University of Tennessee, Martin

2015 Report Card on the Effectiveness of Education Preparation Providers (EPPs)



The University of Tennessee, Martin is a public four year institution of higher education in the University of Tennessee system. The university is accredited by the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Colleges and the National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education.


Location:	Martin, TN
Institution Type:	UT
Accreditation:	SACSCOC, NCATE
Most Frequent Endorsement Issued:	Elementary

5%

2013-2014 EPP Completer Demographics

Total Completers 225 Male 31% Female 69% In-State 98% Out-of-State 2%

% of Completers Statewide



Provider: UTM

Academic Credential	Completers	Most Frequent Academic Major
Bachelor's Degree & License	157	Teacher Education, Multiple Levels
Master's Degree & License	9	Teacher Education, Multiple Levels
Non-degree Licensure	59	

Initial License Type

Teacher preparation program completers generally receive one of two types of initial license: Apprentice or Transitional. The Apprentice license is a full license, valid for five years, and renewable. The Transitional license is a provisional license issued at the request of a local education agency. Transitionally licensed teachers complete licensure requirements while employed. The Transitional license is issued for one year and may only be renewed twice. Transitional license candidates must hold a Bachelor's degree; however, the degree might have been conferred by an institution other than the educator preparation provider recommending the candidate for licensure. To receive either an Apprentice or Transitional license, an individual must hold a bachelor's degree from a regionally-accredited university and have demonstrated competency in the subject matter for which the candidate is seeking licensure.

Initial License Type	Completers
Apprentice	175
Transitional	50
Total	225

2013-2014 EPP Completer Academic Information

Below is a summary of academic performance of completers prior to and during preparation. Standardized test averages and percentages reported are calculated based on the relevant degree-level tests. Only degree-seeking students are included. *Note: Data is not provided for measures where there are less than 5 completers.*

	Averag		Range All Completers	Averag Apprent		Averag Transitio		State Ave	_
	Average	N	Min-Max	Average	N	Average	N	Average	N
Final GPA	3.44	225	2.75-4.00	3.39	175	3.62	50	3.58	3813
Major GPA								3.64	1656
High School GPA	3.28	187	2.05-4.00	3.31	163	3.04	24	3.43	1968
ACT Composite	22.3	169	14-30	22.6	142	20.7	27	23.1	2056
ACT Reading	23.1	167	12-35	23.5	140	21.4	27	23.8	1897
ACT Science	21.6	167	13-30	21.8	140	20.5	27	22.5	1897
ACT English	23.1	167	11-36	23.5	140	20.9	27	23.8	1906
ACT Math	20.5	167	11-31	20.8	140	19.3	27	21.7	1906
SAT Cumulative								1141	258
SAT Math								570	247
SAT Verbal								567	248
GRE Composite	1013	7	700-1260					1078	250
GRE Math	530	7	410-660					561	268
GRE Verbal	483	7	290-600					510	269
MAT Score								403	249

2013-2014 EPP Completer Licensure Assessment Data

Candidates for a Tennessee teaching license are required to demonstrate basic academic competencies. Candidates generally fulfill this requirement through standardized test scores. The most common standardized tests utilized are the ACT/SAT or the Core Academic Skills for Educators (Core) Reading, Writing, and Math assessments. In addition, Tennessee requires all teacher candidates seeking licensure take the Principles of Learning and Teaching (PLT) exam and specific content area exams. Note: The pass rate is not provided if there were less than 5 test takers.

Core Academic Skills for Educators	Qualifying Score	Average Score	Pass Rate
Core Reading	156	176	100%
Core Writing	162	174	100%
Core Math	150	175	100%

Principles of Learning and Teaching	Number Taken	Number Passed	Pass Rate
Total Program Completers	176	168	95%
 Apprentice License Completers 	148	141	95%
 Transitional License Completers 	28	27	96%

Retention Data (Cohorts 2010-2013)

Placement and Retention data reflects the rates of completers for each cohort year listed. The data is based on the program completers in the Personnel Information Reporting System (PIRS). The years below refer to the number of years since the individuals have been eligible to teach. Therefore, the data helps to inform the rate at which an institution's graduates enter and remain in the teaching field in Tennessee public schools. Teaching three out of four years is included to account for the teachers who may leave the profession for a year but do return (e.g. for maternity leave or FMLA).

Cohort Year	Number of	Teaching in	Teaching	Teaching in	Teaching 3 out
Conort rear	Completers	Year 1	in Year 2	Year 3	of 4 Years
2010-2011	259	58.3%	52.1%	61.4%	56.8%
2011-2012	266	55.2%	63.9%	65.0%	
2012-2013	238	52.9%	66.4%		
2013-2014	225	62.9%			

2013-2014 EPP Completer Placement Information

The following table and map show the number of completers placed by district in the 2014-2015 school year in Tennessee public schools.

Districts	Completers Placed
Alamo	1
Benton County	4
Bradford	1
Coffee County	1
Crockett County	1
Cumberland County	1
Davidson County	2
Decatur County	4
Dyer County	9
Dyersburg	1
Gibson Co Sp Dist	3
Hamblen County	1
Hardeman County	1
Hardin County	2
Haywood County	5
Henderson County	6
Henry County	4
Humphreys County	3
Knox County	2
Lake County	10
Lauderdale County	11
Lawrence County	1
Lewis County	1
Madison County	3
Marshall County	1
Maury County	1
McNairy County	9
Milan	2
Montgomery County	1
Moore County	1
Murfreesboro	3

Obion County	4
Paris	1
Perry County	1
Shelby County	3
Stewart County	2
Tipton County	12
Trenton	3
Union City	3
Wayne County	1
Weakley County	1
West Carroll Sp Dist	1
West Tenn School For Deaf	1
Wilson County	1



Tennessee Value Added Assessment System (TVAAS) Analysis

TVAAS analysis compares the effectiveness of an EPP's beginning completers (individuals with 1-3 years of experience) in terms of contribution to student achievement growth to other groups of teachers in the state. Analysis is based on one year estimates of t-value of teacher effects in the 2013-2014 school year. *Note: Data is not provided for measures where there are less than 5 completers.*

TVAAS Statewide Teacher Comparison: The average effectiveness of beginning teachers who completed a training program at this EPP as compared to the average effectiveness of teachers statewide in terms of contribution to student achievement growth.

TVAAS EPP Comparison: The average effectiveness of beginning teachers who completed a program at this EPP as compared to the mean of the average effectiveness for beginning teachers from all teacher EPPs in Tennessee in terms of contribution to student achievement growth.

Apprentice License Teachers

Subject	Statewide	EPP	Teachers	EPPs
	Comparison	Comparison	reactiers	Statewide
TCAP Composite (grades 4-8)	-		110	32
Math	-	-	62	27
 Reading/Language 	-	-	54	30
 Science 			55	23
EOC Composite (High School)			35	24
 Algebra I 			7	9
 Algebra II 				4
 Biology 				4
 Chemistry 				2
 English I 			8	8
 English II 			10	7
 English III 			7	7

⁻ represents a statistically significant negative effect

⁺ represents a statistically significant positive effect

Transitional License Teachers

Subject	Statewide Comparison	EPP Comparison	Teachers	EPPs Statewide
TCAP Composite (grades 4-8)	-		7	9
Math				7
 Reading/Language 				6
 Science 				5
EOC Composite (High School)			15	13
 Algebra I 				5
 Algebra II 				3
 Biology 				4
 Chemistry 				4
 English I 				3
 English II 				3
 English III 				1

[–] represents a statistically significant negative effect

Provider: UTM

Apprentice and Transitional License Teachers

Subject	Statewide Comparison	EPP Comparison	Teachers	EPPs Statewide
TCAP Composite (grades 4-8)	-		117	35
Math	-	-	65	30
 Reading/Language 	-	-	58	33
 Science 			58	28
EOC Composite (High School)			50	31
 Algebra I 			11	16
 Algebra II 				8
 Biology 			7	9
 Chemistry 			7	10
 English I 			10	11
 English II 			10	11
 English III 			9	8

⁻ represents a statistically significant negative effect + represents a statistically significant positive effect

⁺ represents a statistically significant positive effect

Tennessee Value Added Assessment System (TVAAS) Analysis

Statewide Distribution: The percentage of beginning teachers (1-3 years of experience) from this EPP who fall into either the lowest or highest effectiveness quintile. Quintiles are based upon the statewide distribution of the t-value of teacher effects in the 2013-2014 school year. *Note: Data is not provided for measures where there are less than 5 completers.*

Apprentice License Teachers

Subject	Below 20 th Percentile	Statistically Significant	Above 80 th Percentile	Statistically Significant	Teachers
TCAP Composite (grades 4-8)	30	-	13.64		110
Math	27.42		12.9		62
 Reading/ Language 	31.48	-	1.85	-	54
 Science 	21.82		25.45		55
EOC Composite (High School)	17.14		11.43		35
 Algebra I 	14.29		28.57		7
 Algebra II 					
 Biology 					
 Chemistry 					
 English I 	25		37.5		8
 English II 	40	-	40	+	10
 English III 	14.29		42.86	+	7

represents a statistically significant negative effect

⁺ represents a statistically significant positive effect

Transitional License Teachers

Subject	Below 20 th Percentile	Statistically Significant	Above 80 th Percentile	Statistically Significant	Teachers
TCAP Composite (grades 4-8)	28.57		0		7
Math					
 Reading/ Language 					
 Science 					
EOC Composite (High School)	33.33		13.33		15
 Algebra I 					
 Algebra II 					
 Biology 					
 Chemistry 					
 English I 					
 English II 					
 English III 					

Provider: UTM

Apprentice and Transitional License Teachers

Subject	Below 20 th Percentile	Statistically Significant	Above 80 th Percentile	Statistically Significant	Teachers
TCAP Composite (grades 4-8)	29.91	-	12.82	-	117
Math	26.15		12.31		65
 Reading/ Language 	31.03	-	1.72	-	58
 Science 	22.41		24.14		58
EOC Composite (High School)	22		12		50
 Algebra I 	27.27		27.27		11
 Algebra II 					
 Biology 	28.57		0		7
 Chemistry 	14.29		57.14	+	7
 English I 	20		30		10
 English II 	40	-	40	+	10
 English III 	11.11		33.33		9

⁻ represents a statistically significant negative effect + represents a statistically significant positive effect

⁻ represents a statistically significant negative effect + represents a statistically significant positive effect