## OVERALL PERFORMANCE

9.5 PERCENTAGE POINTS INCREASE FROM 2017 (1)UP 1 PERFORMANCE CATEGORY FROM 2017

DOMAIN SUMMARY

CANDIDATE PROFILE
3 scored metrics
20 points available
34.1\% of points earned
48.3 POINTS EARNED

## PROVIDER IMPACT

$81.2 \%$ of points earned
(4) Performance Category

4 scored metrics
40 points available

## OVERALL PERFORMANCE OVER TIME

## HOW TO READ THIS REPORT

The Educator Preparation Report Card contains four (4) domains: Candidate Profile, Employment, Satisfaction, and Provider Impact. Each domain is comprised of multiple metrics. To date, data has not been collected for the Satisfaction domain, so it will be unscored this year. A provider must have at least ten total completers or licensed, job-embedded candidates and must generate a score on at least one half of the metrics in each domain in order to generate an overall performance category rating. For more information, please refer to the technical guide.

The 2018 Educator Preparation Report Card presents data on the State Board's key priority areas for preparing educators for Tennessee. This is calculated using the percentage of points earned across all metrics. Category 1 represents the lowest performance, and Category 4 represents the highest performance.

The 2018 Educator Preparation Report Card will include data on three cohorts of completers (2014-15, 2015-16, and 2016-17). Performance on each metric is displayed in the format shown in the graphic on the right.
48.3 out of $\mathbf{7 5}$ points
41.2 out of $\mathbf{7 5}$ points
41.5 out of $\mathbf{7 5}$ points

Score EPP Score I State Score $\square$ Possible Scoring Range


The score of 77.2 earned this EPP 1.7 of 3
possible points on this metric. This score increased 8.6 percentage points from 2016.
(1) Scores in this range are below the scored range and earn an EPP no points.
(2) This is the scored range. Scores in this range earn an EPP partial points proportionate to their score.
(3) This range is above the target score. Values in this range earn an EPP maximum points.

## ABOUT THIS PROVIDER

## Website

http://maryvillecollege.edu/academics/pr
ograms-of-study/education/

## Director

Dr. Cynthia Gardner
Maryville College is a liberal arts, church-related college. It strives to be an instrument of liberation and growth for adults of all ages. Through its curriculum the College affirms the continuing values of a broad range of study in the humanities, the sciences, and the arts. Avoiding narrow specialization, the College aims to enhance career opportunities and develop a true sense of vocation. Maryville College has a long and proud tradition of preparing teachers who demonstrate and promote excellence in teaching and learning. Maryville College offers 18 areas of licensure. The program prepares new teachers for the demands of the 21st-century classroom through extensive field-based experiences, essential coursework in the teaching area supported by professional education courses, and project-based learning designed in partnership with local school administrators and teachers.

## COMPLETER CHARACTERISTICS



## Enrollment by Ethnicity

| American Indian or Alaska Native |  | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asian |  | 0.0\% |
| Black | I | 1.5\% |
| Hispanic | $\square$ | 4.5\% |
| Multiracial | I | 1.5\% |
| Pacific Islander |  | 0.0\% |
| White | - | 92.4\% |

Percent of State Three-Year Cohort



## COMPLETER CHARACTERISTICS CONTINUED

Initial License Type for Cohort Members


## Clinical Practice Type for Cohort Members



Percent of Admission Assessments Submitted to Program*:

| ACT |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Praxis Core |  |
| SAT |  |
| Miller Analogies |  |
| GRE |  |

*Providers often consider multiple assessments in the admission process; some candidates were admitted using a former version of the Praxis assessment

## CANDIDATE PROFILE

(1)

PERFORMANCE CATEGORY
6.8 оит OF

20
POINTS
-7.9 PERCENTAGE POINTS DECREASE FROM 2017

## Percentage of Cohort with Qualifying Assessment

 ScoresThis measure reports the percentage of the cohort with qualifying assessment scores on the ACT, SAT, or all three components of the Praxis: CORE. Providers often consider multiple assessments in the admission process; some candidates were admitted using a former version of the Praxis assessment.
N-Size: 59
Score EPP Score | State Score $\square$ Possible Scoring Range


The score of $\mathbf{8 6 . 4}$ earned this EPP $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ of $\mathbf{3}$ possible points on this metric.

## Percentage of High-Demand Endorsements

This measure reports the percentage of all endorsements issued in the area of English as a Second Language, Secondary Math, Secondary Science (Biology, Chemistry, and Physics), Spanish, and Special Education (Modified, Comprehensive, and Interventionist). For a complete list of specific endorsement areas, see the Technical Manual.

N-Size: 66

Score EPP Score | State Score $\square$ Possible Scoring Range


The score of 21.2 earned this EPP 5.5 of 10 possible points on this metric.

## Percentage of Racially Diverse Cohort Members

This measure reports the percentage of cohort members who reported having a racially or ethnically diverse background.

N-Size: 66


The score of 7.6 earned this EPP 1.3 of 7 possible points on this metric.

## EMPLOYMENT

## Rate of First-Year Employment in Tennessee Public Schools

This measure reports the rate at which members of the three-year cohort were employed in Tennessee public schools within one year of receiving their initial license.

N-Size: 66

Score EPP Score \| State Score $\square$ Possible Scoring Range


The score of 48.5 earned this EPP 0.0 of 6 possible points on this metric.

## Rate of Employment within Three Years In Tennessee

 Public SchoolsThis measure reports the rate at which members of the three-year cohort were employed for at least one year in Tennessee public schools within three years of receiving their initial license.

N-Size: 30

Score EPP Score || State Score Possible Scoring Range


This metric is unscored

Score EPP Score \| State Score Possible Scoring Range


The score of 96.9 earned this EPP 9.0 of 9 possible points on this metric.

## Third Year Retention Rate

This measure reports the percentage of members of the three-year cohort who were employed and remain teaching in Tennessee public schools for three years running.

N-Size: 24

Score
EPP Score | State Score Possible Scoring Range

## PROVIDER IMPACT



PERFORMANCE CATEGORY
$81.2 \%$ OF POINTS EARNED
32.5 out of

40
POINTS 7.7 PERCENTAGE POINTS INCREASE FROM 2017

## Percentage of Cohort Members whose Classroom

 Observation Scores are Level 3 or AboveThis measure reports the percentage of members of the three-year cohort who earned a Classroom Observation score of at least a 3 ("At Expectations").

N-Size: 38

Score EPP Score | State Score $\square$ Possible Scoring Range


The score of 100 earned this EPP 6.0 of 6 possible points on this metric.

Score EPP Score | State Score $\square$ Possible Scoring Range


The score of 57.9 earned this EPP 6.8 of 9 possible points on this metric.

## Percentage of Cohort Members whose Student Growth Scores (TVAAS*) are Level 3 or Above

This measure reports the percentage of members of the three-year cohort who earned a Student Growth Score (TVAAS*) of at least a 3 ("At Expectations").

N-Size: 24

Score


The score of 62.5 earned this EPP 7.0 of 10 possible points on this metric.

## Percentage of Cohort Members whose Student Growth Scores (TVAAS*) are Level 4 or Above

This measure reports the percentage of members of the three-year cohort who earned a Student Growth Score (TVAAS*) of at least a 4 ("Above Expectations").

N-Size: 24

Score EPP Score | State Score $\square$ Possible Scoring Range


The score of 33.3 earned this EPP 12.7 of 15 possible points on this metric.

## Percentage of Cohort Members whose Overall Level of Effectiveness Scores are Level 3 or Above

This measure reports the percentage of members of the three-year cohort who earned an overall level of effectiveness score of at least 3 ("At Expectations"). Overall Level of Effectiveness includes all components of a teacher's annual evaluation by state law and policy. N-Size: 38



N -Size: 38

## SEE HOW THE PROVIDER IMPACT METRICS ARE CALCULATED

*Due to challenges experienced with statewide student assessment in the 2017-18 school year, state law held students, teachers, and schools harmless from adverse actions based on results of those assessments. The data included in this report ensure providers are held harmless if any of their completers chose not to count their 2017-18 evaluation results due to assessment irregularities. To learn how this was accounted for in the data, click here. To view the relevant legislation, click here. To read a report conducted by a third-party research organization regarding the effect of assessment delivery challenges on student results, click here.

