# Increasing Voter Access: Exploring Options

A Study of House Bill 472/Senate Bill 1872 107th General Assembly

Staff Report to Members of the Tennessee Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations



# TACIR Publication Policy

Reports approved by vote of the Tennessee Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations are labeled such on their covers with the following banner at the top: *Report of the Tennessee Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations*. All other reports by Commission staff are prepared to inform members of the Commission and the public and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Commission. They are labeled *Staff Report to Members of the Tennessee Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations* on their covers. *TACIR Fast Facts* are short publications prepared by Commission staff to inform members and the public.

Phone:  $615.741.3012 \cdot Fax$ : 615.532.2443 E-mail: tacir@tn.gov · Website: www.tn.gov/tacir

# Increasing Voter Access: Exploring Options

#### **Principal Authors:**

Rose Naccarato, Ph.D. Senior Research Associate



Ethel Detch, M.P.A. Senior Research Consultant

#### **Other Contributing Staff:**

Teresa Gibson
Web Development & Publications Manager

Staff Report to Members of the Tennessee Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations

September 2012

#### State of Tennessee



# Tennessee Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations

226 Capitol Boulevard, Suite 508 Nashville, TN 37243



#### **Legislative Members**

Senator Douglas Henry Nashville

Senator Jim Kyle

Senator Mark Norris, Chair Collierville

Senator Jim Tracy Shelbyville

Representative Vince Dean East Ridge

Representative Curtis Halford

Speaker Emeritus Jimmy Naifeh Covington

Representative Gary Odom Nashville

#### **Statutory Members**

Senator Randy McNally Oak Ridge

Representative Charles Sargent Franklin

Justin Wilson Comptroller of Treasury

#### County Members

Mayor Ernest Burgess Rutherford County

County Executive Jeff Huffman

Mayor Kenny McBride Carroll County

Mayor Larry Waters Sevier County

#### Municipal Members

Mayor Troy Beets Kingston

Mayor Tommy Bragg Murfreesboro

Mayor Tom Rowland, Vice Chair

Mayor Pro Tem Kay Senter

#### **Other Local Government Members**

**Mayor Brent Greer** 

TN Development District Association

Charlie Cardwell

County Officials Assn. of Tennessee

#### **Executive Branch Members**

Paula Davis, Asst. Comm. of Admin. Dept. of Economic & Community Dev.

Iliff McMahan, Jr., Regional Director Dept. of Economic & Community Dev.

#### **Private Citizen Members**

Rozelle Criner Ripley

Tommy Schumpert Knoxville

#### TACIR

Lynnisse Roehrich-Patrick, Executive Director

September 10, 2012

The Honorable Bob Ramsey, Chair House State and Local Government Committee 301 6th Avenue North Suite 207, War Memorial Building Nashville, Tennessee 37243

Dear Chairman Ramsey:

Transmitted herewith is a report on House Bill 472 by Representative Joe Pitts, which was referred by the Committee to TACIR for study. This staff report was accepted by the Commission at its June 20, 2012, meeting and is hereby submitted for your consideration. While recognizing the need to make reasonable accommodations for all citizens to vote, the Commission is endorsing neither the concept of voting at a place of temporary residence nor the expenditure of significant resources to study the issue further.

Sincerely,

Senator Mark Norris Chairman Lynnisse Roehrich-Patrick

**Executive Director** 





226 Capitol Boulevard Bldg., Suite 508 Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0760

Phone: (615) 741-3012 Fax: (615) 532-2443 www.tn.gov/tacir

#### MEMORANDUM

TO:

**Commission Members** 

FROM:

Lynnisse Roehrich-Patrick

**Executive Director** 

DATE:

20 June 2012

**SUBJECT:** 

Commission Report to the House State and Local Government Committee on

House Bill 472 to Require a Study of Permitting Registered Voters Temporarily Residing Outside the Precinct Where They are Registered to Vote in Statewide

Elections

The House State and Local Government Committee referred House Bill 472 by Representative Pitts to the Tennessee Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations for study. The bill would have required the state coordinator of elections to "study the feasibility of permitting registered voters who reside outside the precinct listed as their permanent residence at the time of an election to vote in statewide elections only." Our report recommends that the coordinator of state elections study the possibility of the state allowing voters temporarily residing outside of their counties of permanent residence to cast provisional ballots in their counties of temporary residence.

This report is submitted for your approval.

# **Contents**

Introduction	3
Current Law	4
Proposed Legislation	5
Policy Alternatives	9
Appendix A	11
Appendix B	13
Appendix C	15

#### Introduction

Voting is a treasured right of citizenship in America, but may pose a challenge for people who temporarily reside away from their home districts because of school, work, or family obligations. Senator Jim Kyle and Representative Joe Pitts introduced legislation in 2011 that would have required the state election coordinator to study the feasibility of allowing these voters to cast ballots only in statewide races in their counties of temporary residence during the early voting period. After discussion, the House State and Local Government Committee referred the bill to the Tennessee Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations (TACIR) for study.

Voting at a place of temporary residence may not be feasible now but likely will be in the future. The likelihood is great enough to warrant further study by the state's election experts, including members of the state election commission and the state election coordinator, as information systems and safeguards become adequate to support it. Voting in the manner contemplated by the bill calling for this study would require upgrading the state's voter database to allow instantaneous updates. Other options based on current law in Tennessee include allowing temporary residents to cast a provisional ballot or vote at the local election commission. Ballots by either method would have to be checked against the voters' home-county registration before being counted.

Options based on registration and voting methods in other states could also be considered:

- Same-day registration—Eight states allow registration on the same day as voting; one allows it at specific locations during early voting. North Dakota requires no voter registration.
- Voting by mail—In Washington and Oregon, all voters vote by mail, and their ballots are automatically sent to them without special request.
- Greater use of Internet to vote—In many states (though not in Tennessee), military personnel and other eligible voters overseas can not only request a ballot by e-mail but also return it by e-mail. As Internet capabilities become more sophisticated, other means of voting may become possible.

"Your every voter, as surely as your chief magistrate, exercises a public trust."

-Grover Cleveland

Based on data from the U.S. Census Bureau, only five states have lower voter participation rates than Tennessee.

These changes would not come without costs and risks, which must be weighed against the potential for increasing voter access and participation. However, they might improve voter turnout. Based on data from the U.S. Census Bureau, only five states have lower voter participation rates than Tennessee. The reasons for this ranking may also warrant further study.

#### **Current Law**

Recent changes in Tennessee's election processes have helped make voting more accessible, more accurate, and easier to administer. The advent of electronic voting—the method used in 93 of Tennessee's 95 counties—has reduced the administrative difficulties that voting in counties of temporary residence could cause. Likewise, the move to a statewide voter database has further reduced the potential for fraud.

#### Laws Pertaining to Registration

Tennessee election law provides voters who temporarily reside outside their counties three options for casting their ballots. A qualified registered voter may

- 1. vote early in his or her county of permanent residence at a designated location,
- 2. vote at his or her assigned local polling place, or
- 3. request and cast an absentee ballot by mail.

A voter may register and vote in his or her county of temporary residence as long as residency requirements are met.<sup>1</sup>

#### Laws Pertaining to Access

Two procedural changes implemented over the past few decades have improved access for voters residing temporarily out of county:

 Voting early was authorized by passage of the Tennessee Early Voting Act in 1994. For the 15-day period that begins

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Tennessee Code Annotated § 2-2-122 spells out the principles for determination of residence for election purposes.

20 days before the election and ends 5 days before the election, voters may cast ballots at their county election commission's office or designated satellite locations. This gives registered voters who are temporarily residing out of county more opportunities to return home to vote. This 15-day period includes Saturdays.

2. Absentee voting has been permitted for many years. More recently, however, voters have been able to apply to the county election commission for an absentee ballot by fax or e-mail, as well as by postal mail. This has helped reduce the amount of advanced planning required to vote absentee. Voters may request an absentee ballot from a county election commission as early as 90 days before the election or as late as 7 days before the election. With the ability to fax or e-mail the request for a ballot application, a voter requesting a ballot 7 days before the election could feasibly receive it and return it in time to be counted.<sup>2</sup>

Voters may request an absentee ballot from a county election commission as early as 90 days before the election or as late as 7 days before the election.

## **Proposed Legislation**

House Bill 472 by Representative Joe Pitts and Senate Bill 1872 by Senator Jim Kyle, as introduced and passed by the Senate, would have required the state election coordinator to "study the feasibility of permitting registered voters who reside outside the precinct listed as their permanent residence at the time of an election to vote in statewide elections only." The bill excluded voting based on ownership of property within a municipality and the requirements established by municipalities for voting in municipal elections from the study. The coordinator would have been required to report the study's results to the House and Senate State and Local Government committees by February 28, 2012. See appendix A for a copy of the bill.

#### Situation Giving Rise to the Bill

In introducing the bill to the Senate State and Local Government Committee, Senator Kyle said that college students and people who

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> There are additional provisions for military families and qualified voters living overseas, including a longer period for requesting an absentee ballot and the ability to have the ballot itself e-mailed to the voter.

are traveling on Election Day may not have requested an absentee ballot but should still be allowed to vote in statewide races where they temporarily reside. Representative Pitts provided a similar explanation of the bill in the House State and Local Government Committee. Given the concern of the bill's sponsors about increasing opportunities to vote, TACIR staff reviewed voter turnout data from the U.S. Census Bureau to determine how Tennessee compares with other states. In 2008, only five states ranked lower than Tennessee in voter participation.<sup>3</sup> Turnout for all registered voters in the U.S. was 89.6% while Tennessee's was 86.1%. (See appendix B.) The national average for the 18-24 population was 83.0%, while Tennessee's was 78.5%. (See appendix C.)

#### Reaction to the Legislation

Senators responded favorably to the proposed legislation and passed the bill. House members had a number of questions. Though they understood that it was not the bill's intent, House State and Local Government subcommittee members expressed concern that the language might restrict persons who live outside their registered precincts from voting in local elections even if they returned to their home precincts to vote. Representative Pitts noted that this was not the intent and offered an amendment to clarify that point.

In meetings of the House State and Local Government Committee members' concerns centered on the potential for

- excessive administrative burdens on state and local election offices.
- · increased potential for fraud, and
- decreased voting in local races.

Coordinator of Elections Mark Goins in speaking to the effects of the bill said that the proposed legislation was not feasible because the counties are not linked to one another. Consequently, they cannot report who has voted and prevent people from voting more than once. Voting twice is fraud, of course, and as House Majority

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Current Population Survey. 2009. *Table 4c. Reported Voting and Registration of the Total Voting-Age Population, by Age, for States: November 2008.* Washington, DC: United States Census Bureau. http://www.census.gov/hhes/www/socdemo/voting/publications/p20/2008/tables.html (accessed May 10, 2012).

Leader Gerald McCormick pointed out, a felony. He also noted the likelihood of being caught, which should serve as a deterrent. He suggested that the bill be sent to TACIR for study and, after some discussion, the committee voted to do so.

#### Potential Increase in Administrative Burden and Cost

The fiscal note produced by staff of the Fiscal Review Committee deemed its effect on state and local government finances "not significant" because the election coordinator's office could study the issues using existing resources. It did not address the estimated cost of the proposed change in voting practice.

Local Administrative Burden and Cost. Staff interviewed several local election officials across the state to determine whether the increased access proposed in this bill would impose an administrative burden. Because the Voter ID law—requiring one of a short list of specific government-issued photo identifications to be presented at the polls—went into effect in 2012, local officials did not express concern that another county might fail to adequately verify identity. Local officials were concerned, however, about having timely access to the statewide registration list so that they could verify the eligibility of out-of-county voters. Election officials sometimes lack access to the most updated statewide list, which would be vital to prevent duplicate voting. They were unsure about the cost of providing a statewide-only ballot where electronic machines are used because the ballots are created by programmers from the voting machine companies. Company representatives, however, indicated that an additional ballot is a minor matter and should involve no additional cost.

Tennessee has two counties—Hamilton and Pickett—that use optical scan paper ballots. Those counties would need pre-printed statewide-only ballots at every early voting location and so would incur some additional cost. Local officials also thought that polling places near colleges might become more crowded than usual if the proposed legislation were in effect and that the voters there might require special attention because their registration verification could take longer. Officials liked that the out-of-county voting would be restricted to the early voting period when the polling locations are heavily staffed with experienced election workers.

Local officials were concerned about having timely access to the statewide registration list so that they could verify the eligibility of out-of-county voters.

State Administrative Burden and Cost. For voting in non-resident counties to become feasible, Tennessee would need to improve the state's voter database technology. With the present technology, the risk of fraud, though slight, could increase because the statewide voter list is not updated immediately. Election officials can tell if someone attempts to cast a vote in two locations within counties, but not across counties. If checks across counties against the statewide voter list were possible, then voters could theoretically vote at any early voting location statewide without raising concerns of fraud.

Tennessee's voter list is currently maintained at the county level, and counties report updates to the state election coordinator's office. Voter information is comparable across counties—with the same fields for data—so the lists can be combined. Having the necessary instantaneous updates at all early voting locations, however, would require networking all of the counties to the state database and allowing them real-time access to the changes made by each local election office. This is technologically possible, but election officials believe it would be costly.

#### Potential for Voter Fraud

Under current law, voter fraud is prevented through registration rules, identification requirements, and voter affidavits. Voters who register by mail or with a state agency must appear in person the first time they vote after the registration is processed. In addition, registered voter lists are purged of ineligible voters prior to every election.<sup>4</sup> Voters must present photo identification and sign an affidavit verifying that they reside at the registered address before voting.

Duplicate vote fraud is prevented through careful record-keeping and instantaneous updates to county-level voter lists at early voting locations. When someone votes early, it is immediately reflected in the county list of registered voters. Workers at all polling places in a county receive notice. Counties do not receive these updates

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Tennessee Code Annotated §§ 2-2-106 and 2-2-140 govern purges of ineligible voters. If an election office receives notice that a voter's eligibility has changed, that voter may be required to submit additional documentation to remain in an active status. Ineligible voters are purged.

from one another, however, so people could vote early in multiple counties without being detected immediately.

Election officials believe that people voting in multiple counties would likely be discovered after the election when the statewide voter list is updated and could be charged with a felony. Local election officials, however, gave varying reports on the extent to which local district attorneys prosecute voter fraud cases. Technical violations of election law sometimes go unprosecuted when it appears that the voter was simply confused or misinformed. A candidate who loses an election because of voting fraud can challenge the results and, if successful, have them voided. Of course, holding a new election is expensive and onerous, and no election can be "duplicated" because turnout will be different.

#### Potential Decrease in Voting in Local Races

It is impossible to estimate the number of people who would choose to vote in their counties of temporary residency if given the opportunity. Nor is it possible to know how many of those voters would otherwise have voted early in their home precincts or by absentee ballot. Anyone voting in a temporary county of residence who otherwise would not have voted would not affect participation in local races.

### **Policy Alternatives**

Although in-person voting at a place of temporary residence is not feasible now, it likely will be in the future absentee ballot. The likelihood is great enough to warrant further study by the state's election experts, including the state election commission and the state election coordinator. The following options are worth further study:

#### Options Based on Current Voting Methods in Tennessee

• Casting a provisional ballot. Temporary residents could cast a provisional ballot in their temporary county of residence

It is impossible to estimate the number of people who would choose to vote in their counties of temporary residency if given the opportunity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Tennessee Code Annotated § 2-17-113 provides for voiding an election in which fraud has been determined to be a factor if that fraud had the potential to change the outcome.

during the early voting period. Ballots could then be sent to the voters' home counties to be verified and counted. Election officials in the county of temporary residence could verify identity, provide a paper ballot with statewide races only, and mail the completed ballot to the voter's home county at the beginning of the five-day period between the end of early voting and the election.

 Voting at the local election commission office. Temporary residents could vote at the local election commission office in their temporary county of residence.

#### **Options Based on Practices in Other States**

- Voting by Mail. All voters in Washington and Oregon vote by mail, and their ballots are automatically sent to them.
   Tennessee has a similar process for those over 65 years of age, members of the armed forces and their families, and people with permanent disabilities who cannot go to the polls.
- Same-day registration or no registration policies. Eight states allow registration on voting day: Idaho, Iowa, Maine, Minnesota, Montana, New Hampshire, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. North Carolina allows qualified residents to register and vote during early voting at a "one-stop shop." North Dakota requires no voter registration.<sup>6</sup> Such policies, however, are likely to increase eligibility challenges.
- Internet voting. Voting over the Internet is currently used in some states under very limited circumstances. Military personnel and other eligible voters overseas, for example, can request and return ballots by e-mail in some states. Texas has allowed residents of the International Space Station to receive and return ballots via e-mail since 1977. Of course, setting up a widely-available system that is also secure enough to prevent hacking is a challenge that has not yet been solved.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> For more information go to https://vip.sos.nd.gov/pdfs/Portals/votereg.pdf.

#### Appendix A

#### House Bill 472/Senate Bill 1872

SENATE BILL 1872 By Kyle

**HOUSE BILL 472** 

By Pitts

AN ACT relative to a study to be conducted by the state election coordinator concerning voting by certain registered voters.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF TENNESSEE: SECTION 1.

- (a) Except as provided in subsection (b), the state election coordinator shall study the feasibility of permitting registered voters who reside outside of the precinct listed as their permanent residence at the time of an election to vote in statewide elections only. The study should consider establishing designated locations where such persons may vote to include the county election commission office, a public university campus, or at an equally desirable location. Such limited polling locations would be open only during the early voting period.
- (b) Any property rights voting, based on ownership of property within the municipality, as permitted by a municipality in its charter, would not be a part of the study and voters who meet the requirements as enacted by the municipality to vote in municipal elections would continue to have the right to vote in such elections.
- (c) The study shall be completed by the state election coordinator no later than February 2, 2012, and the state election coordinator shall present the results of the study, together with any recommended statutory changes, to the house and senate state and local government committees no later than February 28, 2012.
- SECTION 2. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law, the public welfare requiring

it.

Appendix B Voter Participation by State (Ranked) 2008

	TOTAL	TOTAL	PERCENT OF
CTATE	REGISTERED	VOTED (the suse nde)	REGISTERED WHO
STATE	(thousands)	(thousands)	VOTED
COLORADO	2,437	2,308	94.71%
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	324	306	94.44%
MINNESOTA	2,931	2,759	94.13%
NEW HAMPSHIRE	756	708	93.65%
WISCONSIN	3,095	2,887	93.28%
WASHINGTON	3,299	3,073	93.15%
CALIFORNIA	14,885	13,828	92.90%
OREGON	1,961	1,818	92.71%
WYOMING	270	250	92.59%
MASSACHUSETTS	3,293	3,044	92.44%
VIRGINIA	3,950	3,650	92.41%
MARYLAND	2,828	2,611	92.33%
IOWA	1,630	1,501	92.09%
MONTANA	516	473	91.67%
CONNECTICUT	1,761	1,610	91.43%
DELAWARE	447	408	91.28%
KANSAS	1,343	1,219	90.77%
FLORIDA	8,774	7,951	90.62%
MISSISSIPPI	1,589	1,439	90.56%
GEORGIA	4,624	4,183	90.46%
NEW JERSEY	4,022	3,637	90.43%
NEW MEXICO	937	846	90.29%
NEBRASKA	939	844	89.88%
LOUISIANA	2,393	2,149	89.80%
OHIO	6,108	5,483	89.77%
UNITED STATES	146,311	131,144	89.63%
NEVADA	1,147	1,027	89.54%
MAINE	801	716	89.39%
NEW YORK	8,458	7,559	89.37%
VERMONT	345	308	89.28%
RHODE ISLAND	568	507	89.26%
NORTH CAROLINA	4,902	4,370	89.15%
PENNSYLVANIA	6,451	5,747	89.09%

STATE	TOTAL TOTAL REGISTERED VOTED (thousands) (thousands		PERCENT OF REGISTERED WHO VOTED	
IDAHO	723	644	89.07%	
UTAH	1,056	939	88.92%	
INDIANA	3,105	2,758	88.82%	
ILLINOIS	6,151	5,436	88.38%	
MISSOURI	3,224	2,846	88.28%	
SOUTH DAKOTA	442	390	88.24%	
ALASKA	345	304	88.12%	
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,385	2,100	88.05%	
MICHIGAN	5,531	4,865	87.96%	
HAWAII	522	457	87.55%	
ALABAMA	2,438	2,126	87.20%	
ARIZONA	2,874	2,497	86.88%	
KENTUCKY	2,259	1,952	86.41%	
TENNESSEE	2,921	2,516	86.13%	
OKLAHOMA	1,798	1,507	83.82%	
TEXAS	10,123	8,435	83.33%	
ARKANSAS	1,317	1,092	82.92%	
WEST VIRGINIA	917	741	80.81%	
NORTH DAKOTA	399	321	80.45%	

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2009.

Appendix C 18-24 Year-olds Registered and Voted (by state)

	Registered		Total Voted		Percentage	
		18-24 Year-				Percent of 18-
	Total	olds		18-24 Year-	Percent	24 Year-olds
	Registered	Registered	Total Voted	olds Voted	Registered	Registered Who
State and Age*	(thousands)	(thousands)	(thousands)		Who Voted	Voted
UNITED STATES						
Total	146,311		131,145		89.6%	
18 to 24	,	15,082		12,515		83.0%
ALABAMA						
Total	2,438		2,126		87.2%	
18 to 24		301		238		79.1%
ARIZONA						
Total	2,874		2,496		86.8%	
18 to 24		303		223		73.6%
ARKANSAS						
Total	1,318		1,092		82.9%	
18 to 24		141		99		70.2%
CALIFORNIA						
Total	14,884		13,828		92.9%	
18 to 24		1,727		1,504		87.1%
COLORADO						
Total	2,437		2,307		94.7%	
18 to 24		248		231		93.1%
CONNECTICUT	4 700		4.040		0.4.407	
Total	1,762	404	1,610	1.10	91.4%	
18 to 24		164		143		87.2%
FLORIDA Total	0.774		7.054		00.00/	
18 to 24	8,774	922	7,951	602	90.6%	
GEORGIA		832		693		83.3%
Total	4,624		4,183		90.5%	
18 to 24	4,024	491	4,103	401	90.576	81.7%
HAWAII		431		401		01.770
Total	522		458		87.7%	
18 to 24	522	37	+30	27	01.170	73.0%
ILLINOIS		01		21		10.070
Total	6,152		5,436		88.4%	
18 to 24	3,132	694	3, 130	585	23.170	84.3%
INDIANA						2 3 / 0
Total	3,105		2,758		88.8%	
18 to 24	2, 30	304	, , ,	222		73.0%
IOWA						
Total	1,630		1,501		92.1%	
18 to 24		168		147		87.5%

	Registered		Total Voted		Percentage	
	18-24 Year-					Percent of 18-
	Total	olds		18-24 Year-	Percent	24 Year-olds
	Registered	Registered	Total Voted			Registered Who
State and Age*	(thousands)	(thousands)		(thousands)	Who Voted	Voted
KANSAS	(triousarius)	(triousarius)	(triousarius)	(triousarius)	Willo Votcu	Voica
Total	1,342		1,218		90.8%	
18 to 24	1,342	124	1,210	108	90.6%	87.1%
KENTUCKY		124		100		07.170
Total	2,258		1,952		86.4%	
18 to 24	2,200	230	1,502	183	00.470	79.6%
LOUISIANA		200		100		13.070
Total	2,393		2,150		89.8%	
18 to 24	_,000	197	_,:00	165	33.373	83.8%
MAINE						00.070
Total	801		716		89.4%	
18 to 24		64		57	221170	89.1%
MARYLAND						
Total	2,827		2,612		92.4%	
.18 to 24		286		251		87.8%
MASSACHUSETTS						
Total	3,294		3,043		92.4%	
18 to 24		296		253		85.5%
MICHIGAN						
Total	5,532		4,865		87.9%	
18 to 24		610		455		74.6%
MINNESOTA						
Total	2,930		2,759		94.2%	
18 to 24		306		279		91.2%
MISSISSIPPI						
Total	1,590		1,439		90.5%	
18 to 24		198		158		79.8%
MISSOURI						
Total	3,224		2,846		88.3%	
18 to 24		377		304		80.6%
NEBRASKA	000		0.40		00.00/	
Total	939	0.4	843	75	89.8%	
18 to 24		94		75		79.8%
<b>NEVADA</b> Total	1 1 1 0		1,028		00 E0/	
18 to 24	1,148	106		85	89.5%	80.2%
NEW HAMPSHIRE		106		65		00.2%
Total	757		709		93.7%	
18 to 24	131	83	709	72	93.1%	86.7%
NEW JERSEY		00		12		00.7 /6
Total	4,021		3,637		90.5%	
18 to 24	7,021	462	3,037	384	30.576	83.1%
NEW MEXICO		702		504		00.170
Total	935		847		90.6%	
18 to 24	333	88		69		78.4%
10 10 2 1		00		03		70.470

	Registered		Total Voted		Percentage	
	18-24 Year-				Percent of 18-	
	Total	olds		18-24 Year-	Percent	24 Year-olds
	Registered	Registered	Total Voted			Registered Who
State and Age*	(thousands)	(thousands)	(thousands)		Who Voted	Voted
NEW YORK	(triousarius)	(triousarius)	(triousarius)	(triousarius)	Willo Voted	Votcu
Total	8,458		7,559		89.4%	
18 to 24	0,430	875	7,559	741	09.4 /0	84.7%
NORTH CAROLINA		010		771		04.7 70
Total	4,902		4,370		89.1%	
18 to 24	1,002	445	1,676	362	00.170	81.3%
OHIO						011070
Total	6,109		5,483		89.8%	
18 to 24		692		581		84.0%
OKLAHOMA						
Total	1,798		1,507		83.8%	
18 to 24		171		132		77.2%
OREGON						
Total	1,961		1,818		92.7%	
18 to 24		158		136		86.1%
PENNSYLVANIA						
Total	6,451	07.4	5,747	224	89.1%	00.00/
18 to 24		674		601		89.2%
SOUTH CAROLINA Total	2 204		2.404		00.40/	
18 to 24	2,384	211	2,101	185	88.1%	07 70/
TENNESSEE		211		100		87.7%
Total	2,921		2,515		86.1%	
18 to 24	2,321	298	2,313	234	00.176	78.5%
TEXAS		230		204		10.570
Total	10,124		8,435		83.3%	
18 to 24	. 5, 1	1,074	3, .30	780	23.270	72.6%
UTAH		, , , ,				
Total	1,055		939		89.0%	
18 to 24		107		85		79.4%
VIRGINIA						
Total	3,951		3,650		92.4%	
18 to 24		346		311		89.9%
WASHINGTON						
Total	3,300		3,073		93.1%	
18 to 24		259		223		86.1%
WEST VIRGINIA	047		740		00 70/	
Total	917	00	740	70	80.7%	04.007
18 to 24		88		72		81.8%
WISCONSIN	2.005		2 000		02.20/	
Total 18 to 24	3,095	202	2,886	267	93.2%	0.4.70/
18 10 24		282		267		94.7%

\*Note: States have been removed from this table that did not have sufficient 18-24 year-olds to report separately. Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, November 2008.