Form D Design-Builder Question Request

Design-Build Project I-75 Interchange at I-24, Hamilton County (DB1801)

| RFQ Section No./Appendix | Question | Reserved for Agency Response |
|-----------------------------|--|---|
| Page 8, Section C.1.(f)(4)a | Since an unlimited bonding capability letter is unacceptable, what amount does the Department require for the surety letter? | \$160 million |
| Page 6, C.1 | | Per section D.2: only the introductory letter, section dividers, and appendices are exempt from the page count. |
| Page 3, B.1 | Will the project limits (mainly at Spring Creek Bridge) be evaluated on constructability? | The functional plans are posted on the TDOT Construction website. |

Form D Design-Builder Question Request

Design-Build Project I-75 Interchange at I-24, Hamilton County (DB1801)

| RFQ Section No./Appendix | Question | Reserved for Agency Response |
|--------------------------|--|---|
| Preliminary Plans | Can updated drawings be provided that detail the current project termini and the scope of work along I-75 and I-24? The preliminary plans on the website appear to be different from the project description in the SOQ. | The functional plans are posted on the TDOT Construction's website. |
| Form B | Form B requires the completion date of a project. Does this require all projects we include to be complete, or can we use an anticipated completion date for projects currently under construction or under design? | The purpose of From B is to show the projects that have previously been completed. In the event the design builder or major participant does not have enough completed projects within the past 10 years, then it is appropriate to include an anticipated completion date for a current project. |
| Page 7, Section C.1.(e) | If the team is a joint venture, can we submit 4 Form Bs for each major participant included in the joint venture, or must we limit it to 4 Form Bs overall? | Yes, the team can submit 4 form Bs for each major participant in the joint venture. |

Form D Design-Builder Question Request

Design-Build Project I-75 Interchange at I-24, Hamilton County (DB1801)

6-27-18_

| RFQ Section No./Appendix | Question | Reserved for Agency Response |
|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Page 10, Section C.2.(a)(7) | Workload - Do you want this for engineering firms and/or contractors? What | This information is for the contractor only. It is explained in the RFQ document |
| Page 11, Section C.2.(b)(1) | Under Key Personnel and Organization (b)1;(a), the cross-reference to Section C.2(B)(2) does not appear to be relevant. Is this the correct reference? | It will be addressed in a forthcoming addendum. The Organizational Chart referenced will be revised to Section C.2(B)(1)(e). |
| Page 17, Section D.3 | Can the electronic copy of the RFQ be provided on a USB/flash drive instead of CD? | Yes. |

[Please make additional copies of this sheet as needed]

$RFQ\ (June\ 8,\ 2018)\ \textbf{Design-Builder}\ \textbf{Question}\ \textbf{Request}$

Design-Build Project I-75 Interchange at I-24, Hamilton County (DB1801)

| RFQ Section No./Appendix | Question | Reserved for Agency Response |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Page 13, 2.B.d.2.e. | Please define what activities would be considered "major design activities." | Any structure activities would be considered as major design activities. |
| Page 3, B.1 / Preliminary Plans | Is a new travel lane in each direction being added between the I-24/I-75 route interchange and the railroad bridge at the eastern terminus of the project? | Refer to the functional plans are posted on the TDOT Construction website. |
| | | Refer to the functional plans are |
| Page 3, B.1 / Preliminary Plans | Is the existing northbound on-ramp from Exit 1 being relocated behind the welcome center as part of this project? | posted on the TDOT Construction website. |

Design-Builder Question Request

Design-Build Project I-75 Interchange at I-24, Hamilton County

(DB1801)

| RFQ Section No./Appendix | Question | Reserved for Agency Response |
|--|--|--|
| Page 3, B.1 / Preliminary Plans | | Refer to the functional plans are posted on the TDOT Construction website. |
| Section B 4 | Section B (4) identifies the Lead Engineering/Design firm as a Major Participant. It also requires that the Design-Builder list and certify estimated percentages of each Major Participant. Will TDOT confirm that the requirement to list and certify estimated percentages does not apply to the Lead Engineering/Design firm provided the Lead Engineering/Design firm is not and will not be a general partner or joint venture member of the Design-Builder? | The Design-Builder must list and certify estimated percentages of each Major Participant including the lead engineering/Design firm. |
| Section C - Contents of SOQs Paragraph 2.d.1.f Design-Build Project Manager Page 12 | Currently the RFQ requires the DBPM be registered professional engineer in the State of Tennessee. We respectfully request that this requirement be removed as contractors do not typically employ licensed professional engineers. We have several Senior Project Managers who have delivered hundreds of millions of dollars of design-build projects across the country who are not licensed PEs. | The Department will keep this requirement. |

Design-Builder Question Request

Design-Build Project I-75 Interchange at I-24, Hamilton County

(DB1801)

| Section C - Contents of SOQs Paragraph 1.a.2 Identification of prequalified ROW Firm Page 6 | Currently the RFQ requires the identification of a prequalified ROW firm but earlier in the RFQ (page 3) it states that the project is to work within the existing ROW throughout the project. Do we need to have a ROW firm on | This will be addressed in a forthcoming addendum. The revised RFQ will indicate that there is no need to identify a prequalified ROW firm. |
|---|---|--|
| Section D 2 | , - | Each double-sided page counts as 1 page towards the 30 page limit. |
| Section C 2 6(b) | Section C 2 6(b) requires the Environmental Compliance Manager (ECM) to have a current CPESC certification. For erosion control plans and SWPPP preparation, TDEC and TDOT typically only require either a CPESC or a PE license. Will TDOT consider removing this requirement for the ECM? | • |

Design-Builder Question Request

Design-Build Project I-75 Interchange at I-24, Hamilton County

(DB1801)

| Section C 1(e) | Section C 1(e) states that up to four (4) projects shall be submitted for the Design-Builder and each Major Participant. Is the project limitation of 4 projects to be submitted on FORM B applicable to the Design-Builder and each Major Participant independently orin aggregate? In other words, are up to 4 projects allowed for the Design-Builder and each Major Participant or is the limitation a combined total of 4 projects for the Design-Builder and Major Participants? | Each Design Builder and each Major Participant shall individually submit 4 projects per section C 1(e). Furthermore, as indicated in the same section for the projects in which several of the proposed Major Participants were involved, the Design-Builder may provide a single project description. Highlight experience relevant to the Project that the Design-Builder/Major Participants have gained in the last ten (10) years. Cite projects of similar size and scope to that anticipated for the Project. |
|-----------------------|--|---|
| RFQ Part E, Section 5 | What is the anticipated worth of time ("B")? | It will be determined in the RFP. |