## CHRISTIAN BROTHERS UNIVERSITY

## OVERALL PERFORMANCE

$71.7 \%$ percent of points earned
53.8 out of 75.0 polints

DOMAIN SUMMARY

Candidate Profile<br>3 SCORED METRICS<br>20 POINTS AVAILABLE<br>\section*{4 PERFORMANCE CATEGORY<br><br>91.0\% PERCENT OF POINTS EARNED -}

## Employment

2 SCORED METRICS 15 POINTS AVAILABLE

## 3 PERFORMANCE CATEGORY <br> 61.3\% PERCENt of Points EARNED <br> 

## Satisfaction

NOT YET AVAILABLE

Provider Impact
4 SCORED METRICS 40 POINTS AVAILABLE

## HOW TO READ THIS REPORT

The Teacher Preparation Report Card contains four (4) domains: Candidate Profile, Employment, Satisfaction, and Provider Impact. Each domain is comprised of multiple metrics. To date, data has not been collected for the Satisfaction domain, so it will be unscored this year. A provider must have at least ten total completers and must generate a score on at least one half of the metrics in each domain in order to generate an overall performance category rating. For more information, please refer to the technical guide.

Providers are awarded a performance category based on their ability to successfully prepare Tennessee educators. This is calculated using the percentage of points earned across all metrics. Category 1 represents the lowest performance, and Category 4 represents the highest performance.

The 2016 Teacher Preparation Report Card will include data on two cohorts of completers (2013-14 and 2014-15). Performance on each metric is displayed in the format shown in the graphic on the right.

## RESULTS

\| STATE AVERAGE: 40.0\%

$\qquad$
12.0 OUT OF 15.0 POINTS

100
(1) The provider's score on the metric is noted by the dark blue circle.
(2) The state average is marked with a vertical gray line.
(3) If the provider scores below the minimum standard, zero points are earned for the metric. If the provider scores at or above the target, all possible points are awarded.
(4) If the provider scores within the shaded range, it earns a proportional share of the points available.
(5) Below the graphic is an example of the proportionate points earned for the illustrated case.

## ABOUT THIS PROVIDER

## Website

https://www.cbu.edu/teach

## Department Chair

Dr. Samantha Alperin

## Completer Placement Across Tennessee



Christian Brothers College was founded November 19, 1871, by members of the Institute of the Brothers of Christian Schools, a Roman Catholic religious teaching congregation. Education programs include undergraduate programs and at the graduate level include the Master of Arts in Teaching (MAT) for initial teaching licensure with a choice of concentrations; the Master of Education (MEd) for advanced professional development; and the Master of Science in Educational Leadership (MSEL). Also a concentration in Reading Specialist is also offered that can lead to a licensure add-on.

## COMPLETER CHARACTERISTICS

Number of Completers


Percent of Total State Completers


Percent of Completers by State of Residency


COMPLETER CHARACTERISTICS CONTINUED


Percent of Admissions Based on*:


* Providers often consider multiple assessments in the admission process; some candidates were admitted using a former version of the
Praxis assessment.

Completers by Type of Clinical Practice


Praxis Principles of Learning and
Teaching Passage Rate Teaching Passage Rate


CANDIDATE PROFILE
4. PERFORMANCE CATEGORY $91.0 \%$ PERCENT OF POINTS EARNED 18.2 OUT OF 20.0 POINTS

## Percentage of completers who scored at or above an ACT score of 21 or an SAT score of 1020

This measure reports the percentage of completers who received an ACT score above 21 or an SAT score above 1020.

## Percentage of high-demand endorsements

This measure reports the percentage of all endorsements issued in the area of English as a Second Language, Secondary Math, Secondary Science (Biology, Chemistry, and Physics), Spanish, and Special Education (Modified, Comprehensive, and Interventionist).

## Percentage of racially diverse completers

This measure reports the percentage of completers who reported having a racially or ethnically diverse background.

| RESULTS |  | \\| STATE AVERAGE: 13.6\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 26.3\% |  |
| 0 | - | 100 |
| 3.1\% | 27.0\% |  |
| N-SIZE |  | POINTS EARNED |
| 80 |  | 6.8 OUT OF 7.0 POINTS |

## EMPLOYMENT

3 PERFORMANCE CATEGORY
$61.3 \%$ PERCENT OF POINTS EARNED
9.2 out of 15.0 POINTS

## First year placement rate

This measure reports the rate at which completers were placed in Tennessee public schools within one year of receiving their initial license.

| RESULTS | STATE AVERAGE: $65.5 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 0 | $57.3 \%$ | 100 |
| N-SIZE | $52.7 \%$ | $80.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7 5}$ |  |  |

## Three year placement rate

This measure reports the rate at which completers were placed in
Not yet available. Tennessee public schools within three years of receiving their initial license.

## Beyond year one retention rate*

This measure reports the percentage of completers who were placed and remain teaching in Tennessee public schools the following year.

| RESULTS | \\|STATE AVERAGE: $91.4 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| 0 | $93.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{N - S I Z E}$ | $77.8 \% 95.5 \%$ |
| 33 | POINTS EARNED |
| $\mathbf{8 . 2}$ OUT OF 9.0 POINTS |  |

## SEE HOW THE EMPLOYMENT METRICS ARE CALCULATED

*For the 2016 Report Card, the Beyond Year One Retention Rate will only include data from the 2013-14 cohort of completers who were placed in the 2014-15 school year and remained teaching in the 2015-16 school year.

PROVIDER IMPACT
3 PERFORMANCE CATEGORY
$66.0 \%$ Percent of points earned
26.4 out of 40.0 points

## Percentage of completers whose Observation scores are Level 3 or above

This measure reports the percentage of completers who earned an Observation score of at least a 3 ("At Expectations").

| RESULTS | - STATE AVERAGE: 93.2\% |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 97.6\% |
| 0 | - 100 |
|  | 82.6\% 95.9\% |
| N-SIZE | POINTS EARNED |
| 42 | 6.0 OUT OF 6.0 POINTS |

## Percentage of completers whose Observation scores are Levels 4-5

This measure reports the percentage of completers who earned an Observation score of 4 or 5 ("Above Expectations" or "Significantly Above Expectations").

| RESULTS | STATE AVERAGE: 54.4\% |
| :--- | :---: |
| 0 | $59.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{N 2 . 4 \%}$ | $66.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4 2}$ |  |

## Percentage of completers whose TVAAS* scores are Level 3 or above

This measure reports the percentage of completers who earned a TVAAS score of at least a 3 ("At Expectations").

| RESULTS | \\| STATE AVERAGE: 61.4\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54.5\% |  |  |
| 0 | 100 |  |
|  | 45.5\% | 69.9\% |
| N-SIZE |  | POINTS EARNED |
| 22 |  | 3.7 OUT OF 10.0 POINTS |

## Percentage of completers whose TVAAS

| RESULTS | - STATE AVERAGE: 29.2\% |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| 0 | - 100 |
| 9.1\% |  |
| N-SIZE | POINTS EARNED |
| 22 | 9.5 OUT OF 15.0 POINTS |

SEE HOW THE PROVIDER IMPACT METRICS ARE CALCULATED

[^0]
[^0]:    *The Tennessee Value Added Assessment System (TVAAS) reports the impact teachers have on their students' academic progress. TVAAS measures student growth, not student achievement.

