PROJECT: I-440, Davidson County

DB CONTRACT No.: DB1701

DATE: 02/22/2018

RFP Book No. and Section ID	Question	Reserved for Agency Response
	include half-size plan sheets depicting those elements required by the RFP." Please provide more detail of how this differs from what is requested in Book 1 Section D.4.c? Which "elements" of the RFP are you referring to? Do these plans count toward the	"elements" referred to in Section D.4.d are
Form RC IV	Form RC IV – Response Category IV: Technical Solution, Item 11 states, "Attach a copy of any approved ATCs used in this Technical Proposal." Will the inclusion of the ATCs count toward the 75-page maximum page count?	maximum per Section E.1.a.1).
		Traffic count data will be made available by the Department in the Reference Material Section of the project webpage.

RFP Book No. and Section ID	Question	Reserved for Agency Response
Reference Material, Preliminary Design		This information will not be provided by the Department as it is the responsibility of the Design-Builder to ensure the proper design of any structure within the project and each Design-Builder may provide a unique design.
Book 1	Will TDOT consider a meeting with the Design-Builder to discuss ATCs, confidential questions, and proprietary information?	Yes, The Department will meet with each Design-Builder.
Book 1, Section J.1	Book 1 Section J.1 states, "the Department may hold one or more mandatory pre-proposal meetings with all Design- Builders prior to the Proposal Due Date." The RFP references this meeting occurring no later than May 11, 2018. The deadline for this potential meeting is within one (1) week of the proposal due date and would not be beneficial since the design and price proposals will be in the final stages. A pre-proposal meeting would be more beneficial if held earlier in the proposal phase as it would allow the Design-Builder to discuss project approach and request clarifications. Will TDOT consider such a meeting?	There are no mandatory pre-proposal meetings with all Design-Builders prior to the Proposal Due Date for this project. The Department is meeting for a one on one confidential meeting prior to the Proposal and ATC due date.

RFP Book No. and Section ID	Question	Reserved for Agency Response
RFP Book 3, Page 18 (Section 3.2.5.d)	This section requires replacement of existing concrete pavement at bridge ends. Since these were recently replaced, do these need to be replaced again?	Yes, the existing concrete pavement at bridge ends is to be replaced.
RFP Book 3, Page 19	Could the TNM model input and output information be	The TNM model will not be available to the
(Section3.3 Noise Barrier Walls)	made available to the Design Builders?	Design-Builders. The Noise walls shall have the same configurations as identified in the Environmental Document.
Book 3, Sect. 2.5.a	Should the impact attenuators on the project be replaced as part of the project?	All impact attenuators (including galvanized and powder coated) along I-440 and I-440 ramps shall be removed and replaced within the project limits. All impact attenuators shall be galvanized and in accordance with TDOT Standard Roadway Drawings and TDOT Standard Specifications.
Book 3, Sect. 3.3 and 3.4	Can noise wall construction/repairs be completed at night?	Noise wall construction/repairs shall only be conducted during daytime hours. More details will be addressed by forthcoming addendum.
Contract Book 3, Section 2.2.v	Book 3 Section 2.2.v, states, "Design-Builder shall not dispose of any material within interchange areas located within the Project" It is common construction practice to place wasted soil from the project within the project right- of-way to minimize borrow on future projects. Please define material and clarify if the Design-Builder may place soil within the TDOT right-of-way.	The Design-Builder is allowed to dispose of excess material in embankment areas within the project right-of-way with the exclusion of those areas referenced with Book 3 Section 2.2.v. Excess material used for embankments shall meet the requirements specified in the most current version of the TDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction. The Design-Builder shall obtain approval from the Department before disposing of any excess material within the right-of-way.

FORM QK			
drainage systems are clean, operable, and structurally adequate. These requirements are vague and difficult to quantify repairs and replacements. Please define "structurally adequate".	It is the Design-Builder's responsibility to verify the existing drainage system, the Sue information is for reference only. The Design – Builder shall perform all drainage design, structural design, hydraulic/hydrologic design, Roadway component geometric configurations shall be designed to provide adequate drainage per TDOT Standards.		
	The overage reference should be to Section 13.5.		
Noise Barriers on the parapets on the EB & WB Bridges over Lealand Lane. Please provide more information for design requirements for these noise walls.	The new Sound Barriers are to be placed (height, material, etc.) per the reference material in the "Noise Walls" folder [project website]. Reference AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications, Eight Edition (2017) for design criteria.		
states that the proposer shall coordinate with Traffic Operations Division and NES. Is it now permissible for the proposers to contact the supplied list of utilities providers	The Design-Builders can contact/coordinate with any third party. Coordination/contact with TDOT Traffic Operations is not allowed prior to NTP. Any questions or concerns have to come through QR form.		
	The Design-Builder is responsible for verifying if the existing drainage systems are clean, operable, and structurally adequate. These requirements are vague and difficult to quantify repairs and replacements. Please define "structurally adequate". Book 3 Section 3.2.1.a states, "Overage of repair quantityshall be paidas defined in RFP Book 3 Chapter 13.7." Section 13.7 is not included in the RFP. There are Overage Payment items located in 13.5. Please confirm the Chapter reference stated in 3.2.1.a. Book 3 Section 3.2.3.k references replacing the existing Noise Barriers on the parapets on the EB & WB Bridges over Lealand Lane. Please provide more information for design requirements for these noise walls. The provided answer to the first question of page QR-3 states that the proposer shall coordinate with Traffic Operations Division and NES. Is it now permissible for the proposers to contact the supplied list of utilities providers		

Form QR dated 2/12/2018	The response states "No known structures are currently	The response is referring to structures not
Page QR-7, Fourth Question		already identified. It is not in reference to the
Response	identified in Book 3 Section 3? Does this also pertain to any	bridges over I-440.
	other structures on the project not listed (le. Foster Ave,	
	Hillsboro Rd, Nolensville Rd, 21st, Granny White Pike,	
	Belmont Blvd, etc.)?	
	Ramp limits and pavement Design clarification. Please see	It is the Design-Builder's responsibility to verify
Form QR dated 2/12/2018	attached sketch of WB I-24 Ramps from approximately M/L	all the information provided in the preliminary
Page QR-12, Second and Third	station 1376+00 to P.O.T. M/L station 1342+81.10. The	plans. the A detail showing typical limits on
Questions	Department has advised to use a 15,000,000 ESALS design,	concrete ramp paving has been provided in the
	but then states to use a 30,000,000 ESALS design for the	revised preliminary plans. The 15,000,000
(PLEASE SEE SHADED SECTION OF	portion of the ramp that extends into the travel way at	ESALS design shall be used for the portion of
PAGES 31A, 32A, AND 33A OF	which point the pavement will become asphalt. Can the	the concrete paving extending into the travel
PRELIMINARY PLANS)	Department graphically show us, in your opinion where this	way.
	point occurs? And will a 30,000,000 ESALS Concrete	
	Pavement Design be provided by the Department?	

Form QR dated 2/12/2018 Page QR-16, Third Question	As a follow-up to third question response on page QR-16 that states the liquidated damages are \$1,000,000 per weekend or \$10,000 per lane hour, will the liquidated damages for a fifth or more weekends be \$1,000,000 or \$2,880,000 per each?	It is only four weekend closures are allowed. For any additional delay, it will be \$1,000,000 per weekend or \$10,000 per lane hour liquidated damages.
Form QR dated 2/12/2018	Will the Department implement the same provision for	The Department will not be implementing this
Page QR-17, Fifth Question	Rock Scaling and Trimming as the Department has in Book 3, Section 3.4a for Noise Walls?	procedure for rock scaling and trimming.
RFP Book 3, Section 3.4a		

	Due to the highly variable discretion shown by the	It is the Design-Builders responsibility to	
General Question	Department in regards to the limits of concrete ramp	determine the final ramp design. A detail	
	paving, will exact limits requiring concrete paving please be	showing typical limits on concrete ramp paving	
	shown for each ramp and location?	has been provided in the revised preliminary	
		plans.	
	Maintenance of existing I-440; prior to turnover to the	The potholes will not all be repaired. Many	
General Question	Design Builder, will the Department have the all potholes	potholes keep appearing and the concrete is	
	and deficiencies fixed? If not, what level of serviceability is	failing every day. The contractor will be	
	the Design Builder expected to maintain? Due to the	required to patch potholes within 24 hours or	
	exceeding poor quality of the riding surface, will the	earlier as requested by the engineer and he will	
	Department add unit pricing for paving and patching of	be held liable for any damages that a car	
	potholes and maintenance?	sustains. So the contractor will have to	
	P	determine his method of repair to get them	
		fixed timely and to also maintain traffic as	
		required. More details will be made available by	
		the Department in the Reference Material	
		Section of the project webpage.	
	Given the limited geotechnical information, the depth to	The Design-Builder should include all costs	
RFP Book 3, Page 9	refusal varies from 1.7'to No Refusal. Is TDOT requiring the	-	
(Section 2.2.r)	Design-Builder to include all costs associated with Undercut		
	and/or Geotechnical remediation? Or would it be handled		
	as on typical TDOT projects?		

-	Will the crash wall be required to be extended at Bent 5 of	Crash walls are to be included for any substructure elements as needed per AREMA and CSX clear zone requirements.