospital Sane Nurse Program 7. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with the PREA Coordinator
- 2. Interviews with a Random Sample of Staff
- 3. Interviews with Residents who Reported a Sexual Abuse
- 4. SAFE's/SANE's

Site Review Observations:

Observations during on-site review of physical plant

Findings (By Provision):

115.321 (a) N/A

PAQ: MCJDC is not responsible for conducting administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations (including resident-on-resident sexual abuse or staff sexual misconduct).

DCS is responsible for conducting administrative sexual abuse investigations. DCS investigators work directly with law enforcement for criminal sexual abuse investigations.

Staff interviewed confirmed they know and understand the agency's protocol for obtaining usable physical evidence if a resident alleges sexual abuse. They acknowledged DCS and local law enforcement are responsible for conducting sexual abuse investigations.

115.321 (b) N/A

MCJDC is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations.

115.321 (c)

PAQ: The facility offers all residents who experience sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations. Forensic medical examinations are offered without financial cost to the victim. Where possible, examinations are conducted by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs). When SANEs or SAFEs are not available, a qualified medical practitioner performs forensic medical examinations.

During the past 12 months:

- 1. The number of forensic medical exams conducted: 0
- 2. The number of exams performed by SANEs/SAFEs: 0
- 3. The number of exams performed by a qualified medical practitioner: 0

SANEs are available through the Jackson-Madison County General Hospital Sane Nurse Program.

115.321 (d)

PAQ: MCJDC makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to the victim, in person or by other means.

The facility has a Memorandum of Understanding with WO/Men's Resource and Rape Assistance Program (WRAP) for victim advocate services. The auditor confirmed

availability of the services through a telephone interview with the WRAP Development Coordinator and reviewing the Memorandum of Understanding.

There were no residents who reported a sexual abuse present during the onsite audit.

115.321 (e)

PAQ: If requested by the victim, a victim advocate, or qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompanies and supports the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews and provides emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals.

The PREA Coordinator confirmed WRAP would provide a qualified victim advocate.

There were no residents who reported a sexual abuse present during the onsite audit.

115.321 (f)

PAQ: MCJDC is not responsible for administrative or criminal investigating allegations of sexual abuse and relies on another agency to conduct these investigations. DCS policy outlines they are the responsible agency, and they follow the requirements of paragraphs §115.321 (a) through (e) of the standards.

The auditor reviewed a memorandum stating DCS is responsible for investigations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

Conclusion:

Based upon review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations. No corrective action is required.

115.322	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:
	 Documents: MCJDC PREA Policy DCS Policy 14.25: Special Child Protective Services Investigations DCS Policy 18.8: Zero -Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA Agency Website

5. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses

Interview:

1. Interview with the Agency Head (Facility Director)

Site Review Observations:

Observations during on-site review of physical plant

Findings (By Provision):

115.322 (a)

PAQ: MCJDC ensures that an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

In the past 12 months:

- 1. The number of allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment that were received: 0
- 2. The number of allegations resulting in an administrative investigation: N/A
- 3. The number of allegations referred for criminal investigation: N/A
- 4. Referring to allegations received in the past 12 months, all administrative and/or criminal investigations were completed: N/A

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 7) MCJDC will ensure that an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse/assault/misconduct/harassment.

DCS policy ensures that an administrative or criminal investigation is competed for all allegations of sexual abuse, sexual assault, sexual misconduct, and sexual harassment. All incidents are documented on the Tennessee Family and Child Tracking System (TFACTS).

The Facility Director stated MCJDC ensures that an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. He stated DCS is responsible for administrative investigations and local law enforcement is responsible for criminal investigations.

115.322 (b)

PAQ: MCJDC has a policy that requires that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment be referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, including the agency if it conducts its own investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior. The agency's policy regarding the referral of allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment for a criminal investigation is published on the agency website. The agency documents all referrals of allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment for criminal investigation.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 7) MCJDC will ensure that an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse/assault/misconduct/harassment.

The auditor observed the agency's policy regarding the referral of allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment for a criminal investigation is published on the agency website.

115.322 (c)

The auditor observed the published policy on the MCJDC website at https://www.madisoncountytn.gov/153/Juvenile-Court-Services.

115.322 (d)

Any State entity responsible for conducting administrative or criminal investigations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment in juvenile facilities shall have in place a policy governing the conduct of such investigations.

The Tennessee Department of Children's Services (DCS) has policy governing the conduct of sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigations. The auditor reviewed DCS Policy 14.25 Special Child Protective Services Investigations and DCS Policy 18.8 Zero-Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA for verification.

115.322 (e)

Any Department of Justice component responsible for conducting administrative or criminal investigations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment in juvenile facilities shall have in place a policy governing the conduct of such investigations.

There is no Department of Justice component responsible for conducting administrative or criminal investigations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

Conclusion:

Based upon review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations. No corrective action is required.

115.331	Employee training
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:
	Documents:
	1. MCJDC PREA Policy
	2. DCS Policy 18.8 Zero -Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse,
	Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA
	3. Staff PREA Training Curriculum
	4. Form CS-0940 Employee/Volunteer/Contractor Acknowledgement and Notification

of Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)

- 5. Training Sign-In sheets
- 6. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses

Document (Corrective Action):

1. Staff PREA Training Curriculum Updated with all Topics - 9/11/2023

Interviews:

Interview with a Random Sample of Staff

Site Review Observations:

Observations during on-site review of physical plant

Findings (By Provision):

115.331 (a)

PAQ: MCJDC trains all employees who may have contact with residents on the eleven (11) required topics.

MCJDC PREA Policy (pages 8-9) MCJDC Employees will be trained on:

- a) The zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment;
- b) How to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures;
- c) Residents' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment;
- d) The right of residents and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment;
- e) The dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in juvenile facilities;
- f) The common reactions of juvenile victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment;
- g) The common reactions of juvenile victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment;
- h) How to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse and how to distinguish between consensual sexual contact and sexual abuse between residents;
- i) How to avoid inappropriate relationships with residents;
- j) How to communicate effectively and professionally with residents, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming residents;
- k) How to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities; and
- I) Relevant laws regarding the applicable age of consent.

Staff interviewed confirmed they have received training on the eleven (11) PREA topics in standard 115.331 when hired and annually thereafter. The auditor reviewed 15, 2022 and 2023 staff training records.

Through corrective action, the facility updated the staff training curriculum to be inclusive of relevant laws regarding the applicable age of consent (9/11/2023).

115.331 (b)

PAQ: Training is tailored to the unique needs and attributes and gender of the residents at the facility. Employees who are reassigned from facilities housing the

opposite gender are given additional training.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 9) Training will be tailored to the unique needs and attributes of residents of the facility and to the gender of the residents. Employees will receive additional training if the employee is reassigned from a facility that houses only male residents to a facility that houses only female residents, or vice versa.

The auditor reviewed staff training records for verification. The detention center is a single entity agency and has both male and female residents.

115.331 (c)

PAQ: Between trainings the agency provides employees who may have contact with residents with refresher information about current policies regarding sexual abuse and harassment. The frequency with which employees who may have contact with residents receive refresher training on PREA requirements: quarterly

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 9) All MCJDC employees will receive training during orientation or in-service and through annual refresher training thereafter. Employees will receive refresher courses every six months, or if there is an update on any PREA policy. Detention staff will be notified and briefed on the new policies or changes in PREA standards.

The auditor reviewed the PREA training curriculum and staff training records for verification training is received annually.

115.331 (d)

PAQ: The agency documents that employees who may have contact with residents understand the training they have received through employee signature or electronic verification.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 9) All MCJDC employees, volunteers and contractors are required to sign form CS-0940, Employee Acknowledgement and Notification of Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) to acknowledge they have read the MCJDC zero-tolerance policy and understand the training they have received. MCJDC will maintain documentation on all employees, volunteers and contractors who receive training on PREA.

The auditor reviewed 2022 and 2023 staff training records for 9 staff.

Conclusion and Corrective Action:

Based upon review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding employee training. Corrective action is complete.

115.315 (a) The facility updated the staff training curriculum to be inclusive of relevant laws regarding the applicable age of consent (9/11/2023).

115.332 Volunteer and contractor training

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

Documents:

- 1. MCJDC PREA Policy
- 2. DCS Policy 18.8: Zero -Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA
- 3. Form CS-0940 Employee/Volunteer/Contractor Acknowledgement and Notification of Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)
- 4. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses

Interviews:

1. Interviews with Contractors and Volunteers who have Contact with Residents

Site Review Observations:

Observations during on-site review of physical plant

Findings (By Provision):

115.332 (a)

PAQ: All volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's policies and procedures regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response.

The number of volunteers and contractors, who have contact with residents, who have been trained in agency's policies and procedures regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response: 3

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 9) All MCJDC employees and volunteers that have direct contact with children/youth will receive training during orientation and annual refresher training thereafter.

The auditor interviewed one contractor. The contractor confirmed she has been trained on her responsibilities under the agency's policies and procedures regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response.

115.332 (b)

PAQ: The level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with residents, but all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents shall be notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents.

115.332 (c)

PAQ: The agency maintains documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 9) All MCJDC employees, volunteers and contractors are required to sign form CS-0940, Employee Acknowledgement and Notification of Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) to acknowledge they have read the MCJDC zero-tolerance policy and understand the training they have received. MCJDC will maintain documentation on all employees, volunteers and contractors who receive training on PREA.

The auditor reviewed the training acknowledgements for 3 contract staff.

Conclusion:

Interviews:

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding volunteer and contractor training. No corrective action is required.

115.333 Resident education Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard **Auditor Discussion** The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination: **Documents:** 1. MCJDC PREA Policy 2. DCS Policy 18.8: Zero -Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA 3. AVAZA Language Services 4. Language Identification Guide 5. Partnership with Pathways Counseling Services 6. Special Education Teacher's License 7. DCS form CS-0939, Youth Acknowledgment and Notification of Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) 8. Comprehensive PREA Education Acknowledgments 9. PREA Resident Education PowerPoint 10. Resident PREA Brochure (English and Spanish) 11. Resident PREA Posters (English and Spanish) 12. PREA Resident Video 13. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses **Documents (Corrective Action):** 1. Comprehensive PREA Education Statement - 9/24/2023 2. Comprehensive PREA Education Acknowledgements - 11/14/2023 3. New Posters - 10/19/2023 4. New Signage Posted - 11/14/2023

- 1. Interview with Intake Staff
- 2. Interviews with a Random Sample of Residents

Site Review Observations:

Observations during on-site review of physical plant

Findings (By Provision):

115.333 (a)

PAQ: Residents receive information at time of intake about the zero-tolerance policy and how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. This information is provided in an age-appropriate fashion.

Of residents admitted during the past 12 months, the number who were given this information at intake: 213

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 3) During the intake process, children/youth will receive information explaining, in an age-appropriate fashion, the YDC/Agency's zero tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse/assault/misconduct/harassment and how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

Written and verbal information on PREA will be provided and explained to children/ youth within forty-eight (48) hours of arrival at a YDC/Agency and will include, but not limited to:

- a) MCJDC's zero-tolerance policy regarding PREA
- b) Prevention/Intervention
- c) Self-protection and how to avoid risk situations
- d) Consequences for engaging in any type of sexual activity while at the facility
- e) How to safely report sexual abuse

The Detention Monitor confirmed residents are educated on the facility's zerotolerance policy on sexual abuse and sexual harassment and how to report during intake. Written and verbal information on PREA is provided and explained to all residents at intake.

Residents interviewed confirmed they were informed of their right not to be sexually abused and sexually harassed, how to report, and their right not to be punished for reporting, during the intake process.

The auditor reviewed intake records of residents entering the facility in the past 12 months and residents interviewed for verification. All 18 residents received the information at intake. This information is documented with the Youth Acknowledgement and Notification of PREA Form.

115.333 (b)

PAQ: Of residents admitted during the past 12 months, the number who received such education within 10 days of intake: 213

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 4) MCJDC will educate the child/youth every ten days on PREA.

The Detention Monitor stated MCJDC ensures that residents are educated regarding their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents, and regarding agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents by providing the information in various educational formats and requiring the residents to sign an acknowledgment form stating they understand then information. He stated residents are made aware of these rights within 10 days after intake and every 10 days thereafter.

Residents interviewed confirmed they received information about the facility's rules against sexual abuse and harassment.

The auditor reviewed PREA education video and Comprehensive PREA Education Acknowledgments for residents entering the facility in the past 12 months and residents interviewed for verification. The documentation indicated the comprehensive education was not consistently provided within 10 days of intake. Through corrective action, the facility provided a plan of action statement (9/24/2023) and 5 Comprehensive PREA Education Acknowledgments for a 45-day period (11/14/2023). Four of 5 of the acknowledgements indicated comprehensive education was completed within 10 days of intake.

115.333 (c)

PAQ: All residents were educated within 10 days of intake.

The Detention Monitor confirmed all residents are educated on the facility's zerotolerance policy on sexual abuse and sexual harassment regardless of if they are transferred from other facilities.

The auditor reviewed Youth Acknowledgment and Notification of PREA Forms and Comprehensive PREA Education Acknowledgments for residents entering the facility in the past 12 months and residents interviewed for verification.

115.333 (d)

PAQ: The agency shall provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who are limited English proficient, deaf, visually impaired, or otherwise disabled, as well as to residents who have limited reading skills.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 4) Appropriate provisions will be made as necessary for children/youth who are limited English proficient, have disabilities (including those who are deaf or hard of hearing, those who are blind or have low vision), and those with low intellectual, psychiatric, or speech disabilities through Speak Easy If a resident has low intellectual abilities and cannot interpret the PREA standards or documents, a MCJDC staff member will interpret the documents for him/her. MCJDC will not rely on resident interpreters except in urgent circumstances where safety may be compromised.

The PREA Compliance Manager confirmed the agency has established procedures to provide disabled residents equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. He provided a written explanation for how the facility provides

access to services. Written materials are read to the residents. The facility has a partnership with Pathways Counseling Servies to council youth that may have intellectual disabilities. The Madison County school system provides a special education teacher to assist with residents that are lower functioning or certified with an IEP. Residents who are deaf or hard of hearing shall receive Interpretive Services through The West Tennessee School for the Deaf. Interpreters provide services for youth with any detention and court related services.

The facility uses AVAZA Language Services for interpreter services. The brochure and posters are available in Spanish.

115.333 (e)

PAQ: The agency maintains documentation of resident participation in PREA education sessions.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 4) All MCJDC children/youth are required to sign DCS/MCJDC form CS-0939, Youth Acknowledgement and Notification of Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) to acknowledge they have been notified and informed of PREA and on how to report incidents of sexual abuse/assault/misconduct/harassment.

- a) Copies of the signed form will be sent to the child/youth's parent(s) or guardians, family service worker, and
- b) The original signed form will be maintained in the child/youth's case file in QUEST.

The auditor reviewed Youth Acknowledgment and Notification of PREA Forms and Comprehensive PREA Education Acknowledgments for residents entering the facility in the past 12 months and residents interviewed for verification resident participation in PREA education sessions is documented.

115.333 (f)

PAQ: The agency ensures that key information about the agency's PREA policies is continuously and readily available or visible through posters, resident handbooks, or other written formats.

Site Review: During the site review the auditor observed pamphlets and other educational materials available in English and Spanish. Some information needed to be updated. Through corrective action, posters have been updated to be readable and accessible, accurate and consistent (10/19/2023), and are placed throughout the facility (11/14/2023). The information is provided in English and Spanish. The posters contain information about PREA, including how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment, as well as a toll-free hotline phone number for DCS child abuse reporting.

Conclusion and Corrective Action:

Based upon review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding resident education. Corrective action is complete.

115.333 (b) The facility provided a plan of action statement (9/24/2023) and 5 Comprehensive PREA Education Acknowledgments for a 45-day period (11/14/2023).

115.333 (f) Posters have been updated to be readable and accessible, accurate and consistent (10/19/2023), and are placed throughout the facility (11/14/2023).

115.334 Specialized training: Investigations

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

Documents:

- 1. MCJDC PREA Policy
- 2. DCS Policy 5.2: Professional Development and Training Requirements
- 3. DCS Policy 18.8: Zero -Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA
- 4. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses

MCJDC does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. Investigators are employed and trained by DCS. DCS investigators receive specialized training from the Tennessee Bureau of Investigations (TBI) and National Institute of Corrections (NIC) online training in sexual abuse investigations involving juveniles.

The DCS Special Investigators Unit Training Curriculum includes:

(1) What is PREA; (2) Confined Settings and Sexual Abuse Investigations; (3) Receiving a Referral for a Sexual Abuse Investigation in a Confined Setting; (4) Gathering Information during a Sexual Abuse Investigation in a Confined Setting; (5) Conducting a Sexual Abuse Investigation within a Confined Setting; (6) Interviewing Juvenile Sexual Abuse Victims; (7) Sexual Abuse Evidence Collection in Confinement Settings; (8) False Allegations; (9) Recanting Information; (10) Witnessing Sexual Abuse; (11) Substantiating a Case for Prosecution Referral; (12) Miranda Warning; and (13) Garrity Warning

Conclusion:

Based upon review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding specialized training: investigations. No corrective action is required.

115.335 Specialized training: Medical and mental health care

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

Documents:

- 1. MCJDC PREA Policy
- 2. DCS Policy 18.8: Zero -Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA
- 3. Form CS-0940 Employee/Volunteer/Contractor Acknowledgement and Notification of Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)
- 4. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses

Interviews:

1. Interviews with Medical and Mental Health Staff - N/A

Site Review Observations:

Observations during on-site review of physical plant

Findings (By Provision):

115.335 (a) N/A

PAQ: The agency has a policy related to the training of medical and mental health practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.

- 1. The number of all medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly at this facility who received the training: 0
- 2. The percent of all medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly at this facility who received the training required by agency policy: N/A

MCJDC does not employ full time or part-time medical staff or mental health staff.

115.335 (b) N/A

PAQ: MCJDC does not employee medical staff that conduct forensic exams. Forensic medical examinations are performed offsite.

115.335 (c) N/A

PAQ: The agency would maintain documentation showing that medical and mental health practitioners have completed the required training.

MCJDC does not employ full time or part-time medical staff or mental health staff.

115.335 (d) N/A

Medical and mental health care practitioners shall also receive the training mandated for employees under § 115.331 or for contractors and volunteers under § 115.332, depending upon the practitioner's status at the agency.

MCJDC does not employ full time or part-time medical staff or mental health staff.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has

determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding specialized training for medical and mental health care. No corrective action is required.

115.341 Obtaining information from residents

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

Documents:

- 1. MCJDC PREA Policy
- 2. DCS Policy 18.8 Zero -Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA
- 3. DCS form CS-0946 Assessment, Checklist and Protocol for Behavior and Risk for Victimization
- 4. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses

Documents (Corrective Action):

- 1. Risk Reassessment Statement of Non-Applicability due to Short Term Program (11/9/2023)
- 2. PREA Risk Assessment Updated with Identification of Intersex Criteria (10/19/2023)
- 3. PREA Risk Assessment Updated with Gender Nonconforming Appearance or Manner Criteria (11/9/2023)

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with the PREA Coordinator
- 2. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager
- 3. Interview with the Staff Responsible for Risk Screening
- 4. Interviews with a Random Sample of Residents

Site Review Observations:

Observations during on-site review of physical plant

Findings (By Provision):

115.341 (a)

PAQ: The agency has a policy that requires screening (upon admission to a facility or transfer to another facility) for risk of sexual abuse victimization or sexual abusiveness toward other residents. The policy requires that residents be screened for risk of sexual victimization or risk of sexually abusing other residents within 72 hours of their intake.

In the past 12 months:

1. The number of residents entering the facility (either through intake or transfer)

whose length of stay in the facility was for 72 hours or more who were screened for risk of sexual victimization or risk of sexually abusing other residents within 72 hours of their entry into the facility: 213

2. The percent of residents entering the facility (either through intake or transfer) whose length of stay in the facility was for 72 hours or more who were screened for risk of sexual victimization or risk of sexually abusing other residents within 72 hours of their entry into the facility: 100%

The policy requires that a resident's risk level be reassessed periodically throughout their confinement.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 2) During the intake process, DCS form CS-0946 Assessment, Checklist and Protocol for Behavior and Risk for Victimization will be administered to all children/youth within seventy-two (72) hours of admission the facility.

The auditor reviewed completed PREA Risk Assessments for verification they are completed within 72 hours of entry into the facility. Eleven assessments were reviewed for the 12-month audit period. All of the 11 assessments were completed within 72 hours of intake. Six assessments were reviewed for residents interviewed. All 6 of the risk assessments were completed within 72 hours of intake. Due to the short-term nature of the program periodic risk assessments are not conducted. The facility provided a statement that residents do not stay at the facility long enough to conduct a risk reassessment (11/9/2023).

Residents interviewed confirmed when they first came to the facility, they were asked questions like whether they have ever been sexually abused, whether they identify with being gay, bisexual or transgender, whether they have any disabilities, and whether they think they might be in danger of sexual abuse at the facility. They stated they were asked these questions the first day at the facility.

115.341 (b)

PAQ: Risk assessment is conducted using an objective screening instrument. Such assessments shall be conducted using an objective screening instrument.

The auditor observed the objective screening instrument, examples for residents interviewed, and additional documentation for the 12-month audit period.

115.341 (c)

The auditor reviewed the risk assessment tool and found it to be inclusive of the required criteria, with the exception of identification as intersex and gender nonconforming appearance or manner. The agency added identification as intersex (10/19/2023) and gender nonconforming appearance or manner (11/9/2023) to the risk assessment criteria.

115.341 (d) This information shall be ascertained through conversations with the resident during the intake process and medical and mental health screenings; during classification assessments; and by reviewing court records, case files, facility behavioral records, and other relevant documentation from the resident's files.

The Staff Responsible for Risk Screening (Detention Monitor) confirmed the information is ascertained through conversations with residents during intake and reviewing any relevant documents when available. He stated there usually is no collateral information.

115.341 (e) The agency shall implement appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the resident's detriment by staff or other residents.

The PREA Coordinator, PREA Compliance Manager, and Detention Monitor confirmed the agency has outlined who can have access to a resident's risk assessment within the facility, in order to protect sensitive information from exploitation. Access is available to the Facility Director, Detention Center Managers, and on a need-to-know basis.

Conclusion and Corrective Action:

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the facility fully meets this standard regarding screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness. Corrective action is complete.

115.341 (a)

The facility provided a statement that, due to the short-term nature of the program, residents do not stay at the facility long enough to conduct a risk reassessment (11/9/2023).

115.341 (c)

The agency added identification as intersex (10/19/2023) and gender nonconforming appearance or manner (11/9/2023) to the risk assessment criteria.

115.342	Placement of residents
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:
	Documents: 1. MCJDC PREA Policy 2. DCS Policy 18.8 Zero-Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA 3. DCS form CS-0946 Assessment, Checklist, and Protocol for Behavior and Risk for Victimization 4. At-Risk Protocol section of DCS form CS-0946 5. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with the Facility Director
- 2. Interview with the PREA Coordinator
- 3. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager
- 4. Interview with Staff Responsible for Risk Screening
- 5. Interview with Staff who Supervise Residents in Isolation N/A
- 6. Interviews with Medical and Mental Health Staff N/A
- 7. Interviews with Transgendered/Intersex/Gay/Lesbian/Bisexual Residents N/A
- 8. Residents in Isolation (for risk of sexual victimization/who allege to have suffered sexual abuse) N/A

Site Review Observations:

Observations during on-site review of physical plant

Findings (By Provision):

115.342 (a)

PAQ: The agency/facility uses information from the risk screening required by §115.341 to inform housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 2) The "At-Risk Protocol" section of form CS-0946 will be initiated and completed on children/youth that are identified as vulnerable for atrisk sexual victimization or identified as having the potential to victimize/perpetrate, especially in regard to sexual aggressive behavior.

The auditor reviewed the At-Risk Protocol section of DCS form CS-0946, for the 12-month audit period. The protocol includes special housing recommendations, and placement and programming modifications.

The PREA Compliance Manager stated the facility uses information from the risk screening during intake to keep residents safe that may be vulnerable and at risk of assault or abuse. The Staff Responsible for Risk Screening (Detention Monitor) stated the facility uses the information for housing, placement, and program decisions.

115.342 (b)

PAQ: The facility does not have a policy that residents at risk of sexual victimization may only be placed in isolation as a last resort if less restrictive measures are inadequate to keep them and other residents safe, and only until an alternative means of keeping all residents safe can be arranged. The facility policy requires that residents at risk of sexual victimization who are placed in isolation have access to legally required educational programming, special education services, and daily large-muscle exercise.

In the past 12 months:

- 1. The number of residents at risk of sexual victimization who were placed in isolation: 0
- 2. The number of residents at risk of sexual victimization who were placed in isolation who have been denied daily access to large muscle exercise, and/or legally

required education, or special education services: 0

3. The average period of time residents at risk of sexual victimization who were held in isolation to protect them from sexual victimization: N/A

MCJDC does not use isolation. The Facility Director confirmed there is no use of isolation.

115.342 (c)

PAQ: The facility prohibits placing lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents in particular housing, bed, or other assignments solely on the basis of such identification or status. The facility prohibits considering lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification or status as an indicator of likelihood of being sexually abusive.

The PREA Coordinator and PREA Compliance Manager stated gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents are not placed in particular housing, bed, or other assignments solely on the basis of such identification or status, nor does the facility consider lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification or status as an indicator of likelihood of being sexually abusive.

No residents identified as lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex during the onsite phase of the audit.

Site review: The auditor observed the housing units. There was no particular housing, bed, or other assignments of lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents solely on the basis of such identification or status.

115.342 (d)

PAQ: The agency or facility makes housing and program assignments for transgender or intersex residents in the facility on a case-by-case basis.

The PREA Compliance Manager stated housing and programming assignments for transgender and intersex residents are considered on a case-by-case basis whether the placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether the placement would present management or security problems.

115.342 (e)

PAQ: Placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex resident shall be reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the resident.

The PREA Compliance Manager and Detention Monitor confirmed placement and programming assignments would be reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the resident.

115.342 (f)

PAQ: A transgender or intersex resident's own views with respect to his or her own safety shall be given serious consideration.

The PREA Compliance Manager and Detention Monitor confirmed a transgender or

intersex resident's own views with respect to his or her own safety is given serious consideration.

115.342 (g)

PAQ: Transgender and intersex residents shall be given the opportunity to shower separately from other residents.

The PREA Compliance Manager and Detention Monitor stated transgender and intersex residents are given the opportunity to shower separately from other residents.

No residents identified as transgender or intersex during the onsite phase of the audit.

Site Review: The auditor observed resident showers are single showers that provide privacy with a shower curtain. Additionally, there is an additional shower in the processing room, in intake, that would enable transgender and intersex residents to shower separately from other residents.

115.342 (h)

PAQ: From a review of case files of residents at risk of sexual victimization who were held in isolation in the past 12 months, the number of case files that include BOTH:

- 1. A statement of the basis for facility's concern for the resident's safety, and
- 2. The reason or reasons why alternative means of separation cannot be arranged: N/A

No residents at risk of sexual victimization were held in isolation in the past 12 months. MCJDC does not use isolation for residents at risk of sexual victimization.

115.342 (i)

PAQ: If a resident at risk of sexual victimization is held in isolation, the facility affords each such resident a review every 30 days to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population.

No residents at risk of sexual victimization were held in isolation in the past 12 months. MCJDC does not use isolation for residents at risk of sexual victimization.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding use of screening information. No corrective action is required.

115.351	Resident reporting
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

Documents:

- 1. MCJDC PREA Policy
- 2. DCS Policy 18.8 Zero-Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA
- 3. Duty to Report Tennessee Code Annotated 37-1-403 and 37-1-605
- 4. Youth Grievance Form
- 5. Memorandum of Understanding with WO/Men's Resource and Rape Assistance Program (WRAP)
- 6. Resident PREA Brochure (English and Spanish)
- 7. Resident PREA Posters (English and Spanish)
- 8. Systems Test of the Grievance System
- 9. Systems Test of External Reporting
- 10. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses

Documents (Corrective Action):

- 1. Civil Immigration Information 11/1/2023
- 2. New Posters 10/19/2023
- 3. New Signage Posted 11/14/2023

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager
- 2. Interviews with a Random Sample of Staff
- 3. Interviews with a Random Sample of Residents
- 4. Interviews with Residents who Reported a Sexual Abuse N/A

Site Review Observations:

Observations during on-site review of physical plant

Findings (By Provision):

115.351 (a)

PAQ: The agency has established procedures allowing for multiple internal ways for residents to report privately to agency officials about: Sexual abuse or sexual harassment; Retaliation by other residents or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment; AND Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents.

The No Means No Poster indicates Madison County Juvenile Detention Center offers multiple ways to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Reports can be made anonymously. Internal ways to report include:

- Calling Madison County Juvenile Court Services at (731) 300-4450.
- Reporting to any staff, volunteer, contractor, or medical or mental health staff.
- Submitting a grievance.
- Reporting to the PREA coordinator or PREA compliance manager.
- Telling a family member, friend, legal counsel, or anyone else outside the facility. They can report on a resident's behalf by calling (731) 300-4450
- Submitting a report on someone's behalf, or someone at the facility can report for

using the ways listed.

Staff interviews confirmed residents can privately report sexual abuse or sexual harassment, retaliation by other residents or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment, or staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment by reporting to the PREA Coordinator, PREA Compliance Manager, and Facility Director. They would also call the Madison County Juvenile Court Services and the DCS hotline. Residents stated they would report sexual abuse or sexual harassment that happened to them or someone else by telling staff, calling the hotline, or writing a grievance.

Site Review: The auditor observed pamphlets and posters with information about reporting resources. Some information needed to be updated. Through corrective action, posters have been updated to be readable and accessible, accurate and consistent (10/19/2023), and are placed throughout the facility (11/14/2023). The information is provided in English and Spanish. The posters contain information about PREA, including how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

Systems Test: The auditor tested internal reporting by submitting a test grievance. The grievance was responded to 7 days after being submitted. The email response indicated the test grievance was received the day after it was submitted.

115.351 (b)

PAQ: The agency provides at least one way for residents to report abuse or harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency. The agency has a policy requiring residents detained solely for civil immigration purposes be provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials of the Department of Homeland Security.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 5) Children/youth may report allegations of sexual abuse/ assault/ misconduct/harassment to local law enforcement (Jackson Police Department/Madison County Sheriff's Department) and may remain anonymous upon request. Allegations may be reported to WRAP WO/Men's Resource Assistance Program who will act as an outside agency in reporting sexual abuse in accordance to Policy 18.8 standard 115.353.

The No Means No Poster indicates Madison County Juvenile Detention Center offers multiple ways to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Reports can be made anonymously. External ways to report include:

- Calling the Tennessee Dept. of Children's Services Child Abuse Hotline at (877) 237-0004.
- Telling a family member, friend, legal counsel, or anyone else outside the facility. They can report on a resident's behalf by calling (877) 237-0004.

The PREA Compliance Manager identified the DCS hotline as one way residents can report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity that is not part of the agency. Calling the DCS hotline enables receipt and immediate transmission of resident repots of sexual abuse or sexual harassment to agency officials and allows the resident to remain anonymous upon request. Residents

stated they would report sexual abuse or sexual harassment that happened to them or someone else by telling staff, calling the hotline, or writing a grievance. They also could identify someone that does not work at the facility they could report to.

Through corrective action, residents detained solely for civil immigration purposes are provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security (11/1/2023). The facility will provide contact information for the Tennessee Department of Homeland Security and the All Saints Immigration Services located in Jackson.

Systems Test: The auditor tested external reporting by calling the Tennessee DCS Child Abuse hotline. Posters, located throughout the facility, instruct reporters to dial 877-247-0004.

115.351 (c)

PAQ: The agency has a policy mandating that staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties. Staff are required to document verbal reports. The time frame that staff are required to document verbal reports: 24-72 hours

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 4) Duty to Report - Tennessee Code Annotated 37-1-403 and 37-1-605 Pursuant to TCA 37-1-403 and 37-1-605, requires any person who has knowledge of or is called upon to render aid to any child/youth who is being sexually abused, sexually assaulted or sexually harassed has the duty to report such abuse. All allegations of sexual abuse must be reported to the DCS Child Abuse Hotline 1-877-237-0004.

Interviews with staff confirmed when a resident alleges sexual abuse or sexual harassment, they can do so verbally, in writing, anonymously and through third parties. Staff stated they document verbal reports immediately, or by end of shift. Residents confirmed they can make reports of sexual abuse or sexual harassment either in person or in writing and someone else could make the report for them, so they do not have to give their name.

115.351 (d)

PAQ: The facility provides residents with access to tools to make written reports of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, retaliation by other residents or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents.

The PREA Compliance Manager confirmed residents would be given a pencil to make written reports of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, retaliation by other residents or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents. Residents have access to grievance boxes, private hotline numbers, an anonymous phone line, and the ability to talk with upper management privately.

115.351 (e)

PAQ: The agency has established procedures for staff to privately report sexual

abuse and sexual harassment of residents. Staff are informed of these procedures in the following ways: during intake and 10-day PREA Education

Staff interviewed identified the DCS Child Abuse Hotline as a way for them to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of residents.

Conclusion and Corrective Action:

Based upon review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding resident reporting. Corrective action is complete.

115.351 (a)

- Posters have been updated to be readable and accessible, accurate and consistent (10/19/2023), and are placed throughout the facility (11/14/2023).
- Residents detained solely for civil immigration purposes are provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security (11/1/2023).

115.352 Exhaustion of administrative remedies

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

Documents:

- 1. MCJDC PREA Policy
- 2. Youth Grievance Form
- 3. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses

Interviews:

1. Interviews with Residents who Reported a Sexual Abuse

Site Review Observations:

Observations during on-site review of physical plant

Findings:

This standard does not apply to MCJDC. All resident grievances regarding sexual abuse are investigated externally by DCS. MCJDC does not have an administrative procedure for dealing with resident grievances regarding sexual abuse.

PAQ: In the past 12 months:

The number of grievances that were filed that alleged sexual abuse: 0

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding

exhaustion of administrative remedies. No corrective action is required.

115.353

Resident access to outside confidential support services and legal representation

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

Documents:

- 1. MCIDC PREA Policy
- 2. DCS Policy 18.8 Zero-Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA
- 3. Memorandum of Understanding with WO/Men's Resource and Rape Assistance Program (WRAP)
- 4. WO/Men's Resource and Rape Assistance Program (WRAP) Poster
- 5. Duty to Report Tennessee Code Annotated 37-1-403 and 37-1-605
- 6. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses

Documents (Corrective Action):

- 1. New Posters 10/19/2023
- 2. New Signage Posted 11/14/2023

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager
- 2. Interview with the Facility Director
- 3. Interviews with a Random Sample of Residents
- 4. Interviews with Residents who Reported a Sexual Abuse N/A

Site Review Observations:

Observations during on-site review of physical plant

Findings (By Provision):

115.353 (a)

PAQ: The facility provides residents access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by:

- 1. Giving residents (by providing, posting, or otherwise making accessible) mailing addresses and telephone numbers (including toll-free hotline numbers where available) of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations.
- 2. Enabling reasonable communication between residents and these organizations, in as confidential a manner as possible.

The facility has a Memorandum of Understanding with WO/Men's Resource and Rape Assistance Program (WRAP). Resident interviews revealed limited knowledge of

services available outside of the facility for dealing with sexual abuse. Through corrective action, the facility developed a poster that includes a mailing address and telephone number for victim support services though WRAP (10/19/2023). The facility provided pictures of the posted signage (11/14/2023).

115.353 (b)

PAQ: The facility informs residents, prior to giving them access to outside support services, the extent to which such communications will be monitored. The facility informs residents, prior to giving them access to outside support services, of the mandatory reporting rules governing privacy, confidentiality, and/or privilege that apply to disclosures of sexual abuse made to outside victim advocates, including any limits to confidentiality under relevant Federal, State, or local law.

Through corrective action, the facility developed a poster that indicates WRAP victim advocates are mandatory reporters and MCJDC staff will not monitor calls made to WRAP (10/19/2023). The posters are located next to the telephone, in intake, and in the living units (11/14/2023).

The auditor called WRAP from the resident telephone and confirmed calls could be made.

115.353 (c)

PAQ: The agency or facility maintains memoranda of understanding (MOUs) or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide residents with emotional support services related to sexual abuse. The agency or facility maintains copies of those agreements.

MCJDC has an MOU with WRAP for victim advocates. The auditor reviewed the MOU for verification services are available. The auditor contacted the WRAP Development Coordinator and confirmed victim advocacy is available to the youth at the facility. Residents would be able to call or write.

115.353 (d)

PAQ: The facility provides residents with reasonable and confidential access to their attorneys or other legal representation. The facility provides residents with reasonable access to parents or legal guardians.

The Facility Director and PREA Compliance Manager confirmed the facility would provide residents with reasonable and confidential access to their attorneys or other legal representation and reasonable access to parents or legal guardians.

Residents confirmed the facility allows them to see or talk with their lawyer or another lawyer and they are allowed to talk with that person privately. Residents also confirmed the facility allows them to see or talk with their parents or someone else such as a legal guardian.

Conclusion and Corrective Action:

Based upon review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding

resident access to outside support services and legal representation. Corrective action is complete.

115.353 (b)

Poster indicate WRAP victim advocates are mandatory reporters and MCJDC staff will not monitor calls made to WRAP (10/19/2023). The posters are located next to the telephone, in intake, and in the living units (11/14/2023).

115.354	Third-party reporting
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:
	Documents:
	1. MCJDC PREA Policy
	2. MCJDC Third Party Reporting Form
	3. Facility Website: https://www.madisoncountytn.gov/153/Juvenile-Court-Services
	4. Third-Party Reporting Test
	5. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses
	Documents (Corrective Action):
	1. Published Memorandum Regarding Third-Party Reports Updated with Corrected
	Link (11/5/2023)
	2. New Posters - 10/19/2023
	3. New Signage Posted - 11/14/2023
	Site Review Observations:
	Observations during on-site review of physical plant
	Findings (By Provision):
	115.354 (a)
	PAQ: The agency or facility provides a method to receive third-party reports of
	resident sexual abuse or sexual harassment.
	MCJDC has a published memorandum regarding third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The memorandum is linked to the detention center's website at: https://www.madisoncountytn.gov/153/Juvenile-Court-Services. The
	memorandum instructs reporters to report in the following ways:
	Call the TN DCS Child Abuse Hotline
	Report through the TN DCS Child Abuse Reporting Website
	Call or Email the Facility Director (PREA Coordinator)
	Call or Email the Detention Center Manager (PREA Compliance Manager)
	Call or Email Human Resources

Through corrective action, the link to the TN DCS Child Abuse Reporting Website, included in the published memorandum, was corrected (11/5/2023).

Also, a link to the Tennessee Department of Children Services Child Abuse Reporting website is published on the agency's website. Reports may be made via telephone or otherwise, on the Department of Children's Services Central Intake Division hotline at 1-877-237-0004 or online at: https://www.tn.gov/dcs/program-areas/child-safety/reporting/child-abuse.html.

Lasty, newly developed posters instruct reporters how to make third-party reports of resident sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

Site Review: Through corrective action, posters have been updated to be readable and accessible, accurate and consistent (10/19/2023), and are placed throughout the facility (11/14/2023). The information is provided in English and Spanish. The posters convey information on third-party reporting including the DCS Hotline number and other reporting methods.

Systems Test: The auditor tested third-party reporting by following instructions published on the agency's website. The auditor emailed the Detention Center Manager (PREA Compliance Manager) and the test report was responded to in 33 minutes.

Conclusion and Corrective Action:

Based upon review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding third-party reporting. Corrective action is complete.

115.354 (a)

- The link to the TN DCS Child Abuse Reporting Website, included in the published memorandum, was corrected (11/5/2023).
- Posters have been updated to be readable and accessible, accurate and consistent (10/19/2023), and are placed throughout the facility (11/14/2023).

115.361	Staff and agency reporting duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:
	Documents:
	1. MCJDC PREA Policy
	2. DCS Policy 18.8: Zero-Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse,
	Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA
	3. Duty to Report - Tennessee Code Annotated 37-1-403 and 37-1-605

4. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with the PREA Coordinator
- 2. Interview with the Facility Director
- 3. Interviews with a Random Sample of Staff
- 4. Interviews with Medical and Mental Health Staff N/A

Site Review Observations:

Observations during on-site review of physical plant

Findings (By Provision):

115.361 (a)

PAQ: The agency requires all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy:

- 1. Any knowledge, suspicion, or information they receive regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency.
- 2. Any retaliation against residents or staff who reported such an incident.
- 3. Any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 4) All MCJDC staff will report immediately and according to policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information they receive regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in the facility, whether or not it is part of the facility; retaliation against residents or staff who reported such an incident; and any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation.

Staff confirmed the agency requires all staff to report any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility; retaliation against residents or staff who reported such an incident; and any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation. They stated they would report to the Facility Director, Detention Center Managers, and DCS.

115.361 (b)

PAQ: The agency requires all staff to comply with any applicable mandatory child abuse reporting laws.

Per Tennessee Code Annotated 37-1-403 and 37-1-605 Pursuant to TCA 37-1-403 and 37-1-605, any person who has knowledge of or is called upon to render aid to any child/youth who is being sexually abused, sexually assaulted or sexually harassed has the duty to report such abuse.

Staff interviews confirmed they are aware of Tennessee laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse.

115.361 (c)

PAQ: Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials and designated State or local service agencies, agency policy prohibits staff from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions.

Staff confirmed the detention center requires all staff to report any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility; retaliation against residents or staff who reported such an incident; and any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation. They stated they would report to the Facility Director, Detention Center Managers, and DCS.

115.361 (d)

Medical and mental health practitioners are required to report sexual abuse to DCS. They are mandated to follow Duty to Report laws. Medical and mental health practitioners are required to inform residents at the initiation of services of their duty to report and the limitations of confidentiality.

MCJDC has no fulltime or part-time medical practitioners that work in the facility.

115.361 (e)

The Facility Director/PREA Coordinator confirmed when the facility receives an allegation of sexual abuse the allegation is reported to the DCS Child Abuse Hotline, local law enforcement, and the victim's legal guardians as appropriate. This notification would usually be made immediately. If a juvenile court retains jurisdiction over the alleged victim, the Facility Director shall report the allegation to the juvenile's attorney within one day. MCJDC does not conduct administrative or criminal investigations.

115.361 (f)

The Facility Director confirmed all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment (including those from third-party and anonymous sources) are reported directly to designated facility investigators.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding staff and agency reporting duties. No corrective action is required.

115.362	Agency protection duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance

determination:

Documents:

- 1. MCJDC PREA Policy
- 2. DCS Policy 18.8: Zero-Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA
- 3. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with the Agency Head Designee (Facility Director)
- 2. Interview with the Facility Director
- 3. Interviews with a Random Sample of Staff

Site Review Observations:

Observations during on-site review of physical plant

Findings:

PAQ: When the agency or facility learns that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, it takes immediate action to protect the resident (i.e., it takes some action to assess and implement appropriate protective measures without unreasonable delay).

In the past 12 months:

The number of times the agency or facility determined that a resident was subject to substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse: 0

The Facility Director confirmed immediate action would be taken to protect a resident subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. Actions would include separation.

Staff interviewed confirmed they would immediately separate a resident subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse from a potential perpetrator and provide close observation.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding agency protection duties. No corrective action is required.

115.363	Reporting to other confinement facilities
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination: Documents:

- 1. MCJDC PREA Policy
- 2. DCS Policy 18.8: Zero-Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA
- 3. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with the Agency Head (Facility Director)
- 2. Interview with the Facility Director

Site Review Observations:

Observations during on-site review of physical plant

Findings (By Provision):

115.363 (a)

PAQ: The agency has a policy requiring that, upon receiving an allegation that a resident was sexually abused while confined at another facility, the head of the facility must notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency or facility where sexual abuse is alleged to have occurred. The agency's policy also requires that the head of the facility notify the appropriate investigative agency.

In the past 12 months, the number of allegations the facility received that a resident was abused while confined at another facility: 0

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 2) If the victimization occurred while the child/youth was confined at another facility/agency, MCJDC will promptly, but no later than 72 hours, notify the head of the facility/agency where the alleged abuse occurred and will report the abuse incident directly to DCS Child Abuse Hotline at 1-877 237-0004.

115.363 (b)

PAQ: Agency policy requires that the facility head provides such notification as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 2) requires notification is made no later than 72 hours.

115.363 (c)

PAQ: The agency or facility documents that it has provided such notification within 72 hours of receiving the allegation.

115.363 (d)

PAQ: Agency/facility policy requires that allegations received from other facilities/ agencies are investigated in accordance with the PREA standards. The facility head or agency office that receives such notification shall ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards.

In the past 12 months, the number of allegations of sexual abuse the facility received from other facilities: 0

The Facility Director stated when the facility receives an allegation from another facility or agency that an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment occurred in

the facility, the allegation would be reported and investigated. He stated there are no examples of this occurring.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding reporting to other confinement facilities. No corrective action is required.

115.364 Staff first responder duties **Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion** The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination: **Documents:** 1. MCIDC PREA Policy 2. DCS Policy 18.8: Zero-Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA 3. DCS Protocol: First Responder Guidelines for Sexual Assaults 4. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses **Document (Corrective Action):** 1. Updated First Responder Policy (9/24/2023) Interviews: 1. Interviews with Security Staff First Responders 2. Interviews with a Random Sample of Staff **Site Review Observations:** Observations during on-site review of physical plant Findings (By Provision): 115.364 (a) PAQ: The agency has a first responder policy for allegations of sexual abuse. The agency policy requires that, upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, the first security staff member to respond to the report shall be required to: 1. Separate the alleged victim and abuser; 2. Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence; 3. If the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence, request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating; and 4. If the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of

physical evidence, ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating.

In the past 12 months, the number of allegations that a resident was sexually abused: 0

Of these allegations, the number of times the first security staff member to respond to the report separated the alleged victim and abuser: N/A

In the past 12 months, the number of allegations where staff were notified within a time period that still allowed for the collection of physical evidence: N/A

Through corrective action, policy was updated to be fully inclusive of the standard requirements (9/24/2023). Policy states that upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually assaulted, the first security staff member to respond to the abuse, the first security staff member to respond to the report separate the alleged victim and abuser.

If the abuse occurred within a time period that sill allows for the collection of physical evidence, the first security staff member spending to respond to the report request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating.

If the abuse occurred within a time period that allows for the collection of physical evidence, the first security team staff member to respond to the report, ensure that the alleged does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating.

Additionally, the DCS Protocol: First Responder Guidelines for Sexual Assaults provides in-depth guidelines regarding emergency medical attention, evidence collection, and treating both the victim's and perpetrator's bodies as crime scenes to safeguard evidence.

115.364 (b)

The agencies policy requires that if the first staff responder is not a security staff member, that responder shall be required to:

- 1. Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence.
- 2. Notify security staff.

Of the allegations that a resident was sexually abused made in the past 12 months, the number of times a non-security staff member was the first responder: 0

MCJDC does not employ non-security staff.

Conclusion and Corrective Action:

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding

staff first responder duties. Corrective action is complete.

115.364 (a) Policy was updated to be fully inclusive of the standard requirements (9/24/2023).

115.365 Coordinated response

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

Documents:

- 1. MCJDC PREA Policy
- 2. DCS Policy 18.8: Zero-Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA
- 3. DCS Protocol: First Responder Guidelines for Sexual Assaults
- 4. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses

Interview:

1. Interview with the Facility Director

Site Review Observation:

Observations during on-site review of physical plant

Findings:

PAQ: The facility has developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 6) Refer to Protocol-First Responder Guidelines for Sexual Assault for guidelines on responding to sexual assaults.

The Facility Director detailed the steps that would be taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse. First responder duties and the DCS Protocol: First Responder Guidelines for Sexual Assaults is followed. Staff would provide safety through separation, report the incident to law enforcement and provide access to mental health services.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding a coordinated response. No corrective action is required.

115.366 Preservation of ability to protect residents from contact with

abusers
Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
Auditor Discussion
The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance
determination:
Documents:
1. MCJDC PREA Policy
2. DCS Policy 18.8: Zero-Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse,
Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA
3. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses
Interview:
1. Interview with the Agency Head (Facility Director)
Site Review Observations:
Observations during on-site review of physical plant
Findings (By Provision):
115.366 (a)
PAQ: The agency, facility, or any other governmental entity responsible for
collective bargaining on the agency's behalf has not entered into or renewed ar
collective bargaining agreement or other agreement since the last PREA audit.

The Facility Director confirmed MCJDC has not entered into or renewed any collective bargaining agreements.

115.366 (b)

MCJDC has not entered into or renewed any collective bargaining agreements.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding preservation of the ability to protect residents from contact with abusers. No corrective action is required.

115.367	Agency protection against retaliation
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:
	Documents:
	1. MCJDC PREA Policy

- 2. DCS Policy 18.8: Zero-Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA
- 3. Protections Against Retaliation Monitoring Form
- 4. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with the Agency Head (Facility Director)
- 2. Interview with the Facility Director
- 3. Interview with the Designated Staff Member Charged with Monitoring Retaliation (Detention Center Manager)
- 4. Interview with Residents in Isolation (for risk of sexual victimization/who allege to have suffered sexual abuse) N/A
- 5. Interview with Residents who Reported a Sexual Abuse

Site Review Observations:

Observations during on-site review of physical plant

Findings (By Provision): 115.367 (a)

PAQ: The agency has a policy to protect all residents and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other residents or staff.

The Agency designates staff member(s) or charges department(s) with monitoring for possible retaliation. The name and title of the staff member: Marvin Taylor, Detention Center Manager

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 4) Retaliation or negative consequences for reporting sexual abuse/harassment or cooperating with sexual abuse/harassment investigations will not be tolerated and may result in disciplinary action up to and including termination.

115.367 (b)

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 5) If any individual involved in a report expresses fear of retaliation, the MCJDC will take appropriate measures to protect the individual that includes segregated housing, as applicable, if voluntarily requested by the individual.

The Facility Director/PREA Coordinator stated the facility would protect residents and staff from retaliation for sexual abuse or sexual harassment allegations through separation, suspension, and termination. The Detention Center Manager (Designated Staff Member Charged with Monitoring Retaliation) stated the role he plays in preventing retaliation against residents and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment, or against those who cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations is placing the staff on administrative leave until the resident is gone to another placement facility or released back to their parents. Also, he would give the resident counseling services. He confirmed he would initiate contact with residents who have reported sexual abuse.

115.367 (c)

PAQ: The agency and/or facility monitors the conduct or treatment of residents or staff who reported sexual abuse and of residents who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are any changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff.

The length of time that the agency and/or facility monitors the conduct or treatment: 90 days

The agency/facility acts promptly to remedy any such retaliation.

The agency/facility continues such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need.

The number of times an incident of retaliation occurred in the past 12 months: 0

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 5) For a period of ninety (90) days following a report, MCJDC will monitor the treatment of child/youth or staff that made a report and the child/youth who were reported to be abused to identify attempts at retaliation or negative consequences and will act immediately to remedy any such actions. Monitoring should include, but not limited to:

- Resident disciplinary reports, housing, or program changes
- Negative performance reviews or staff reassignments
- · Periodic status checks of residents

The MCJDC will continue monitoring beyond ninety (90) days if evidence indicates a continued need.

The Facility Director/PREA Coordinator stated measures he would take when he suspects retaliation include separation and transfers for the residents and suspension and termination for staff. The Detention Center Manager stated the things he looks for to detect possible retaliation include changes in behavior. He monitors room assignments changes, recreation time, school time, visitation time, phone calls, etc. He stated he would monitor the conduct and treatment of residents and staff who report the sexual abuse of a resident or were reported to have suffered sexual abuse for 90 days to 6 months. If there is concern that potential retaliation might occur, the maximum length of time that the facility would monitor conduct and treatment would be until for a year or longer.

115.367 (d)

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 5) Monitoring includes periodic status checks.

The Detention Center Manager stated monitoring in the form of periodic status checks occurs for at least 90 days and longer if evidence indicates the need to continue monitoring.

The auditor reviewed the Retaliation Monitoring Log form to verify retaliation monitoring would be documented according to the standard requirements.

115.367 (e)

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 5) If any individual involved in a report expresses fear of retaliation, the MCJDC will take appropriate measures to protect the individual that includes segregated housing, as applicable, if voluntarily requested by the

individual.

The Agency Head /Facility Director stated if an individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses fear of retaliation, the agency takes measures to protect that individual against retaliation including separation and transfers for the residents and suspension and termination for staff.

115.367 (f)

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 5) Madison County Juvenile Court responsibility to monitor will terminate if the allegation is unfounded.

Conclusion:

In the past 12 months:

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding agency protection against retaliation. No corrective action is required.

115.368 Post-allegation protective custody Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard **Auditor Discussion** The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination: **Documents:** 1. MCJDC PREA Policy 2. DCS Policy 18.8: Zero-Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA 3. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses Interview: 1. Interview with the Facility Director **Site Review Observations:** Observations during on-site review of physical plant **Findings:** PAQ: The facility does not have a policy that residents who allege to have suffered sexual abuse may only be placed in isolation as a last resort if less restrictive measures are inadequate to keep them and other residents safe, and only until an alternative means of keeping all residents safe can be arranged. The facility policy requires that residents who are placed in isolation because they allege to have suffered sexual abuse have access to legally required educational

programming, special education services, and daily large-muscle exercise.

1. The number of residents who allege to have suffered sexual abuse who were

placed in isolation: 0

If a resident who alleges to have suffered sexual abuse is held in isolation, the facility affords each such resident a review every 30 days to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population.

The Facility Director confirmed MCJDC does not use isolation in this manner.

Conclusion:

investigations.

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding post-allegation protective custody. No corrective action is required.

115.371 Criminal and administrative agency investigations Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard **Auditor Discussion** The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination: **Documents:** 1. MCJDC PREA Policy 2. DCS Policy 14.7: Special Child Protective Services Investigations 3. DCS Policy 14.3: Screening, Response Priority and Assignment of Child Protective Services Cases. 4. DCS Policy 18.8: Zero-Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA 5. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses Interviews: 1. Superintendent or Designee (Facility Director) 2. PREA Coordinator 3. Investigative Staff (DCS) 4. Residents who Reported a Sexual Abuse **Site Review Observations:** 1. Observations during on-site review of physical plant **Findings (By Provision):** 115.371 (a) PAQ: The agency/facility does not have a policy related to criminal and administrative agency investigations.

MCJDC is not responsible for conducting administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. DCS is responsible for conducting administrative sexual abuse

The DCS investigator stated once a case is received, it takes less than 24 hours to initiate an investigation following an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. The investigator confirmed she handles anonymous or third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in the same manner as all investigations. She begins by interviewing the individual who reported the allegation.

There were no reports for allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

115.371 (b)

MCJDC does not conduct criminal investigations. DCS is responsible for conducting administrative sexual abuse investigations. DCS investigators would work directly with law enforcement for criminal sexual abuse investigations.

DCS investigators receive specialized training in sexual abuse investigations involving juveniles. The DCS investigator confirmed she received training specific to conducting sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigations in confinement settings through classroom and computer-based training.

115.371 (c)

The DCS Investigator gathers all evidence, reviews video surveillance footage if available, and interviews alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses. The investigation will include reviewing any prior complaints and reports of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator. The investigator will not terminate the investigation solely because the victim recants the allegation.

The DCS investigator confirmed the first steps in initiating an investigation is contacting the facility where an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment has been made and requesting all available information. This occurs within 24 hours. She then travels to the facility to review any video footage that may be available, and conducts interviews with the alleged victim, alleged perpetrator, and all witnesses. Direct and circumstantial evidence she would be responsible for gathering in an investigation of an incident of sexual abuse would include video footage, interviews, statements, third-party information, etc.

115.371 (d)

PAQ: The agency does not terminate an investigation solely because the source of the allegation recants the allegation.

The DCS investigator confirmed an investigation does not terminate if the source of the allegation recants the allegation.

115.371 (e)

The DCS investigator confirmed when she discovers evidence that a prosecutable crime may have taken place, she consults with prosecutors before conducting compelled interviews.

115.371 (f)

The DCS investigator confirmed she judges the credibility of an alleged victim,

suspect, or witness based on evidence. She stated under no circumstance, does she require a resident who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or truth telling device as a condition for proceeding with an investigation.

During the onsite phase of the audit, there were no residents who reported a sexual abuse or sexual harassment allegation.

115.371 (g)

The DCS investigator confirmed the efforts she makes during an administrative investigation to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the sexual abuse include investigating the allegation and coordinating with the DCS PREA Coordinator. She confirmed she documents administrative investigations in written reports. The reports include incident reports, interviews, and all available evidence.

115.371 (h)

The DCS investigator confirmed criminal investigations documented. There were no criminal investigations during the audit period. The investigations are documented in the appropriate TFACTS incident reporting section.

There were no criminal investigation reports.

115.371 (i)

PAQ: Substantiated allegations of conduct that appear to be criminal are referred for prosecution. The number of sustained allegations of conduct that appear to be criminal that were referred for prosecution since the last PREA audit: 0

The DCS investigator confirmed cases are referred for prosecution only when there are substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal.

115.371 (j)

PAQ: The agency retains all written reports pertaining to the administrative or criminal investigation of alleged sexual abuse or sexual harassment for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years.

115.371 (k)

The DCS investigator confirmed an investigation continues when a staff member alleged to have committed sexual abuse or sexual harassment terminates employment prior to a completed investigation into his/her conduct.

115.371 (I)

Any State entity or Department of Justice component that conducts such investigations shall do so pursuant to the above requirements.

115.371 (m)

The Facility Director/PREA Coordinator stated if an outside agency investigates allegations of sexual abuse, the facility remains informed of the progress of a sexual abuse investigation through continued follow up with the investigator.

The DCS investigator confirmed when an outside agency investigates an incident of

sexual abuse in this facility, she would support the investigative process and communicate with the outside agency to remain informed of the progress.

Conclusion:

Conclusion:

required.

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding criminal and administrative agency investigations. No corrective action is required.

115.372 **Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion** The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination: **Documents:** 1. MCJDC PREA Policy 2. DCS Policy 14.7: Child Protective Services Investigation Track 3. DCS Policy 18.8: Zero-Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA 4. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses Interview: 1. Interview with Investigative Staff (DCS) **Site Review Observations:** Observations during on-site review of physical plant **Findings:** PAQ: The agency imposes a standard of a preponderance of the evidence or a lower standard of proof when determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated. MCJDC PREA Policy (page 7) A report of child abuse by the alleged perpetrator may be classified as "indicated" if there is preponderance of evidence, in light of the entire record, which indicates the individual committed physical, severe or child sexual abuse, as defined TCA §§ 37-1-102 or 37-1-602. The DCS investigator confirmed she refers to the preponderance of the evidence to substantiate allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding evidentiary standard for administrative investigations. No corrective action is

115.373 Reporting to residents

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

Documents:

- 1. MCJDC PREA Policy
- 2. DCS Policy 18.8: Zero-Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA
- 3. Juvenile Notification of Investigation Outcome Form
- 4. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with the Facility Director
- 2. Interview with Investigative Staff (DCS)
- 3. Interview with Residents who Reported a Sexual Abuse

Site Review Observations:

Observations during on-site review of physical plant

Findings (by provision):

115.373 (a)

PAQ: The agency has a policy requiring that any resident who makes an allegation that he or he suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility is informed, verbally or in writing, as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded following an investigation by the agency.

In the past 12 months:

- 1. The number of criminal and/or administrative investigations of alleged resident sexual abuse that were completed by the agency/facility: 0
- 2. Of the investigations that were completed of alleged sexual abuse, the number of residents who were notified, verbally or in writing, of the results of the investigation: N/A

The Facility Director stated residents who make an allegation of sexual abuse are notified that the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded following an investigation.

The DCS Investigator confirmed she is aware that when a resident makes an allegation of sexual abuse, the resident must be informed as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded following an investigation.

The auditor reviewed the Juvenile Notification of Investigation Outcome Form for verification notifications to residents would include the standard provision requirements. The form indicates whether an allegation was determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded.

115.373 (b)

PAQ: If an outside entity conducts such investigations, the agency requests the relevant information from the investigative entity in order to inform the resident of the outcome of the investigation.

In the past 12 months:

- 1. The number of investigations of alleged resident sexual abuse in the facility that were completed by an outside agency: 0
- 2. Of the outside agency investigations of alleged sexual abuse that were completed, the number of residents alleging sexual abuse in the facility who were notified verbally or in writing of the results of the investigation: N/A

115.373 (c)

PAQ: Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, the agency/facility subsequently informs the resident (unless the agency/facility has determined that the allegation is unfounded) whenever:

- 1. The staff member is no longer posted within the resident's unit;
- 2. The staff member is no longer employed at the facility;
- 3. The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or
- 4. The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility.

There has not been a substantiated or unsubstantiated complaint (i.e., not unfounded) of sexual abuse committed by a staff member against a resident in the past 12 months.

The auditor reviewed the Juvenile Notification of Investigation Outcome Form for verification notifications to residents would include the standard provision requirements.

115.373 (d)

PAQ: Following a resident's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident in an agency facility, the agency subsequently informs the alleged victim whenever:

- 1. The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or
- 2. The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility.

The auditor reviewed the Juvenile Notification of Investigation Outcome Form for verification notifications to residents would include the standard provision requirements.

115.373 (e)

PAQ: The agency has a policy that all notifications to residents described under this standard are documented.

In the past 12 months:

- 1. The number of notifications to residents that were made pursuant to this standard: 0
- 2. The number of those notifications that were documented: N/A

The auditor reviewed the Juvenile Notification of Investigation Outcome Form for verification notifications to residents would be documented.

115.373 (f) N/A

An agency's obligation to report under this standard shall terminate if the resident is released from the agency's custody.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding reporting to residents. No corrective action is required.

115.376 Disciplinary sanctions for staff

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

Documents:

- 1. MCJDC PREA Policy
- 2. DCS Policy 18.8: Zero-Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA
- 3. MCIDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses

Site Review Observations:

Observations during on-site review of physical plant

Findings (by provision):

115.376 (a)

PAQ: Staff is subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies.

115.376 (b)

In the past 12 months:

- 1. The number of staff from the facility that have violated agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies: 0
- 2. The number of those staff from the facility that have been terminated (or resigned prior to termination) for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies: N/A

Any employee who is found to have perpetrated or participated in sexual abuse,

sexual assault, sexual misconduct, rape, sexual harassment of a resident, or harassment to a witness of these acts, will be terminated.

115.376 (c)

PAQ: Disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) are commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories.

In the past 12 months, the number of staff from the facility that have been disciplined, short of termination, for violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies: 0

115.376 (d)

PAQ: All terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, are reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to any relevant licensing bodies.

In the past 12 months, the number of staff from the facility that have been reported to law enforcement or licensing boards following their termination (or resignation prior to termination) for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies: 0

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding disciplinary sanctions for staff. No corrective action is required.

115.377	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance
	determination:
	Documents:
	1. MCJDC PREA Policy
	2. DCS Policy 18.8: Zero-Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse,
	Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA
	3. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses
	Interview:
	1. Interview with the Facility Director

Site Review Observations:

Observations during on-site review of physical plant

Findings (by provision):

115.377 (a)

PAQ: Agency policy requires that any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to relevant licensing bodies. Agency policy requires that any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse be prohibited from contact with residents.

In the past 12 months, no contractors or volunteers have been reported to law enforcement agencies and relevant licensing bodies for engaging in sexual abuse of residents.

115.377 (b)

PAQ: The facility takes appropriate remedial measures and considers whether to prohibit further contact with residents in the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer.

The Facility Director stated remedial measures for violations of agency sexual abuse or harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer would include suspension and termination.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding corrective action for contractors and volunteers. No corrective action is required.

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination: Documents: 1. MCJDC PREA Policy 2. DCS Policy 18.8: Zero-Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA 3. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses Interviews: 1. Interview with the Facility Director 2. Interviews with Medical and Mental Health Staff - N/A

Site Review Observations:

Observations during on-site review of physical plant

Findings (by provision):

115.378 (a)

PAQ: Residents are subject to disciplinary sanctions only pursuant to a formal disciplinary process following an administrative finding that the resident engaged in resident-on-resident sexual abuse.

Residents are subject to disciplinary sanctions only pursuant to a formal disciplinary process following a criminal finding of guilt for resident-on-resident sexual abuse.

In the past 12 months:

- 1. The number of administrative findings of resident-on-resident sexual abuse that have occurred at the facility: 0
- 2. The number of criminal findings of guilt for resident-on-resident sexual abuse that have occurred at the facility: 0

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 1) Youth/child who is in the custody of the MCJDC, will have a clear understanding that sexual activity between another youth or staff is prohibited and is subject to criminal disciplinary action.

115.378 (b)

In the event a disciplinary sanction for resident-on resident sexual abuse results in the isolation of a resident, the facility policy requires that residents in isolation have daily access to large muscle exercise, legally required educational programming, and special education services. In the event a disciplinary sanction for resident-on resident sexual abuse results in the isolation of a resident, residents in isolation receive daily visits from a medical or mental health care clinician. In the event a disciplinary sanction for resident-on resident sexual abuse results in the isolation of a resident, residents in isolation have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible.

In the past 12 months:

- 1. The number of residents placed in isolation as a disciplinary sanction for residenton resident sexual abuse: 0
- 2. The number of residents placed in isolation as a disciplinary sanction for residenton resident sexual abuse, who were denied daily access to large muscle exercise, and/or legally required educational programming, or special education services: N/A
- 3. The number of residents placed in isolation as a disciplinary sanction for residenton resident sexual abuse, who were denied access to other programs and work opportunities: N/A

MCJDC does not use isolation as a disciplinary sanction. The Facility director stated disciplinary sanctions residents subject to following an administrative or criminal finding the resident engaged in resident-on-resident sexual abuse would include separation and possible transfer. The sanctions would be proportionate to the nature and circumstances of the abuses committed, the residents' disciplinary histories, and the sanctions imposed for similar offenses by other residents with similar histories.

115.378 (c)

The Facility Director stated mental disability or mental illness is considered when determining sanctions.

115.378 (d)

PAQ: The facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct the underlying reasons or motivations for abuse. If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct the underlying reasons or motivations for abuse, the facility considers whether to require the offending resident to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to any rewards-based behavior management system or other behavior-based incentives. Access to general programming or education is not conditional on participation in such interventions.

115.378 (e)

PAQ: The agency disciplines residents for sexual contact with staff only upon finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact.

115.378 (f)

PAQ: The agency prohibits disciplinary action for a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 5) A report made in good faith upon reasonable belief of the alleged incident will not constitute a false report and may not be used as grounds for disciplinary action.

115.378 (g)

PAQ: The agency prohibits all sexual activity between residents. The agency deems such activity to constitute sexual abuse only if it determines that the activity is coerced.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding disciplinary sanctions for residents. No corrective action is required.

115.381	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination: Documents:

- 1. MCJDC PREA Policy
- 2. DCS Policy 18.8: Zero-Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA
- 3. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with Staff Responsible for Risk Screening (Detention Monitor)
- 2. Interviews with Residents who Disclosed Sexual Victimization at Risk Screening

Site Review Observations:

Observations during on-site review of physical plant

Findings (by provision):

115.381 (a)

PAQ: All residents at this facility who have disclosed any prior sexual victimization during a screening pursuant to §115.341 are offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner. The follow-up meeting was offered within 14 days of the intake screening. Medical and mental health staff maintain secondary materials (e.g., form, log) documenting compliance with the above required services.

In the past 12 months, the percent of residents who disclosed prior victimization during screening who were offered a follow up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner: 0% (The facility stated no residents reported prior sexual victimization during a screening.)

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 2) If further screening or assessments indicates that a child/youth has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, designated staff will ensure that the child/youth is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within fourteen (14) days of the intake screening.

The Detention Monitor stated that if screening indicates that a resident has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether in an institutional setting or in the community, a follow-up meeting is offered with a psychologist. He stated the meeting would be conducted immediately.

During the onsite phase of the audit, no residents were identified as reporting prior sexual victimization during risk screening.

115.381 (b)

PAQ: All residents who have previously perpetrated sexual abuse, as indicated during the screening pursuant to § 115.341, are offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner. The follow-up meeting was offered within 14 days of the intake screening. Mental health staff maintain secondary materials (e.g., form, log) documenting compliance with the above required services.

In the past 12 months, the percent of residents who previously perpetrated sexual abuse, as indicated during screening, who were offered a follow up meeting with a

mental health practitioner: 0% (The facility stated no residents reported previously perpetrated sexual abuse during a screening.)

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 3) If the screening indicates that a child/youth has previously perpetrated sexual abuse/assault/misconduct/harassment, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, designated staff will ensure that the child/youth is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within fourteen (14) days of the intake screening.

The Detention Monitor stated that if screening indicates that a resident previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether in an institutional setting or in the community, a follow-up meeting is offered with a psychologist. He stated the meeting would be conducted immediately.

115.381 (c)

PAQ: Information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting is strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners.

115.381 (d)

PAQ: Medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from residents before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting unless the resident is under the age of 18.

MCJDC does not employ medical or mental health staff.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse. No corrective action is required.

115.382	Access to emergency medical and mental health services
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:
	Documents:
	1. MCJDC PREA Policy
	2. DCS Policy 18.8: Zero-Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse,
	Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA
	3. Memorandum of Understanding with WO/Men's Resource and Rape Assistance
	Program (WRAP)
	4. Jackson-Madison County General Hospital Sane Nurse Program
	5. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses

Interviews:

- 1. Interviews with Residents who Reported a Sexual Abuse
- 2. Interviews with Security Staff First Responders

Site Review Observations:

Observations during on-site review of physical plant

Findings (by provision):

115.382 (a)

PAQ: Resident victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services. The nature and scope of such services are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment. Medical and mental health staff maintain secondary materials (e.g., form, log) documenting the timeliness of emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services that were provided; the appropriate response by non-health staff in the event health staff are not present at the time the incident is reported; and the provision of appropriate and timely information and services concerning sexually transmitted infection prophylaxis.

MCJDC PREA Policy (pages 6-7) Children/Youth who are the victim of sexual abuse will be provided prompt and appropriate medical treatment and counseling, to include but not limited to:

- a) MCJDC staff, as applicable, provides emotional support to child/youth with the forensic medical exam process and investigation interviews.
- b) The development of a safety action plan that includes a review/adjustment, if necessary, isolation bed, program, education to keep child/youth safe and free from sexual abuse.
- c) An assessment by a mental health professional.
- d) Mental health counseling as needed.
- e) Follow-up services and referrals, as applicable, for continued care following transfer to, or placement in other facilities, or release from custody.

Services would be available to resident victims of sexual abuse through WO/Men's Resource and Rape Assistance Program (WRAP), contracted mental health staff with Pathways, and Jackson-Madison County General Hospital.

115.382 (b)

If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent abuse is made, staff first responders shall take preliminary steps to protect the victim and shall immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners.

Staff interviewed were knowledgeable of their first responder duties.

115.382 (c)

PAQ: Resident victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated are offered timely information about and timely access to sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate. Medical and mental health staff maintain secondary materials

documenting the timeliness of emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services that were provided; the appropriate response by non-health staff in the event health staff are not present at the time the incident is reported; and the provision of appropriate and timely information and services concerning sexually transmitted infection prophylaxis.

115.382 (d)

PAQ: Treatment services are provided to every victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 3) Treatment services will be provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding access to emergency medical and mental health services. No corrective action is required.

115.383

Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

Documents:

- 1. MCJDC PREA Policy
- 2. DCS Policy 18.8: Zero-Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA
- 3. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses

Interviews:

1. Interviews with Residents who Reported a Sexual Abuse

Site Review Observations:

Observations during on-site review of physical plant

Findings (by provision):

115.383 (a)

PAQ: The facility offers medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all residents who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 6) Children/Youth who are the victim of sexual abuse will be provided prompt and appropriate medical treatment and counseling.

Medical services are provided offsite. The auditor observed the facility has a contracted therapist onsite. Additionally, services are available through WO/Men's Resource and Rape Assistance Program (WRAP).

115.383 (b)

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 78) The evaluation and treatment of such victims shall include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody.

MCJDC does not employ medical or mental health staff.

115.383 (c)

The facility shall provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care.

MCIDC does not employ medical or mental health staff.

115.383 (d)

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 3) Female victim residents, as applicable, will be offered pregnancy tests.

115.383 (e)

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 3) If pregnancy results are positive, such victims will receive timely and comprehensive information about timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services.

115.383 (f)

PAQ: Resident victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated are offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 3) Residents will be offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate.

115.383 (g)

PAQ: Treatment services are provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 3) Treatment services will be provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident.

115.383 (h)

PAQ: The facility attempts to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known resident-on-resident abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offers treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners.

MCJDC does not employ medical or mental health staff.

Conclusion:

115.386 (b)

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers. No corrective action is required.

115.386	Sexual abuse incident reviews
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination: Documents: 1. MCJDC PREA Policy 2. DCS Policy 18.8: Zero-Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA 3. Sexual Abuse Incident Review Form 4. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses
	Interviews: 1. Superintendent or Designee (Facility Director) 2. PREA Compliance Manager 3. Incident Review Team
	Site Review Observations: Observations during on-site review of physical plant
	Findings (by provision): 115.386 (a) PAQ: The facility conducts a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse criminal or administrative investigation, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded.
	In the past 12 months, the number of criminal and/or administrative investigations of alleged sexual abuse completed at the facility, excluding only "unfounded" incidents: 0
	MCJDC PREA Policy (page 7) MCJDC will conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation involving a PREA-related incident, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded.

PAQ: The facility ordinarily conducts a sexual abuse incident review within 30 days of the conclusion of the criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigation.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 7) The review will occur within thirty (30) days of the conclusion of the investigation.

In the past 12 months, the number of criminal and/or administrative investigations of alleged sexual abuse completed at the facility that were followed by a sexual abuse incident review within 30 days, excluding only "unfounded" incidents: 0

115.386 (c)

PAQ: The sexual abuse incident review team includes upper-level management officials and allows for input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 7) The review team will consist of management level staff/ designees, as applicable, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical and/or mental health practitioners.

The Facility Director confirmed the facility has a sexual abuse incident review team.

115.386 (d)

PAQ: The facility prepares a report of its findings from sexual abuse incident reviews, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1)-(d)(5) of this section, and any recommendations for improvement and submits such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager.

MCJDC PREA Policy (pages 7-8) The review team will:

- a) Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect or respond to sexual abuse;
- b) Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by:
- Race
- Ethnicity
- Gender identity
- Lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender (LGBT) or intersex identification, status, or perceived status, or
- Gang affiliation, or was motivated or otherwise caused by other group dynamics at the facility
- c) Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse;
- d) Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts; and
- e) Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff.

The Facility Director/PREA Coordinator confirmed the sexual abuse incident review team uses the information from the sexual abuse incident review to identify problems and make corrective actions. The team considers whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation;

and/or other group dynamics at the facility; the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse; assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts; and assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff. The facility prepares a report of its findings from the review, including any determinations any recommendations for improvement. The PREA Coordinator is a member of the sexual abuse incident review team.

The PREA Compliance Manager stated the facility prepares a report of its findings from the review, including any determinations per standard 115.386 (d)-1 through (d)- 5 and any recommendations for improvement.

The auditor observed the Sexual Abuse Incident Review Form is inclusive of the standard requirements.

115.386 (e)

PAQ: The facility implements the recommendations for improvement or documents its reasons for not doing so.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 8) The review team will prepare a report of its findings including, but not limited to determinations made pursuant to paragraphs 2. b) of this Section, and any recommendations for improvement and submit the report to the DCJCS Director, PREA Coordinator, DCS Licensing as applicable. The MCJDC will implement the recommendations for improvement, or will document reasons for not doing so, e.g., inadequate funding or staffing issues.

The auditor observed the Sexual Abuse Incident Review Form is inclusive of the standard requirements.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding sexual abuse incident reviews. No corrective action is required.

115.387	Data collection
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:
	Documents: 1. MCJDC PREA Policy 2. DCS Policy 18.8: Zero-Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA 3. Annual Reports (2016-2023)

- 4. Survey of Sexual Victimization Substantiated Incident Form (Juvenile)
- 5. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses

Site Review Observations:

Observations during on-site review of physical plant

Findings (by provision):

115.387 (a)

PAQ: The agency collects accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions. The standardized instrument includes, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 10) MCJDC will collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse using a standardized instrument and set of definitions as instructed by the PREA Coordinator.

115.387 (b)

PAQ: The agency aggregates the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 10) MCJDC will aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually.

The auditor reviewed the aggregated data from 2016-2023.

115.387 (c)

PAQ: The incident-based data collected shall include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 11) The incident-based data collected will include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice.

The auditor reviewed the instrument for verification.

115.387 (d)

PAQ: The agency maintains, reviews, and collects data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 11) The MCJDC will maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews.

115.387 (e) N/A

The agency also shall obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its residents.

MCJDC does not contract with other facilities for the confinement of its residents.

115.387 (f) N/A

Upon request, the agency shall provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30.

The DOJ did not request MCJDC provide data from the previous calendar year.

Conclusion:

1. Identifying problem areas;

2. Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis; and

actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole.

3. Preparing an annual report of its findings from its data review and any corrective

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding data collection. No corrective action is required.

115.388 Data review for corrective action Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard **Auditor Discussion** The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination: **Documents:** 1. MCJDC PREA Policy 2. DCS Policy 18.8: Zero-Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA 3. Annual Reports (2016-2023) 4. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses **Document (Corrective Action):** 1. 2022-2023 Annual Report Approved by Facility Director - 9/29/2023 Interviews: 1. Interview with the Agency Head Designee (Facility Director) 2. Interview with the PREA Coordinator **Site Review Observations:** Observations during on-site review of physical plant Findings (by provision): 115.388 (a) PAQ: The agency reviews data collected and aggregated pursuant to §115.387 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, and training, including:

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 11) MCJDC will review data collected and aggregated pursuant to PREA Standards § 115.387 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including:

- Identifying problem areas;
- Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis; and
- · Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions

The Facility Director/PREA Coordinator stated the facility uses incident-based sexual abuse data to assess and improve sexual abuse prevention, detection, response policies, practices, and training to identify problem areas and take corrective action as needed.

115.388 (b)

PAQ: The annual report includes a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years. The annual report provides an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 11) The report will include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and will provide an assessment of the facility's progress in addressing sexual abuse.

The auditor reviewed the annual reports for verification the reports include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse.

115.388 (c)

PAQ: The agency makes its annual report readily available to the public at least annually through its website. The annual reports are approved by the agency head.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 11) MCJDC report will be approved by the DCS Commissioner/designee agency Director and made readily available to the public through its website or through other means, as applicable.

Through corrective action, the Facility Director approved the 2022-2023 annual report (9/29/2023).

The auditor observed the annual reports were published on the agency's website at https://www.madisoncountytn.gov/153/Juvenile-Court-Services.

115.388 (d)

PAQ: When the agency redacts material from an annual report for publication the redactions are limited to specific materials where publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of the facility. The agency indicates the nature of material redacted.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 11) MCJDC may redact specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility but must indicate the nature of the material redacted.

The PREA Coordinator stated names and identifying information are redacted from the annual report.

The auditor observed no personal identifiers were included in the annual reports at https://www.madisoncountytn.gov/153/Juvenile-Court-Services.

Conclusion and Corrective Action:

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding data review for corrective action. Corrective action is complete.

115.388 (c) The Facility Director approved the 2022-2023 annual report (9/29/2023).

115.389 Data storage, publication, and destruction **Auditor Overall Determination:** Meets Standard **Auditor Discussion** The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination: **Documents:** 1. MCIDC Policy PREA 2. DCS Policy 18.8: Zero-Tolerance Standards and Guidelines for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, Assault or Rape Incidents and PREA 3. Annual Reports (2016-2023) 4. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses Interview: 1. Interview with the PREA Coordinator **Site Review Observations:** Observations during on-site review of physical plant

Findings (by provision):

115.389 (a)

PAQ: The agency ensures that incident-based and aggregate data are securely retained.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 11) MCJDC will ensure that data collected pursuant to PREA Standards § 115.387 are securely retained.

The PREA Coordinator confirmed the agency reviews data collected and aggregated in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, and training.

115.389 (b)

PAQ: Agency policy requires that aggregated sexual abuse data from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts be made readily available to the public, at least annually, through its website.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 11) MCJDC will make all aggregated sexual abuse data from the facility under its direct control readily available to the public at least annually through its website or through other means, as applicable.

The auditor observed the annual reports were published on the agency's website at https://www.madisoncountytn.gov/153/Juvenile-Court-Services.

115.389 (c)

PAQ: Before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available, the agency removes all personal identifiers.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 11) Before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available, MCJDC will remove all personal identifiers.

The auditor observed the annual reports were published on the agency's website. The auditor observed the annual reports were published on the agency's website at https://www.madisoncountytn.gov/153/Juvenile-Court-Services.

115.389 (d)

PAQ: The agency maintains sexual abuse data sexual abuse data collected pursuant to §115.387 for at least 10 years after the date of initial collection, unless Federal, State or local law requires otherwise.

MCJDC PREA Policy (page 11) MCJDC will maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to PREA Standards § 115.387 for at least ten (10) years after the date of its initial collection unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise.

The auditor reviewed sexual abuse data for 2016-2023.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding data storage, publication, and destruction. No corrective action is required.

115.401	Frequency and scope of audits
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination: 1. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses 2. Policy Review

- 3. Research
- 4. Documentation Review
- 5. Interviews
- 6. Observations during onsite review of facility

Conclusion:

During the three-year period starting on August 20, 2013, and the current audit cycle, Madison County Juvenile Detention Center was audited in 2014, 2017, 2020, and 2023.

The auditor was given access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility. The auditor was permitted to conduct private interviews with residents at the facility. The auditor sent an audit notice to the facility more than six weeks prior to the on-site audit. The facility confirmed the audit notice was posted by emailing pictures of the posted audit notices. The audit notice contained contact information for the auditor. The residents were permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel. No confidential information or correspondence was received.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding frequency and scope of audits. No corrective action is required.

115.403 Audit contents and findings

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- 1. MCJDC Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses
- 2. Policy Review
- 3. Documentation Review
- 4. Interviews
- 5. Observations during onsite review of facility

Documents (Corrective Action):

Published 2014 and 2017 PREA audit reports - 11/7/2023

Conclusion:

All Madison County Juvenile Detention Center PREA Audit Reports are published on the agency's website at https://www.madisoncountytn.gov/153/Juvenile-Court-Services. Through corrective action, the 2014 and 2017 PREA audit reports were published (11/7/2023).

Conclusion and Corrective Action:

Based upon the review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard regarding audit contents and findings. Corrective action is complete.

The 2014 and 2017 PREA audit reports were published (11/7/2023).

Appendix: Provision Findings		
115.311 (a)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment coordinator	nt; PREA
	Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
115.311 (b)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator	
	Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator?	yes
	Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy?	yes
	Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities?	yes
115.311 (c)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment coordinator	nt; PREA
	If this agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance manager? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)	yes
	Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)	yes
115.312 (a)	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of	of residents
	If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its residents with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to adopt and comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents.)	na
115.312 (b)	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of	of residents

	Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents OR the response to 115.312(a)-1 is "NO".)	na
115.313 (a)	Supervision and monitoring	
	Does the agency ensure that each facility has developed a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect residents against sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility has implemented a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect residents against sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility has documented a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect residents against sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Generally accepted juvenile detention and correctional/secure residential practices?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Any judicial findings of inadequacy?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate	yes

staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies?	
Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or residents may be isolated)?	yes
Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: The composition of the resident population?	yes
Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: The number and placement of supervisory staff?	yes
Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Institution programs occurring on a particular shift?	yes
Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards?	yes
Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Any other relevant factors?	yes
Supervision and monitoring	
Does the agency comply with the staffing plan except during limited and discrete exigent circumstances?	yes
In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility fully document all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.)	na
Supervision and monitoring	
Does the facility maintain staff ratios of a minimum of 1:8 during resident waking hours, except during limited and discrete exigent circumstances? (N/A only until October 1, 2017.)	yes
	findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies? Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or residents may be isolated)? Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: The composition of the resident population? Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: The number and placement of supervisory staff? Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Institution programs occurring on a particular shift? Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards? Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards? Does the agency comply with the staffing plan except during limited and discrete exigent circumstances? In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility fully document all deviations from the plan? (N/A)

	Does the facility maintain staff ratios of a minimum of 1:16 during resident sleeping hours, except during limited and discrete exigent circumstances? (N/A only until October 1, 2017.)	yes
	Does the facility fully document any limited and discrete exigent circumstances during which the facility did not maintain staff ratios? (N/A only until October 1, 2017.)	yes
	Does the facility ensure only security staff are included when calculating these ratios? (N/A only until October 1, 2017.)	yes
	Is the facility obligated by law, regulation, or judicial consent decree to maintain the staffing ratios set forth in this paragraph?	yes
115.313 (d)	Supervision and monitoring	
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: Prevailing staffing patterns?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan?	yes
115.313 (e)	Supervision and monitoring	
	Has the facility implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A for non-secure facilities)	yes
	Is this policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts? (N/A for non-secure facilities)	yes
	Does the facility have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational	yes
	ı	

	functions of the facility? (N/A for non-secure facilities)	
115.315 (a)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners?	yes
115.315 (b)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat- down searches in non-exigent circumstances?	yes
115.315 (c)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility document and justify all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches?	yes
	Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches?	yes
115.315 (d)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility implement policies and procedures that enable residents to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	yes
	Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering a resident housing unit?	yes
	In facilities (such as group homes) that do not contain discrete housing units, does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an area where residents are likely to be showering, performing bodily functions, or changing clothing? (N/A for facilities with discrete housing units)	yes
115.315 (e)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex residents for the sole purpose of determining the resident's genital status?	yes
	If a resident's genital status is unknown, does the facility	yes
		1

	determine genital status during conversations with the resident, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner?	
115.315 (f)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?	yes
	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex residents in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?	yes
115.316 (a)	Residents with disabilities and residents who are lim English proficient	ited
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are blind or have low vision?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have intellectual disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have psychiatric disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including:	yes

Residents who have speech disabilities?	
Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other? (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes.)	yes
Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with residents who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have intellectual disabilities?	yes
Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have limited reading skills?	yes
Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Who are blind or have low vision?	yes
Residents with disabilities and residents who are lim English proficient	ited
Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to residents who are limited English proficient?	yes
Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
Residents with disabilities and residents who are lim English proficient	ited
Does the agency always refrain from relying on resident interpreters, resident readers, or other types of resident assistants except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other? (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes.) Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with residents who are deaf or hard of hearing? Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have intellectual disabilities? Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have limited reading skills? Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Who are blind or have low vision? Residents with disabilities and residents who are limitenglish proficient Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to residents who are limitenglish proficient? Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? Residents with disabilities and residents who are limitenglish proficient Does the agency always refrain from relying on resident interpreters, resident readers, or other types of resident

	safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.364, or the investigation of the resident's allegations?	
115.317 (a)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the bullet immediately above?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above?	yes
115.317 (b)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with residents?	yes
115.317	Hiring and promotion decisions	

(c)		
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with residents, does the agency: Perform a criminal background records check?	yes
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with residents, does the agency: Consult any child abuse registry maintained by the State or locality in which the employee would work?	yes
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with residents, does the agency: Consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse?	yes
115.317 (d)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents?	yes
	Does the agency consult applicable child abuse registries before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents?	yes
115.317 (e)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with residents or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees?	yes
115.317 (f)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions?	yes
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current	yes

115.321 (a)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	yes
115.318 (b)	Upgrades to facilities and technologies	
	If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	na
115.318 (a)	Upgrades to facilities and technologies	
	Unless prohibited by law, does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.)	yes
115.317 (h)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination?	yes
115.317 (g)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct?	yes
	employees?	

	If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	na
115.321 (b)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	na
	Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/ Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	na
115.321 (c)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Does the agency offer all residents who experience sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate?	yes
	Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible?	yes
	If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)?	yes
	Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs?	yes
115.321 (d)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center?	yes

	If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member?	yes
	Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers?	yes
115.321 (e)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews?	yes
	As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals?	yes
115.321 (f)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating entity follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse.)	yes
115.321 (h)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (Check N/A if agency attempts to make a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims per 115.321(d) above.)	na
115.322 (a)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investig	ations
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment?	yes

115.322 (b)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investig	ations
	Does the agency have a policy in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior?	yes
	Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means?	yes
	Does the agency document all such referrals?	yes
115.322 (c)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investig	ations
	If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does such publication describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.321(a))	yes
115.331 (a)	Employee training	
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Residents' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The right of residents and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in juvenile facilities?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The common reactions of juvenile victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes

	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse and how to distinguish between consensual sexual contact and sexual abuse between residents?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to avoid inappropriate relationships with residents?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to communicate effectively and professionally with residents, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming residents?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Relevant laws regarding the applicable age of consent?	yes
115.331 (b)	Employee training	
	Is such training tailored to the unique needs and attributes of residents of juvenile facilities?	yes
	Is such training tailored to the gender of the residents at the employee's facility?	yes
	Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male residents to a facility that houses only female residents, or vice versa?	yes
115.331 (c)	Employee training	
	Have all current employees who may have contact with residents received such training?	yes
	Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures?	yes
	In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training,	yes

115.331 (d)	Employee training	
	Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received?	yes
115.332 (a)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures?	yes
115.332 (b)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with residents)?	yes
115.332 (c)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Volunteer and contractor training Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received?	yes
	Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have	yes
(c) 115.333	Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received?	yes
(c) 115.333	Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received? Resident education During intake, do residents receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual	
(c) 115.333	Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received? Resident education During intake, do residents receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment? During intake, do residents receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual	yes
(c) 115.333	Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received? Resident education During intake, do residents receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment? During intake, do residents receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes

115.333 (f)	Resident education	
	Does the agency maintain documentation of resident participation in these education sessions?	yes
115.333 (e)	Resident education	
	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents including those who: Have limited reading skills?	yes
	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents including those who: Are otherwise disabled?	yes
	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents including those who: Are visually impaired?	yes
	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents including those who: Are deaf?	yes
	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents including those who: Are limited English proficient?	yes
115.333 (d)	Resident education	
	Do residents receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the resident's new facility differ from those of the previous facility?	yes
	Have all residents received such education?	yes
115.333 (c)	Resident education	
	Within 10 days of intake, does the agency provide age-appropriate comprehensive education to residents either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents?	yes
	Within 10 days of intake, does the agency provide age-appropriate comprehensive education to residents either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents?	yes
	comprehensive education to residents either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	

	In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to residents through posters, resident handbooks, or other written formats?	yes
115.334 (a)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.331, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators have received training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).)	na
115.334 (b)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	Does this specialized training include: Techniques for interviewing juvenile sexual abuse victims? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).)	na
	Does this specialized training include: Proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).)	na
	Does this specialized training include: Sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).)	na
	Does this specialized training include: The criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).)	na
115.334 (c)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).)	na

115.335 (a)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	na
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	na
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to respond effectively and professionally to juvenile victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	na
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	na
115.335 (b)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams or the agency does not employ medical staff.)	na
115.335 (c)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	na

115.335 (d)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.331? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	na
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by and volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.332? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency.)	na
115.341 (a)	Obtaining information from residents	
	Within 72 hours of the resident's arrival at the facility, does the agency obtain and use information about each resident's personal history and behavior to reduce risk of sexual abuse by or upon a resident?	yes
	Does the agency also obtain this information periodically throughout a resident's confinement?	yes
115.341 (b)	Obtaining information from residents	
	Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument?	yes
115.341 (c)		yes
	screening instrument?	yes
	Obtaining information from residents During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Prior sexual	
	Obtaining information from residents During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Prior sexual victimization or abusiveness? During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Any gender nonconforming appearance or manner or identification as lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex, and whether the resident	yes

	the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Age?	
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Level of emotional and cognitive development?	yes
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Physical size and stature?	yes
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Mental illness or mental disabilities?	yes
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Intellectual or developmental disabilities?	yes
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Physical disabilities?	yes
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: The resident's own perception of vulnerability?	yes
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Any other specific information about individual residents that may indicate heightened needs for supervision, additional safety precautions, or separation from certain other residents?	yes
115.341 (d)	Obtaining information from residents	
	Is this information ascertained: Through conversations with the resident during the intake process and medical mental health screenings?	yes
	Is this information ascertained: During classification assessments?	yes
	Is this information ascertained: By reviewing court records, case files, facility behavioral records, and other relevant documentation from the resident's files?	yes
115.341 (e)	Obtaining information from residents	
	Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked	yes

		1
	pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the resident's detriment by staff or other residents?	
115.342 (a)	Placement of residents	
	Does the agency use all of the information obtained pursuant to § 115.341 and subsequently, with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse, to make: Housing Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use all of the information obtained pursuant to § 115.341 and subsequently, with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse, to make: Bed assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use all of the information obtained pursuant to § 115.341 and subsequently, with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse, to make: Work Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use all of the information obtained pursuant to § 115.341 and subsequently, with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse, to make: Education Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use all of the information obtained pursuant to § 115.341 and subsequently, with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse, to make: Program Assignments?	yes
115.342 (b)	Placement of residents	
	Are residents isolated from others only as a last resort when less restrictive measures are inadequate to keep them and other residents safe, and then only until an alternative means of keeping all residents safe can be arranged?	yes
	During any period of isolation, does the agency always refrain from denying residents daily large-muscle exercise?	yes
	During any period of isolation, does the agency always refrain from denying residents any legally required educational programming or special education services?	yes
	Do residents in isolation receive daily visits from a medical or mental health care clinician?	yes
	Do residents also have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible?	yes

115.342 (c)	Placement of residents	
	Does the agency always refrain from placing: Lesbian, gay, and bisexual residents in particular housing, bed, or other assignments solely on the basis of such identification or status?	yes
	Does the agency always refrain from placing: Transgender residents in particular housing, bed, or other assignments solely on the basis of such identification or status?	yes
	Does the agency always refrain from placing: Intersex residents in particular housing, bed, or other assignments solely on the basis of such identification or status?	yes
	Does the agency always refrain from considering lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification or status as an indicator or likelihood of being sexually abusive?	yes
115.342 (d)	Placement of residents	
	When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex resident to a facility for male or female residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns residents to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)?	yes
	When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems?	yes
115.342 (e)	Placement of residents	
	Are placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex resident reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the resident?	yes
115.342 (f)	Placement of residents	
	Are each transgender or intersex resident's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when	yes

	making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments?	
115.342 (g)	Placement of residents	
	Are transgender and intersex residents given the opportunity to shower separately from other residents?	yes
115.342 (h)	Placement of residents	
	If a resident is isolated pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The basis for the facility's concern for the resident's safety? (N/A for h and i if facility doesn't use isolation?)	na
	If a resident is isolated pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged? (N/A for h and i if facility doesn't use isolation?)	na
115.342 (i)	Placement of residents	
	In the case of each resident who is isolated as a last resort when less restrictive measures are inadequate to keep them and other residents safe, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS?	yes
115.351 (a)	Resident reporting	
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: 2. Retaliation by other residents or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents?	yes
115.351 (b)	Resident reporting	
	Does the agency also provide at least one way for residents to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private	yes

115.352 (b)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address resident grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because a resident does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse.	yes
115.352 (a)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of residents?	yes
115.351 (e)	Resident reporting	
	Does the facility provide residents with access to tools necessary to make a written report?	yes
115.351 (d)	Resident reporting	
	Do staff members promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Do staff members accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties?	yes
115.351 (c)	Resident reporting	
	Are residents detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security to report sexual abuse or harassment?	yes
	Does that private entity or office allow the resident to remain anonymous upon request?	yes
	Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward resident reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials?	yes
	entity or office that is not part of the agency?	

115.352 (e)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the resident does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may a resident consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	If the agency determines that the 90 day timeframe is insufficient to make an appropriate decision and claims an extension of time (the maximum allowable extension of time to respond is 70 days per 115.352(d)(3)), does the agency notify the resident in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by residents in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
115.352 (d)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	Does the agency ensure that: A resident who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
115.352 (c)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency always refrain from requiring an resident to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	Does the agency permit residents to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na

	T	,
	Are third parties, including fellow residents, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist residents in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of residents? (If a third party, other than a parent or legal guardian, files such a request on behalf of a resident, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	If the resident declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the resident's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	Is a parent or legal guardian of a juvenile allowed to file a grievance regarding allegations of sexual abuse, including appeals, on behalf of such juvenile? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	If a parent or legal guardian of a juvenile files a grievance (or an appeal) on behalf of a juvenile regarding allegations of sexual abuse, is it the case that those grievances are not conditioned upon the juvenile agreeing to have the request filed on his or her behalf? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
115.352 (f)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	After receiving an emergency grievance alleging a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na

	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the resident is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
115.352 (g)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	If the agency disciplines a resident for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the resident filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
115.353 (a)	Resident access to outside confidential support servi legal representation	ces and
	1	yes
	Does the facility provide residents with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by providing, posting, or otherwise making accessible mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim	
	Does the facility provide residents with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by providing, posting, or otherwise making accessible mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations? Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State,	yes
	Does the facility provide residents with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by providing, posting, or otherwise making accessible mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations? Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies? Does the facility enable reasonable communication between residents and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential	yes yes yes
(a) 115.353	Does the facility provide residents with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by providing, posting, or otherwise making accessible mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations? Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies? Does the facility enable reasonable communication between residents and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible? Resident access to outside confidential support servi	yes yes yes

	the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws?	
115.353 (c)	Resident access to outside confidential support services and legal representation	
	Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide residents with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements?	yes
115.353 (d)	Resident access to outside confidential support services and legal representation	
	Does the facility provide residents with reasonable and confidential access to their attorneys or other legal representation?	yes
	Does the facility provide residents with reasonable access to parents or legal guardians?	yes
115.354 (a)	Third-party reporting	
	Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of a resident?	yes
115.361 (a)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information they receive regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information they receive regarding retaliation against residents or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or	yes

	T	
	information they receive regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation?	
115.361 (b)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Does the agency require all staff to comply with any applicable mandatory child abuse reporting laws?	yes
115.361 (c)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials and designated State or local services agencies, are staff prohibited from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions?	yes
115.361 (d)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse to designated supervisors and officials pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section as well as to the designated State or local services agency where required by mandatory reporting laws?	yes
	Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform residents of their duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services?	yes
115.361 (e)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Upon receiving any allegation of sexual abuse, does the facility head or his or her designee promptly report the allegation to the appropriate office?	yes
	Upon receiving any allegation of sexual abuse, does the facility head or his or her designee promptly report the allegation to the alleged victim's parents or legal guardians unless the facility has official documentation showing the parents or legal guardians should not be notified?	yes
	If the alleged victim is under the guardianship of the child welfare system, does the facility head or his or her designee promptly report the allegation to the alleged victim's caseworker instead of	yes

	the parents or legal guardians? (N/A if the alleged victim is not under the guardianship of the child welfare system.)	
	If a juvenile court retains jurisdiction over the alleged victim, does the facility head or designee also report the allegation to the juvenile's attorney or other legal representative of record within 14 days of receiving the allegation?	yes
115.361 (f)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators?	yes
115.362 (a)	Agency protection duties	
	When the agency learns that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the resident?	yes
115.363 (a)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Upon receiving an allegation that a resident was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred?	yes
	Does the head of the facility that received the allegation also notify the appropriate investigative agency?	yes
115.363 (b)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation?	yes
115.363 (c)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Does the agency document that it has provided such notification?	yes
115.363 (d)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in	yes

	accordance with these standards?	
115.364 (a)	Staff first responder duties	
	Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes
115.364 (b)	Staff first responder duties	
	If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff?	yes
115.365 (a)	Coordinated response	
	Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse?	yes
115.366 (a)	Preservation of ability to protect residents from contabusers	act with

	Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any residents pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted?	yes
115.367 (a)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Has the agency established a policy to protect all residents and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other residents or staff?	yes
	Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation?	yes
115.367 (b)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Does the agency employ multiple protection measures for residents or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations, such as housing changes or transfers for resident victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or resident abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services?	yes
115.367 (c)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report	yes

	of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation?	
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor: Any resident disciplinary reports?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor: Resident housing changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor: Resident program changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor: Negative performance reviews of staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor: Reassignments of staff?	yes
	Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need?	yes
115.367 (d)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	In the case of residents, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks?	yes
115.367 (e)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation?	yes
115.368 (a)	Post-allegation protective custody	
	Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect a resident who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.342?	yes

115.371 (a)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal investigations of sexual abuse or harassment. See 115.321(a).)	na
	Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal investigations of sexual abuse or harassment. See 115.321(a).)	na
115.371 (b)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations involving juvenile victims as required by 115.334?	yes
115.371 (c)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data?	yes
	Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses?	yes
	Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator?	yes
115.371 (d)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency always refrain from terminating an investigation solely because the source of the allegation recants the allegation?	yes
115.371 (e)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution?	yes
115.371	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	

(f)		
	Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as resident or staff?	yes
	Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring a resident who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding?	yes
115.371 (g)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse?	yes
	Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings?	yes
115.371 (h)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible?	yes
115.371 (i)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution?	yes
115.371 (j)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.371(g) and (h) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years unless the abuse was committed by a juvenile resident and applicable law requires a shorter period of retention?	yes
115.371 (k)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the facility or agency	yes

	does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation?	
115.371 (m)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).)	yes
115.372 (a)	Evidentiary standard for administrative investigation	S
	Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated?	yes
115.373 (a)	Reporting to residents	
	Following an investigation into a resident's allegation of sexual abuse suffered in the facility, does the agency inform the resident as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded?	yes
115.373 (b)	Reporting to residents	
	If the agency did not conduct the investigation into a resident's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the resident? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.)	yes
115.373 (c)	Reporting to residents	
	Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the resident's unit?	yes
	Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency	yes

	Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies?	yes
115.376 (a)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications?	yes
115.373 (e)	Reporting to residents	
	Following a resident's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
(d)	Following a resident's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
115.373	Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
	Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility?	yes
	has determined that the allegation is unfounded or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility?	

115.376 (b)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse?	yes
115.376 (c)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories?	yes
115.376 (d)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal?	yes
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes
115.377 (a)	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers	
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with residents?	yes
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	yes
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes
115.377 (b)	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers	
	In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with residents?	yes

115.378 (a)	Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	Following an administrative finding that a resident engaged in resident-on-resident sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for resident-on-resident sexual abuse, may residents be subject to disciplinary sanctions only pursuant to a formal disciplinary process?	yes
115.378 (b)	Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents	i
	Are disciplinary sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the resident's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other residents with similar histories?	yes
	In the event a disciplinary sanction results in the isolation of a resident, does the agency ensure the resident is not denied daily large-muscle exercise?	yes
	In the event a disciplinary sanction results in the isolation of a resident, does the agency ensure the resident is not denied access to any legally required educational programming or special education services?	yes
	In the event a disciplinary sanction results in the isolation of a resident, does the agency ensure the resident receives daily visits from a medical or mental health care clinician?	yes
	In the event a disciplinary sanction results in the isolation of a resident, does the resident also have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible?	yes
115.378 (c)	Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether a resident's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior?	yes
115.378 (d)	Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to offer the offending resident participation in such interventions?	yes

	If the agency requires participation in such interventions as a condition of access to any rewards-based behavior management system or other behavior-based incentives, does it always refrain from requiring such participation as a condition to accessing general programming or education?	yes
115.378 (e)	Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	Does the agency discipline a resident for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact?	yes
115.378 (f)	Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	For the purpose of disciplinary action, does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation?	yes
115.378 (g)	Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	Does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between residents to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between residents.)	yes
115.381 (a)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sex	ual abuse
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.341 indicates that a resident has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the resident is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening?	yes
115.381 (b)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sex	ual abuse
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.341 indicates that a resident has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the resident is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening?	yes
115.381 (c)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sex	ual abuse

	Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law?	yes
115.381 (d)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sex	ual abuse
	Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from residents before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the resident is under the age of 18?	yes
115.382 (a)	Access to emergency medical and mental health serv	rices
	Do resident victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their	yes
	professional judgment?	
115.382 (b)	Access to emergency medical and mental health serv	rices
		yes
	Access to emergency medical and mental health server of the server of th	
	Access to emergency medical and mental health serv If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.362? Do staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate	yes
(b)	Access to emergency medical and mental health serv If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.362? Do staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners?	yes
(b)	Access to emergency medical and mental health servers. If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.362? Do staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners? Access to emergency medical and mental health servers about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically	yes yes yes yes

	cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	
115.383 (a)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual a victims and abusers	buse
	Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all residents who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility?	yes
115.383 (b)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual a victims and abusers	buse
	Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody?	yes
115.383 (c)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care?	yes
115.383 (d)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual a victims and abusers	buse
	Are resident victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if all-male facility.)	yes
115.383 (e)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual a victims and abusers	buse
	If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.383(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if all-male facility.)	yes
115.383 (f)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are resident victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate?	yes
115.383 (g)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or	yes

	cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	
115.383 (h)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the facility attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known resident-on-resident abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners?	yes
115.386 (a)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded?	yes
115.386 (b)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation?	yes
115.386 (c)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners?	yes
115.386 (d)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility?	yes
	Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts?	yes

	Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff?	yes
	Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.386(d)(1)-(d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager?	yes
115.386 (e)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so?	yes
115.387 (a)	Data collection	
	Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions?	yes
115.387 (b)	Data collection	
	Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually?	yes
115.387 (c)	Data collection	
	Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice?	yes
115.387 (d)	Data collection	
	Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews?	yes
115.387 (e)	Data collection	
	Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its residents? (N/A if agency does not contract for	na

	_	
	the confinement of its residents.)	
115.387 (f)	Data collection	
	Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.)	na
115.388 (a)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.387 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.387 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.387 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole?	yes
115.388 (b)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse?	yes
115.388 (c)	Data review for corrective action	
	Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
115.388 (d)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when	yes

publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility?	
Data storage, publication, and destruction	
Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.387 are securely retained?	yes
Data storage, publication, and destruction	
Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
Data storage, publication, and destruction	
Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available?	yes
Data storage, publication, and destruction	
Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.387 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise?	yes
Frequency and scope of audits	
During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	yes
Frequency and scope of audits	
Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	yes
If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the second year of the current audit cycle.)	na
	Data storage, publication, and destruction Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.387 are securely retained? Data storage, publication, and destruction Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? Data storage, publication, and destruction Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available? Data storage, publication, and destruction Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.387 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise? Frequency and scope of audits During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.) Frequency and scope of audits Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.) If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle, did the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)

		,
	If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the third year of the current audit cycle.)	na
115.401 (h)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility?	yes
115.401 (i)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)?	yes
115.401 (m)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees?	yes
115.401 (n)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Were inmates, residents, and detainees permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel?	yes
115.403 (f)	Audit contents and findings	
	The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or, in the case of single facility agencies, there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.)	yes