

#### STATE OF TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION DESIGN DIVISION NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37243-0348

## **INSTRUCTIONAL BULLETIN NO. 11-02**

## **Regarding Revised Design Exception Request and Justification Form**

**Effective immediately**, Figure 3-1, Design Exception Request and Justification Form located in the Section 3, Chapter 1 of the Roadway Design Guidelines is revised as shown on the attached sheet.

Carolyn Stonecipher, Civil Engineering Director Design Division

January 7, 2011 CS:ARH English



## STATE OF TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37243-1402

#### DESIGN EXCEPTION REQUEST AND JUSTIFICATION FORM

TO:	, Division Administrator, FHWA (Exceptions requiring FHWA approval)
	Director, Design Division, TDOT (All other exceptions)

- FROM: \_\_\_\_\_, Director, Design Division, TDOT (Exceptions requiring FHWA approval) Design Manager, Design Division, TDOT Highest Local Official Responsible for the Project, Title (Locally Developed Projects)
- DATE:

SUBJECT: Design Exception Request Project No. Pin No. Project Description:

## CONTROLLING CRITERIA FOR WHICH EXCEPTION IS REQUESTED:

Design Speed		Lane Width		Shoulder Width		Grades	
Horizontal Alignment Stopping Sight Distance Horizontal Clearance (other than clear zone)		Vertical Alignment Superelevation Vertical Clearance		Cross Slopes Bridge Width Structural Capacity			
DESIGN EXCEPTION REQUESTED:							

(Note: List location and controlling element of the feature an exception is requested. Example: 1) Station 4+50, 30 mph horizontal curve 2) Station 10+00 to 13+00, 11ft. lane width instead of 12ft. 3) 20 mph vertical alignment (Sag K=24) instead of 40 mph)

Figure 3-1 **Design Exception and Justification Form** 

### **TDOT - ROADWAY DESIGN GUIDELINES**

#### English

#### Revised: 01/07/2011

## **DESIGN DATA:**

Highway Fund	ctional Classification:			
Standard for the Above Classification:				
Existing Posted Speed:				
Proposed Pos	sted Speed:			
Type of Terra	in:			
Rural or Urba	n Area:			
Traffic Data:	ADT (20):	D:		
	ADT (20):	T:		
	DHV:	V:		

#### **DESIGN FEATURES:** Standard Existing Proposed N/A Cross Slope: -----Superelevation: Minimum Radius of Curve: \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ Minimum Stopping Sight Distance: Minimum "K" Value for Crest Vertical Curve: Minimum "K" Value for Sag Vertical Curve: Maximum Grade: **ROADWAY TYPICAL SECTION:** Standard Existing Proposed N/A Horizontal Clearance: (other than the clear zone) Shoulder Widths: **Outside Shoulders:** \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ Inside Shoulders: \_\_\_\_\_ Lane Width: **BRIDGE FEATURES:** Proposed N/A Standard Existing Traffic Lane Widths: Outside Shoulder Widths: \_\_\_\_ Inside Shoulder Widths: Load Capacity or Sufficiency Rating: Vertical Clearance: \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ To Waterway: To Other Highway: To Railroad:

Figure 3-1 (Continued) Design Exception and Justification Form

# **TDOT - ROADWAY DESIGN GUIDELINES**

English Revised: 01/07/2011						
FACTORS CONSIDERED: (Note: Each of the following factors shall be addressed in narrative form. If a factor is not						
tha	t are not a consider	ation, ju	able, only the appropr ustification should be in			cked. For factors
1)	Accident experier Data Available		No Data Available		Not Applicable	
2)	Effect of the varia	nce fro	om the design standa	ards on :	safety and opera	ation of the facility
,	Effect considered		No effect on the facil			
3)	Safety mitigation Measures provided		res considered and Measures not justifie		<b>I</b> Not Applicable	
4)		ne desi	ign and operation wi	th <u>adj</u> ac	ent sections	_
	Considered		Not a Consideration		Not Applicable	
5)	Comparative cost Considered	of the	full standard versus Not a Consideration	the low	<b>er design propo</b> Not Applicable	_
6)	Long term effect of Considered	of the r	educed design as co Not a Consideration	mpared	to the full stand Not Applicable	
7)	Difficulty obtainin environmental im		ull standard such as etc.	right-of	-way restriction	,
	Considered		Not a Consideration		Not Applicable	
8)	Capacity reductio Considered	ns or o	perational reduction		<b>d by the design</b> Not Applicable	
0)		an tha f				
9)	Considered		Ull standard versus f Not a Consideration		Not Applicable	
10)	Cumulative effect Considered	t of mo	ore than one standard Not a Consideration	that is	being reduced Not Applicable	
<b>11) Possibility of improving or achieving the full standard feature in the future</b> Applicable Not Applicable   Not on the state highway system						
Figure 3-1 (Continued) Design Exception and Justification Form						

#### English

#### DESIGN EXCEPTION AND JUSTIFICATION:

(Note: This section shall include a narrative description of the design exception request and includes a recommendation for approval)

## **ATTACHMENTS:**

(Note: Include appropriate items such as plan prints, accident data, estimates, sketches, photos, etc.)

## DESIGN EXCEPTION REVIEW COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL:

	, Assistant Director, Design Div., Region 1 and 2
	, Assistant Director, Design Div., Region 3 and 4
	, Assistant Director, Design Div., Consultant Management
	, Assistant Director, Design Div., ITS, Traffic, & Standards
	, Assistant Director, Design Division, Design Support
Comments Attached	

APPROVED:

**Division Administrator, FHWA** (Director, Design Division, TDOT) Date

cc: Quality Assurance Section

#### Figure 3-1 (continued) Design Exception and Justification Form